

RESEARCH

Open Access



# New result on fixed point theorems for $\varphi$ -contractions in Menger spaces

Huichun Hua<sup>1</sup>, Minjiang Chen<sup>2</sup> and Shenghua Wang<sup>1\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:

sheng-huawang@hotmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding, 071003, China  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

Very recently, Fang (*Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 267:86-99, 2015) gave some fixed point theorems for probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contractions in Menger spaces. Fang's results improve the one of Jachymski (*Nonlinear Anal.* 73:2199-2203, 2010) by relaxing the restriction on the gauge function  $\varphi$ . In this paper, inspired by the results of Fang, we prove a new fixed point theorem for a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction in Menger spaces in which a weaker condition on the function  $\varphi$  is required. Our result improves the corresponding one of Fang and some others. Finally, an example is given to illustrate our result.

**MSC:** 54E70; 47H25

**Keywords:** Menger metric space; probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction; Cauchy sequence; fixed point theorem

## 1 Introduction

Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a probabilistic metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. If there exists a gauge function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$F_{Tx, Ty}(\varphi(t)) \geq F_{x, y}(t) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } t > 0,$$

then the mapping  $T$  is called a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction. The probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction is a generalization of probabilistic  $k$ -contraction given by Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid [1]. In literature, many authors investigated fixed point theorems for probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contractions in Menger spaces; see [2–7]. On the fixed point theorems for other types of contractions in Menger or fuzzy metric spaces, please see [8–12]. Recently, Jachymski [13] proved a new fixed point theorem for a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction in which the condition on the function  $\varphi$  is weakened. More precisely, the author gave the following result.

**Theorem 1.1** ([13]) *Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger probabilistic metric space with a continuous  $t$ -norm  $\Delta$  of  $H$ -type, and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function satisfying conditions:*

$$0 < \varphi(t) < t \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

*If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction, then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$ , and  $\{T^n x_0\}$  converges to  $x^*$  for each  $x_0 \in X$ .*

Although Theorem 1.1 has been a very perfect result in which the condition on the gauge function  $\varphi$  is very simple, Fang [14] improves Theorem 1.1 by giving a new condition on  $\varphi$  recently. Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function satisfying the following condition:

$$\text{for each } t > 0 \text{ there exists } r \geq t \text{ such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Let  $\Phi_w$  denote the set of all functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the condition (1.1) and let  $\Phi$  denote the set of all functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the condition that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ . In [14], Fang gave an example of  $\varphi \in \Phi_w$  but  $\varphi \notin \Phi$ .

By using the condition (1.1), Fang gave the following result.

**Theorem 1.2** ([14]) *Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger space with a  $t$ -norm  $\Delta$  of  $H$ -type. If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction, where  $\varphi \in \Phi_w$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$ , and  $\{T^n x_0\}$  converges to  $x^*$  for each  $x_0 \in X$ .*

Since the condition (1.1) is weaker than the one in Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 improves Theorem 1.1. In [14], Fang asked the following question:

*Can the condition (1.1) in Theorem 1.2 be replaced by a more weak condition?*

In this paper, we give a positive answer to the question of Fang by proving a new fixed point theorem for a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction in Menger spaces. In our result, the function  $\varphi$  is required to satisfy a more weak condition than (1.1) and the  $t$ -norm is not required to be of  $H$ -type. Our result improves the corresponding one of Fang [14] and some others. Finally, an example is given to illustrate our result.

## 2 Preliminaries

In the rest of this paper, let  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of all natural numbers.

A mapping  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a distribution function if it is non-decreasing and left-continuous with  $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t) = 0$ . If in addition  $F(0) = 0$ , then  $F$  is called a distance distribution function. A distance distribution function  $F$  satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t) = 1$  is called a Menger distance distribution function.

The set of all Menger distance distribution functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}^+$ . It is known that  $\mathcal{D}^+$  is partially ordered by the usual pointwise ordering of functions, that is,  $F \leq G$  if and only if  $F(t) \leq G(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . The maximal element in  $\mathcal{D}^+$  on this order is the distance distribution function  $\epsilon_0$  defined by

$$\epsilon_0(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t = 0, \\ 1, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.1** ([15]) A binary operation  $\Delta : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a  $t$ -norm if  $\Delta$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\Delta$  is associative and commutative;
- (2)  $\Delta(a, 1) = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (3)  $\Delta(a, b) \leq \Delta(c, d)$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  for all  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

Two typical examples of the continuous  $t$ -norm are  $\Delta_P(a, b) = ab$  and  $\Delta_M(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$  for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([16]) A  $t$ -norm  $\Delta$  is said to be of Hadžić-type (for short  $H$ -type) if the family of functions  $\{\Delta^m(t)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is equicontinuous at  $t = 1$ , where

$$\Delta^1(t) = \Delta(t, t), \quad \Delta^{m+1}(t) = \Delta(t, \Delta^m(t)), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, t \in [0, 1].$$

It is easy to see that  $\Delta_M$  is a  $t$ -norm of  $H$ -type but  $\Delta_P$  is not of  $H$ -type. Here we give a new  $t$ -norm of  $H$ -type by  $\Delta_M$  and  $\Delta_P$ .

**Example 2.1** Let  $\Delta(x, 1) = \Delta(1, x) = x$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\Delta(x, y) = \Delta_P(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  with  $\max\{x, y\} \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $\Delta(x, y) = \Delta_M(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  with  $\max\{x, y\} \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . It is easy to check that  $\Delta$  is a  $t$ -norm. Now we show that it is of  $H$ -type. For any given  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , set  $\delta = \epsilon$ . Then  $1 - \delta = 1 - \epsilon > \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus, for all  $t \in (1 - \delta, 1)$ , one has  $\Delta^n(t) = t > 1 - \delta = 1 - \epsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $\epsilon \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , taking  $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  arbitrarily, then we have  $1 - \delta > \frac{1}{2} \geq 1 - \epsilon$ . Thus for all  $t \in (1 - \delta, 1)$ ,  $\Delta^n(t) = t > 1 - \delta > \frac{1}{2} \geq 1 - \epsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $\Delta$  is a  $t$ -norm of  $H$ -type.

**Example 2.2** Let  $\delta \in (0, 1]$  and let  $\Delta$  be a  $t$ -norm. Define  $\Delta_\delta$  by  $\Delta_\delta(x, y) = \Delta(x, y)$ , if  $\max\{x, y\} \leq 1 - \delta$ , and  $\Delta_\delta(x, y) = \min\{x, y\}$ , if  $\max\{x, y\} > 1 - \delta$ . then  $\Delta_\delta$  is a  $t$ -norm of  $H$ -type; see [17]. However, if  $\Delta_\delta(x, 1) = \Delta_\delta(1, x) = x$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\Delta_\delta(x, y) = \delta$  for all  $x, y \in [\delta, 1]$  and  $\Delta_\delta(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  with  $\min\{x, y\} \in [0, \delta)$ , then  $\Delta_\delta$  is a  $t$ -norm but not of  $H$ -type.

For other  $t$ -norms of  $H$ -type, the reader may refer to [16].

**Definition 2.3** ([18]) A triple  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is called a Menger probabilistic metric space (for short, Menger space) if  $X$  is a nonempty set,  $\Delta$  is a  $t$ -norm, and  $F$  is a mapping from  $X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^+$  satisfying the following conditions (for  $x, y \in X$ , denote  $F(x, y)$  by  $F_{x,y}$ ):

- (PM-1)  $F_{x,y}(t) = \epsilon_0(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (PM-2)  $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (PM-3)  $F_{x,y}(t + s) \geq \Delta(F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(s))$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t, s > 0$ .

**Definition 2.4** ([15]) Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a Menger space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be convergent to  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n,x}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ ; the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for any given  $t > 0$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $N_{\epsilon,t} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $F_{x_n,x_m}(t) > 1 - \epsilon$  whenever  $m, n > N_{\epsilon,t}$ ; the Menger space  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is said to be complete, if each Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent to some point in  $X$ .

### 3 Main results

In this section, let  $\Phi_{w^*}$  denote the set of all functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for each } t_1, t_2 > 0 \text{ there exists } r \geq \max\{t_1, t_2\} \text{ and } N \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\text{such that } \varphi^n(r) < \min\{t_1, t_2\} \text{ for all } n > N. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Obviously, the condition (3.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for each } t > 0 \text{ there exists } r \geq t \text{ and } N \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\text{such that } \varphi^n(r) < t \text{ for all } n > N. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

It is easy to see that for each  $\varphi \in \Phi_w, \varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$ . In fact, if  $\varphi \in \Phi_w$ , then for each  $t_1, t_2 > 0$ , there exist  $r_1 \geq t_1$  and  $r_2 \geq t_2$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(r_1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(r_2) = 0$ . Assume that  $t_1 \leq t_2$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi^n(r_2) < t_1$  for all  $n > N$ . Thus  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$ .

However, if  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$ , then it is unnecessary that  $\varphi \in \Phi_w$ .

**Example 3.1** Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $\varphi(t) = t$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t - 1$  for all  $t \in (1, \infty)$ . Then  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$ . In fact, for each  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, \infty)$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $r = 1 + N + \epsilon > \max\{t_1, t_2\}$ , where  $\epsilon \in (0, \min\{t_1, t_2, 1\})$ . Then we have  $\varphi^n(r) = \epsilon < \min\{t_1, t_2\}$  for all  $n > N + 1$ . So  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$ . However, since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(r) \neq 0$  for all  $r > 0$ ,  $\varphi \notin \Phi_w$ .

From Example 3.1 we see that  $\Phi_{w^*}$  is a proper subclass of  $\Phi_w$ . On  $\Phi_{w^*}, \Phi_w$ , and  $\Phi$ , we have  $\Phi \subset \Phi_w \subset \Phi_{w^*}$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$ . Then for each  $t > 0$ , there exists  $r \geq t$  such that  $\varphi(r) < t$ .*

*Proof* Suppose that there is  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $\varphi(r) \geq t_0$  for all  $r \geq t_0$ . By induction, we obtain  $\varphi^n(r) \geq t_0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From (3.2) it follows that there exist  $r \geq t_0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi^n(r) < t_0$  for all  $n > N$ , which contradicts  $\varphi^n(r) \geq t_0$  for all  $r \geq t_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus for each  $t > 0$ , there exists  $r \geq t$  such that  $\varphi(r) < t$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a Menger space and  $x, y \in X$ . If there exists a function  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$  such that*

$$F_{x,y}(\varphi(t)) \geq F_{x,y}(t), \quad \forall t > 0, \tag{3.3}$$

*then  $x = y$ .*

*Proof* First by a similar proof with Lemma 2.2 of [14] we can show that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t > 0$ , one has  $\varphi^n(t) > 0$ . By induction, from (3.3) it follows that

$$F_{x,y}(\varphi^n(t)) \geq F_{x,y}(t) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } t > 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Next we show that  $F_{x,y}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ . In fact, if there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $F_{x,y}(t_0) < 1$ , then since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_{x,y}(t) = 1$  there is  $t_1 > t_0$  such that

$$F_{x,y}(t) > F_{x,y}(t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_1. \tag{3.5}$$

Since  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$ , there exist  $t_2 \geq \max\{t_1, t_0\}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi^n(t_2) < \min\{t_0, t_1\}$  for all  $n > N$ . By the monotonicity of  $F_{x,y}(\cdot)$ , from (3.4) and (3.5) it follows that, for each  $n > N$ ,

$$F_{x,y}(t_0) \geq F_{x,y}(\varphi^n(t_2)) \geq F_{x,y}(t_2) \geq F_{x,y}(t_1) > F_{x,y}(t_0).$$

It is a contradiction. Therefore,  $F_{x,y}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ , i.e.,  $x = y$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a Menger space where  $\Delta$  is continuous at  $(1, 1)$  and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Suppose that there exists a function  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1)  $\varphi(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ ;
- (2)  $F_{x_n, x_m}(\varphi(t)) \geq F_{x_{n-1}, x_{m-1}}(t)$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t > 0$ .

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(t) = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof* It is easy to see that the condition (1) implies that  $\varphi^n(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$  and the condition (2) implies that

$$F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(\varphi^n(t)) \geq F_{x_0, x_1}(t), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \forall t > 0. \tag{3.6}$$

We first prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t) = 1, \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_0, x_1}(t) = 1$ , for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $F_{x_0, x_1}(t_0) > 1 - \epsilon$ . For each  $t > 0$ , since  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$ , there exist  $t_1 \geq \max\{t, t_0\}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi^n(t_1) < \min\{t, t_0\}$  for all  $n \geq N$ . By the monotonicity of  $F_{x,y}(\cdot)$ , from (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t) &\geq F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(\varphi^n(t_1)) \\ &\geq F_{x_0, x_1}(t_1) \geq F_{x_0, x_n}(t_0) \\ &> 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq N, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (3.7) holds. Assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(t) = 1$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t > 0$ . Since  $\Delta$  is continuous at  $(1, 1)$ , we have

$$F_{x_n, x_{n+k+1}}(t) \geq \Delta(F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(t/2), F_{x_{n+k}, x_{n+k+1}}(t/2)) \rightarrow \Delta(1, 1) = 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By induction we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(t) = 1, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \forall t > 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

**Lemma 3.4** *Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a Menger space where  $\Delta$  is of H-type and continuous at  $(1, 1)$  and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Suppose that there exists a function  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$  satisfying the conditions (1) and (2) in Lemma 3.3. Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.*

*Proof* Let  $t > 0$ . By Lemma 3.1 there is  $r \geq t$  such that  $\varphi(r) < t$ . We show by induction that

$$F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(t) \geq \Delta^k(F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r))), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.8}$$

Obviously, (3.8) holds for  $k = 1$ . Assume that (3.8) holds for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (2) in Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_n, x_{n+k+1}}(t) &\geq \Delta(F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r)), F_{x_{n+1}, x_{n+k+1}}(\varphi(r))) \\ &\geq \Delta(F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r)), F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(r)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \Delta(F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r)), F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(t)) \\
 &\geq \Delta(F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r)), \Delta^k(F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r)))) \\
 &= \Delta^{k+1}(F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r))).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (3.8) holds for  $k + 1$ . So (3.8) holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $t > 0$ . Define  $a_n = \inf_{k \geq 1} F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(t)$ . Since  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$ , by Lemma 3.1 there exists  $t_0 \geq t$  such that  $\varphi(t_0) < t$ . So by the condition (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_n &= \inf_{k \geq 1} F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(t) \\
 &\geq \inf_{k \geq 1} F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(\varphi(t_0)) \\
 &\geq \inf_{k \geq 1} F_{x_{n-1}, x_{n-1+k}}(t_0) \\
 &\geq \inf_{k \geq 1} F_{x_{n-1}, x_{n-1+k}}(t) \\
 &= a_{n-1} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\{a_n\}$  is non-decreasing. Since  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded, there exists  $a \in [0, 1]$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow a$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume that  $a < 1$ . Then there exists  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $a + \eta < 1$ . For any given  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , by the definition of  $a_n$  there exists  $k = k(\epsilon, n) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$a_n \geq F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(t) - \epsilon/2. \tag{3.9}$$

By Lemma 3.3 one has  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r)) = 1$ . Therefore there exist  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r)) \in (1 - \delta, 1)$  for all  $n > N$ . Since  $\Delta$  is of  $H$ -type,  $\Delta^k(F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r))) > 1 - \epsilon/2$  for all  $n > N$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further combing (3.8) and (3.9) we get

$$1 > a + \eta > a_n \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

for all  $n > N$ , which implies that

$$1 > a + \delta > a \geq 1.$$

It is a contradiction. So  $a = 1$ . Since  $a_n \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists  $N' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_n > 1 - \epsilon$  for all  $n > N'$ . Then by the definition of  $\{a_n\}$ , we have

$$F_{x_n, x_{n+k}}(t) > 1 - \epsilon$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n > N'$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger space where  $\Delta$  is of  $H$ -type and continuous at  $(1, 1)$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction, where  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$  satisfies  $\varphi(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$ , and  $\{T^n x_0\}$  converges to  $x^*$  for each  $x_0 \in X$ .*

*Proof* Take  $x_0 \in X$  arbitrarily and define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $T$  is a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction, we have

$$F_{x_n, x_m}(\varphi(t)) = F_{Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{m-1}}(\varphi(t)) \geq F_{x_{n-1}, x_{m-1}}(t), \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \forall t > 0.$$

So, from Lemma 3.4 it follows that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete, there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Next we show that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . For any  $t > 0$ , Lemma 3.1 shows that there exists  $r \geq t$  such that  $\varphi(r) < t$ . By the monotonicity of  $\Delta$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x^*, Tx^*}(t) &\geq \Delta(F_{x^*, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r)), F_{x_{n+1}, Tx^*}(\varphi(r))) \\ &= \Delta(F_{x^*, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r)), F_{Tx_n, Tx^*}(\varphi(r))) \\ &\geq \Delta(F_{x^*, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r)), F_{x_n, x^*}(r)) \\ &\geq \Delta(c_n, c_n), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where  $c_n = \min\{F_{x^*, x_{n+1}}(t - \varphi(r)), F_{x_n, x^*}(r)\}$ . Since  $c_n \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\Delta$  is continuous at  $(1, 1)$ , from (3.10) we have

$$F_{x^*, Tx^*}(t) \geq \Delta(c_n, c_n) \rightarrow \Delta(1, 1) = 1,$$

which implies that  $x^* = Tx^*$ .

Finally, we prove that  $x^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ . Suppose that  $T$  has another fixed point  $x' \in X$ . Then we have

$$F_{x^*, x'}(\varphi(t)) = F_{Tx^*, Tx'}(\varphi(t)) \geq F_{x^*, x'}(t), \quad \forall t > 0.$$

From Lemma 3.2 it follows that  $x^* = x'$ . Thus  $x^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ . This completes the proof. □

**Corollary 3.1** *Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger space where  $\Delta$  is of  $H$ -type and continuous at  $(1, 1)$ . Let  $T_0, T_1 : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings such that*

$$F_{T_0x, T_0y}(\varphi(t)) \geq F_{x, y}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad F_{T_1x, T_1y}(t) \geq F_{x, y}(t) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } t > 0, \tag{3.11}$$

where  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$  satisfies  $\varphi(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ . If  $T_0$  commutes with  $T_1$ , then  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof* Let  $T = T_0T_1$ . Then (3.11) implies that  $T$  is a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction. From Theorem 3.1 it follows that  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$ . Since  $T_0$  commutes with  $T_1$ , we have  $T_0T_1x^* = T_1T_0x^*$ . Further we have  $T(T_0x^*) = (T_0T_1)(T_0x^*) = T_0(T_0T_1x^*) = T_0(Tx^*) = T_0x^*$ , which implies that  $T_0x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Since  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^*$ , one has  $T_0x^* = x^*$ . Similarly, we have  $T_1x^* = x^*$ . Thus  $x^*$  is the common fixed point of  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ . Assume that  $x' \in X$  is another common fixed point of  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ . Since  $T_0$  commutes with  $T_1$ , we have  $T(T_0x') = (T_0T_1)(T_0x') = T_0(T_0T_1x') = T_0(T_1T_0x') = T_0x'$ , which implies that  $T_0x'$  is the fixed point of  $T$ . Since  $x^*$  is a unique fixed point of  $T$ , one has  $x' = T_0x' = x^*$ . Thus  $x^*$  is the unique common fixed point of  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ . This completes the proof. □

Finally, we give an example to illustrate Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.2** Let  $X = \{3^{n+2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0, 3\}$  and define the mapping  $F : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^+$  by  $F_{x,y}(0) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $F_{x,x}(t) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$F_{0,3}(t) = F_{3,0}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{5}, & 0 < t \leq 3, \\ 1, & t > 3 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & 0 < t \leq |x - y|, \\ 1, & t > |x - y| \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  and  $\{x, y\} \neq \{0, 3\}$ . It is easy to see that  $(X, F, \Delta_M)$  is a complete Menger space.

Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping defined by  $T0 = T3 = T27 = 0$  and  $T3^{n+3} = 3^{n+2}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function defined by

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ t - 1, & \text{if } t > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\varphi \in \Phi_{w^*}$ , but  $\varphi \notin \Phi_w$ ; see Example 3.1.

Next we show that  $T$  is a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction, *i.e.*,  $T$  satisfies the following condition:

$$F_{Tx,Ty}(\varphi(t)) \geq F_{x,y}(t) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } t > 0. \tag{3.12}$$

First, it is easy to see that for  $x, y \in \{0, 3, 27\}$ , (3.12) holds for all  $t > 0$  since  $T0 = T3 = T27 = 0$ . Next we show that (3.12) holds for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  and  $\{x, y\} \not\subseteq \{0, 3, 27\}$  and  $t > 0$ . Obviously, if  $|Tx - Ty| < \varphi(t)$ , then  $F_{Tx,Ty}(\varphi(t)) = 1 \geq F_{x,y}(t)$ . So (3.12) holds. Now we consider all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  and  $\{x, y\} \not\subseteq \{0, 3, 27\}$  and  $t > 0$  with  $|Tx - Ty| \geq \varphi(t)$  by the following cases:

- (a) For  $(x, y) \in \{(0, 3^{n+3}), (3, 3^{n+3}), (27, 3^{n+3}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , it is easy to conclude that  $\varphi(t) \leq |Tx - Ty|$  implies that  $t \leq |x - y|$  for all  $t > 0$ . Thus if  $\varphi(t) \leq |Tx - Ty|$ , then

$$F_{Tx,Ty}(\varphi(t)) = \frac{1}{2} = F_{x,y}(t) \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Therefore (3.12) holds.

- (b) For  $(x, y) \in \{(3^{m+3}, 3^{m+3}) : m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m > n\}$ , we have  $\varphi(t) \leq |Tx - Ty| = 3^{m+2} - 3^{n+2} < 3(3^{m+2} - 3^{n+2}) = |y - x|$  for  $t \in (0, 1]$ . For  $t > 1$ , from  $\varphi(t) = t - 1 \leq |Tx - Ty| = 3^{m+2} - 3^{n+2}$ , we have  $t \leq 3^{m+2} - 3^{n+2} + 1 < 3^{m+3} - 3^{n+3} = |x - y|$  since  $3^{m+3} - 3^{n+3} - 3^{m+2} + 3^{n+2} = 2(3^{m+2} - 3^{n+2}) > 1$ . So  $\varphi(t) \leq |Tx - Ty|$  implies that  $t \leq |x - y|$  for all  $t > 0$ . Thus if  $\varphi(t) \leq |Tx - Ty|$ , then

$$F_{Tx,Ty}(\varphi(t)) = \frac{1}{2} = F_{x,y}(t) \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Therefore (3.12) holds.

By the discussion above, (3.12) holds for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Therefore,  $T$  is a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction. All the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. By Theorem 3.1,  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$ . Obviously,  $x^* = 0$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ . However, since  $\varphi \notin \Phi_w$ , Theorem 1.2, *i.e.*, Theorem 3.1 of [14] cannot be applied to this example.

#### 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we prove a new fixed point theorems for a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction in Menger spaces. In the theorem, a more weak condition on the gauge function  $\varphi$  is required. Thus our result improves Theorem 1.2 of Fang [14] and some others, such as Jachymski [13], Ćirić [2], and Xiao *et al.* [19]. By using Theorem 3.1, it is easy to prove some fixed point theorems for  $\varphi$ -contraction in fuzzy metric spaces like Theorems 4.1-4.4 in [14]. For shortening the length of this paper, we omit the proofs of these theorems.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding, 071003, China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Sciences, Shijiazhuang University of Economics, Shijiazhuang, 050031, China.

#### Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant numbers: 13MS109, 2014MS164, 2014ZD44, 2015MS78). The authors thank the editor and reviewers.

Received: 10 April 2015 Accepted: 4 September 2015 Published online: 06 November 2015

#### References

1. Sehgal, VM, Bharucha-Reid, AT: Fixed points of contraction mappings on PM-spaces. *Math. Syst. Theory* **6**, 97-102 (1972)
2. Ćirić, L: Solving the Banach fixed point principle for nonlinear contractions in probabilistic metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 2009-2018 (2010)
3. Duh, MF, Huang, YY: Common fixed points for mappings on complete Menger spaces. *Far East J. Math. Sci.* **6**, 169-193 (1998)
4. Fang, JX: A note on fixed point theorems of Hadžić. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **48**, 391-395 (1992)
5. Fang, JX: Common fixed point theorems of compatible and weakly compatible maps in Menger spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**, 1833-1843 (2009)
6. O'Regan, D, Saadati, R: Nonlinear contraction theorems in probabilistic spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **195**, 86-93 (2008)
7. Xiao, JZ, Zhu, XH, Cao, YF: Common coupled fixed point results for probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contractions in Menger spaces. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **74**, 4589-4600 (2011)
8. Chauhan, S, Pant, BD: Fixed point theorems for compatible and subsequentially continuous mappings in Menger spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **7**, 78-89 (2014)
9. Mihet, D: Common coupled fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **6**, 35-40 (2013)
10. Phiangsungnoen, S, Kumam, P: Fuzzy fixed point theorems for multivalued fuzzy contractions in  $b$ -metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **8**, 55-63 (2015)
11. Chauhan, S, Shatanawi, W, Kumar, S, Radenovic, S: Existence and uniqueness of fixed points in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **7**, 28-41 (2014)
12. Xu, WQ, Zhu, CX, Wu, ZQ, Zhu, L: Fixed point theorems for two new types of cyclic weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered Menger PM-spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **8**, 412-422 (2015)
13. Jachymski, J: On probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contractions on Menger spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **73**, 2199-2203 (2010)
14. Fang, JX: On  $\varphi$ -contractions in probabilistic and fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **267**, 86-99 (2015)
15. Schweizer, B, Sklar, A, Thop, E: The metrization of statistical metric spaces. *Pac. J. Math.* **10**, 673-675 (1960)
16. Hadžić, O, Pap, E: *Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*. Mathematics and Its Application, vol. 536. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (2001)
17. Roldán, A, Martínez-Moreno, J, Roldán, C: Tripled fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces and applications. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 29 (2013)
18. Menger, K: Statistical metrics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **28**, 535-537 (1942)
19. Xiao, JZ, Zhu, XH, Liu, XY: An alternative characterization of probabilistic Menger spaces with  $H$ -type triangular norms. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **227**, 107-114 (2013)