

RESEARCH

Open Access

Generalized probabilistic metric spaces and fixed point theorems

Caili Zhou^{1,2*}, Shenghua Wang³, Ljubomir Ćirić⁴ and Saud M Alsulami⁵

*Correspondence:

pumpkinlili@163.com

¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China

²College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new concept of probabilistic metric space, which is a generalization of the Menger probabilistic metric space, and we investigate some topological properties of this space and related examples. Also, we prove some fixed point theorems, which are the probabilistic versions of Banach's contraction principle. Finally, we present an example to illustrate the main theorems.

MSC: 54E70; 47H25

Keywords: Menger metric space; contraction mapping; G-metric space; fixed point theorem

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let \mathbb{R} be the set of all real numbers, \mathbb{R}^+ be the set of all nonnegative real numbers, Δ denote the set of all probability distribution functions, i.e., $\Delta = \{F : \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\} \rightarrow [0, 1] : F \text{ is left continuous and nondecreasing on } \mathbb{R}, F(-\infty) = 0 \text{ and } F(+\infty) = 1\}$.

Definition 1.1 ([1]) A mapping $T : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a *continuous t-norm* if T satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) T is commutative and associative, i.e., $T(a, b) = T(b, a)$ and $T(a, T(b, c)) = T(T(a, b), c)$, for all $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$;
- (2) T is continuous;
- (3) $T(a, 1) = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (4) $T(a, b) \leq T(c, d)$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

From the definition of T it follows that $T(a, b) \leq \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$.

Two simple examples of continuous t -norm are $T_M(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$ and $T_P(a, b) = ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$.

In 1942, Menger [2] developed the theory of metric spaces and proposed a generalization of metric spaces called Menger probabilistic metric spaces (briefly, Menger PM-space).

Definition 1.2 A *Menger PM-space* is a triple (X, F, T) , where X is a nonempty set, T is a continuous t -norm and F is a mapping from $X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ ($F_{x,y}$ denotes the value of F at the pair (x, y)) satisfying the following conditions:

- (PM-1) $F_{x,y}(t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ if and only $x = y$;
- (PM-2) $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (PM-3) $F_{x,z}(t + s) \geq T(F_{x,y}(t), F_{y,z}(s))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s \geq 0$.

The idea of Menger was to use distribution functions instead of nonnegative real numbers as values of the metric. Since Menger, many authors have considered fixed point theory in PM-spaces and its applications as a part of probabilistic analysis (see [1, 3–14]).

In 1963, Gähler [15] investigated the concept of 2-metric spaces and he claimed that a 2-metric is a natural generalization of an ordinary metric space (for more detailed results, see the books [16, 17]). But some authors pointed out that there are no relations between 2-metric spaces and ordinary metric spaces [18]. Later, Dhage [19] introduced a new class of generalized metrics called D -metric spaces. However, as pointed out in [20], the D -metric is also not satisfactory.

Recently, Mustafa and Sims [21] introduced a new class of metric spaces called generalized metric spaces or G -metric spaces as follows.

Definition 1.3 ([21]) Let X be a nonempty set and $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function satisfying the following conditions:

- (G1) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$ for all $x, y, z \in X$;
- (G2) $0 < G(x, x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$;
- (G3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $z \neq y$;
- (G4) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ for all $x, y, z \in X$;
- (G5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

Then G is called a *generalized metric* or a G -metric on X and the pair (X, G) is a G -metric space.

It was proved that the G -metric is a generalization of ordinary metric (see [21]). Recently, some authors studied G -metric spaces and obtained fixed point theorems on G -metric spaces [22–24]. Similar work can be found in [25–29].

It is well known that the notion of a PM-space corresponds to the situation that we may know probabilities of possible values of the distance although we do not know exactly the distance between two points. This idea leads us to seek a probabilistic version of G -metric spaces defined by Mustafa and Sims [21].

Definition 1.4 A *Menger probabilistic G -metric space* (shortly, PGM -space) is a triple (X, G^*, T) , where X is a nonempty set, T is a continuous t -norm and G^* is a mapping from $X \times X \times X$ into \mathcal{D} ($G^*_{x,y,z}$ denotes the value of G^* at the point (x, y, z)) satisfying the following conditions:

- (PGM-1) $G^*_{x,y,z}(t) = 1$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$;
- (PGM-2) $G^*_{x,x,y}(t) \geq G^*_{x,y,z}(t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $z \neq y$ and $t > 0$;
- (PGM-3) $G^*_{x,y,z}(t) = G^*_{x,z,y}(t) = G^*_{y,x,z}(t) = \dots$ (symmetry in all three variables);
- (PGM-4) $G^*_{x,y,z}(t + s) \geq T(G^*_{x,a,a}(s), G^*_{a,y,z}(t))$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ and $s, t \geq 0$.

Remark 1.5 Golet introduced a concept of probabilistic 2-metric (or 2-Menger space) [30] based on 2-metric [15] defined by Gähler. In the concept of probabilistic 2-metric, a 2- t -norm is used. Our definition of a Menger probabilistic G -metric space is different from the one of Golet. The metric of Golet is not continuous in two arguments although it is continuous in any one of its three arguments. But G^* is continuous in any two arguments as shown in Theorem 2.5.

Example 1.6 Let H denote the specific distribution function defined by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0, \\ 1, & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

and D be a distribution function defined by

$$D(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0, \\ 1 - e^{-t}, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

For any $t > 0$, define a function $G^* : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$G_{x,y,z}^*(t) = \begin{cases} H(t), & x = y = z, \\ D\left(\frac{t}{G(x,y,z)}\right), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where G is a G -metric as in Definition 1.3. Set $T = \min$. Then G^* is a probabilistic G -metric.

Proof It is easy to see that G^* satisfies (PGM-1)-(PGM-3). Next we show $G^*(x, y, z)(s + t) \geq T(G^*(x, a, a)(s), G^*(a, y, y)(t))$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ and all $s, t > 0$. In fact, we only need show that D satisfies

$$D\left(\frac{t+s}{G(x,y,z)}\right) \geq \min\left\{D\left(\frac{s}{G(x,a,a)}\right), D\left(\frac{t}{G(a,y,z)}\right)\right\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Since $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$, we have

$$\frac{s+t}{G(x,y,z)} \geq \frac{s+t}{G(x,a,a) + G(a,y,z)}. \tag{1.2}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max\left\{\frac{s}{G(x,a,a)}, \frac{t}{G(a,y,z)}\right\} &\geq \frac{s+t}{G(x,a,a) + G(a,y,z)} \\ &\geq \min\left\{\frac{s}{G(x,a,a)}, \frac{t}{G(a,y,z)}\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

which, from (1.2) and (1.3), shows that

$$\frac{s+t}{G(x,y,z)} \geq \min\left\{\frac{s}{G(x,a,a)}, \frac{t}{G(a,y,z)}\right\}.$$

This implies (1.1) since D is nondecreasing. □

Example 1.7 Let (X, F, T) be a PM-space. Define a function $G^* : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$G_{x,y,z}^*(t) = \min\{F_{x,y}(t), F_{y,z}(t), F_{x,z}(t)\}$$

for all $x, y, z, \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then G^* is a probabilistic G -metric.

Proof It is obvious that G^* satisfies (PGM-1), (PGM-2), and (PGM-3). To prove that G^* satisfies (PGM-4), we need to show that, for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ and all $s, t \geq 0$,

$$G_{x,y,z}^*(s+t) \geq T(G_{x,a,a}^*(s), G_{a,y,z}^*(t)), \tag{1.4}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{F_{x,y}(s+t), F_{y,z}(s+t), F_{x,z}(s+t)\} \\ & \geq T(F_{x,a}(s), \min\{F_{a,y}(t), F_{a,z}(t), F_{y,z}(t)\}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Now, from

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x,y}(s+t) & \geq T(F_{x,a}(s), F_{a,y}(t)) \\ & \geq T(F_{x,a}(s), \min\{F_{a,y}(t), F_{a,z}(t), F_{y,z}(t)\}), \\ F_{x,z}(s+t) & \geq T(F_{x,a}(s), F_{a,z}(t)) \\ & \geq T(F_{x,a}(s), \min\{F_{a,y}(t), F_{a,z}(t), F_{y,z}(t)\}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{y,z}(s+t) & \geq F_{y,z}(t) \\ & \geq \min\{F_{a,y}(t), F_{a,z}(t), F_{y,z}(t)\} \\ & \geq T(F_{x,a}(s), \min\{F_{a,y}(t), F_{a,z}(t), F_{y,z}(t)\}), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that (1.5), i.e., (1.4) holds. Therefore, G^* satisfies (PGM-4) and hence G^* is a probabilistic G -metric. \square

Example 1.8 Let (X, F, T_M) be a PM-space. Define a function $G^* : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$G_{x,y,z}^*(t) = \min\{F_{x,y}(t/3), F_{y,z}(t/3), F_{x,z}(t/3)\}$$

for all $x, y, z, \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then (X, G^*, T_M) is a PGM-space.

Proof In fact, the proofs of (PGM-1)-(PGM-3) are immediate. Now, we show that G^* satisfies (PGM-4). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{x,y,z}^*(t+s) \\ & = \min\left\{F_{x,y}\left(\frac{t+s}{3}\right), F_{y,z}\left(\frac{t+s}{3}\right), F_{x,z}\left(\frac{t+s}{3}\right)\right\} \\ & \geq \min\left\{\min\left\{F_{x,a}\left(\frac{t}{3}\right), F_{a,y}\left(\frac{s}{3}\right)\right\}, F_{y,z}\left(\frac{s}{3}\right), \min\left\{F_{x,a}\left(\frac{t}{3}\right), F_{a,z}\left(\frac{s}{3}\right)\right\}\right\} \\ & = \min\left\{\min\left\{F_{x,a}\left(\frac{t}{3}\right), F_{a,a}\left(\frac{t}{3}\right), F_{x,a}\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)\right\}, \min\left\{F_{a,y}\left(\frac{s}{3}\right), F_{y,z}\left(\frac{s}{3}\right), F_{a,z}\left(\frac{s}{3}\right)\right\}\right\} \\ & = \min\{G_{x,a,a}^*(t), G_{a,y,z}^*(s)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (X, G, T_M) is a PGM-space. \square

The following remark shows that the PGM-space is a generalization of the Menger PM-space.

Remark 1.9 For any function $G^* : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, the function $F : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by

$$F_{x,y}(t) = \min\{G_{x,y,y}^*(t), G_{y,x,x}^*(t)\}$$

is a probabilistic metric. It is easy to see that F satisfies the conditions (PM-1) and (PM-2).

Next, we show F satisfies (PM-3). Indeed, for any $s, t \geq 0$ and $x, y, z \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x,y}(s+t) &= \min\{G_{x,y,y}^*(s+t), G_{y,x,x}^*(s+t)\}, \\ T(F_{x,z}(s), F_{z,y}(t)) &= T(\min\{G_{x,z,z}^*(s), G_{z,x,x}^*(s)\}, \min\{G_{z,y,y}^*(t), G_{y,z,z}^*(t)\}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (PGM-4) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\{G_{x,y,y}^*(s+t), G_{y,x,x}^*(s+t)\} \\ &\geq \min\{T(G_{x,z,z}^*(s), G_{z,y,y}^*(t)), T(G_{y,z,z}^*(t), G_{z,x,x}^*(s))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$G_{x,z,z}^*(s) \geq \min\{G_{x,z,z}^*(s), G_{z,x,x}^*(s)\}, \quad G_{z,y,y}^*(t) \geq \min\{G_{z,y,y}^*(t), G_{y,z,z}^*(t)\}$$

and

$$G_{y,z,z}^*(t) \geq \min\{G_{z,y,y}^*(t), G_{y,z,z}^*(t)\}, \quad G_{z,x,x}^*(s) \geq \min\{G_{x,z,z}^*(s), G_{z,x,x}^*(s)\},$$

it follows from (PGM-4) that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x,y,y}^*(s+t) &\geq T(G_{x,z,z}^*(s), G_{z,y,y}^*(t)) \\ &\geq T(\min\{G_{x,z,z}^*(s), G_{z,x,x}^*(s)\}, \min\{G_{z,y,y}^*(t), G_{y,z,z}^*(t)\}) \\ &= T(F_{z,x}(s), F_{z,y}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{y,x,x}^*(s+t) &\geq T(G_{y,z,z}^*(t), G_{z,x,x}^*(s)) \\ &\geq T(\min\{G_{z,y,y}^*(t), G_{y,z,z}^*(t)\}, \min\{G_{x,z,z}^*(s), G_{z,x,x}^*(s)\}) \\ &= T(F_{z,y}(t), F_{z,x}(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$F_{x,y}(s+t) = \min\{G_{x,y,y}^*(s+t), G_{y,x,x}^*(s+t)\} \geq T(F_{x,z}(s), F_{z,y}(t)).$$

This shows that F satisfies (PM-3).

2 Topology, convergence, and completeness

In this section, we first introduce the concept of neighborhoods in the PGM-spaces. For the concept of neighborhoods in PM-spaces, we refer the readers to [1, 3].

Definition 2.1 Let (X, G^*, T) be a PGM-space and x_0 be any point in X . For any $\epsilon > 0$ and δ with $0 < \delta < 1$, an (ϵ, δ) -neighborhood of x_0 is the set of all points y in X for which $G_{x_0,y,y}^*(\epsilon) > 1 - \delta$ and $G_{y,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon) > 1 - \delta$. We write

$$N_{x_0}(\epsilon, \delta) = \{y \in X : G_{x_0,y,y}^*(\epsilon) > 1 - \delta, G_{y,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon) > 1 - \delta\}.$$

This means that $N_{x_0}(\epsilon, \delta)$ is the set of all points y in X for which the probability of the distance from x_0 to y being less than ϵ is greater than $1 - \delta$.

Lemma 2.2 If $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$ and $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2$, then $N_{x_0}(\epsilon_1, \delta_1) \subset N_{x_0}(\epsilon_2, \delta_2)$.

Proof Suppose that $z \in N_{x_0}(\epsilon_1, \delta_1)$, so $G_{x_0,z,z}^*(\epsilon_1) > 1 - \delta_1$ and $G_{z,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon_1) > 1 - \delta_1$. Since F is monotone, we have

$$G_{x_0,z,z}^*(\epsilon_2) \geq G_{x_0,z,z}^*(\epsilon_1) \geq 1 - \delta_1 \geq 1 - \delta_2$$

and

$$G_{z,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon_2) \geq G_{z,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon_1) \geq 1 - \delta_1 \geq 1 - \delta_2.$$

Therefore, by the definition, $z \in N_{x_0}(\epsilon_2, \delta_2)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.3 Let (X, G^*, T) be a Menger PGM-space. Then (X, G^*, T) is a Hausdorff space in the topology induced by the family $\{N_{x_0}(\epsilon, \delta)\}$ of (ϵ, δ) -neighborhoods.

Proof We show that the following four properties are satisfied:

- (A) For any $x_0 \in X$, there exists at least one neighborhood, N_{x_0} , of x_0 and every neighborhood of x_0 contains x_0 .
- (B) If $N_{x_0}^1$ and $N_{x_0}^2$ are neighborhoods of x_0 , then there exists a neighborhood of x_0 , $N_{x_0}^3$, such that $N_{x_0}^3 \subset N_{x_0}^1 \cap N_{x_0}^2$.
- (C) If N_{x_0} is a neighborhood of x_0 and $y \in N_{x_0}$, then there exists a neighborhood of y , N_y , such that $N_y \subset N_{x_0}$.
- (D) If $x_0 \neq y$, then there exist disjoint neighborhoods, N_{x_0} and N_y , such that $x_0 \in N_{x_0}$ and $y \in N_y$.

Now, we prove that (A)-(D) hold.

(A) For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1$, $x_0 \in N_{x_0}(\epsilon, \delta)$ since $G_{x_0,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon) = 1$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.

(B) For any $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$ and $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 < 1$, let

$$N_{x_0}^1(\epsilon_1, \delta_1) = \{y \in X : G_{x_0,y,y}^*(\epsilon_1) > 1 - \delta_1, G_{y,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon_1) > 1 - \delta_1\}$$

and

$$N_{x_0}^2(\epsilon_2, \delta_2) = \{y \in X : G_{x_0,y,y}^*(\epsilon_2) > 1 - \delta_2, G_{y,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon_2) > 1 - \delta_2\}$$

be the neighborhoods of x_0 . Consider

$$N_{x_0}^3 = \{y \in X : G_{x_0,y,y}^*(\min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}) > 1 - \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}, \\ \text{and } G_{y,x_0,x_0}^*(\min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}) > 1 - \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}\}.$$

Clearly, $x_0 \in N_{x_0}^3$ and, since $\min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\} \leq \epsilon_1$ and $\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} \leq \delta_1$, by Lemma 2.2, $N_{x_0}^3 \subset N_{x_0}^1(\epsilon_1, \delta_1)$ and $N_{x_0}^3 \subset N_{x_0}^2(\epsilon_2, \delta_2)$, so

$$N_{x_0}^3 \subset N_{x_0}^1(\epsilon_1, \delta_1) \cap N_{x_0}^2(\epsilon_2, \delta_2).$$

(C) Let $N_{x_0} = \{z \in X : G_{x_0,z,z}^*(\epsilon_1) > 1 - \delta_1, G_{z,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon_1) > 1 - \delta_1\}$ be the neighborhood of x_0 . Since $y \in N_{x_0}$,

$$G_{x_0,y,y}^*(\epsilon_1) > 1 - \delta_1, \quad G_{y,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon_1) > 1 - \delta_1.$$

Now, $G_{x_0,y,y}^*$ is left-continuous at ϵ_1 , so there exist $\epsilon_0 < \epsilon_1$ and $\delta_0 < \delta_1$ such that

$$G_{x_0,y,y}^*(\epsilon_0) > 1 - \delta_0 > 1 - \delta_1, \quad G_{y,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon_0) > 1 - \delta_0 > 1 - \delta_1.$$

Let $N_y = \{z \in X : G_{y,z,z}^*(\epsilon_2) > 1 - \delta_2, G_{z,y,y}^*(\epsilon_2) > 1 - \delta_2\}$, where $0 < \epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0$ and δ_2 is chosen such that

$$T(1 - \delta_0, 1 - \delta_2) > 1 - \delta_1.$$

Such a δ_2 exists since T is continuous, $T(a, 1) = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$ and $1 - \delta_0 > 1 - \delta_1$.

Now, suppose that $s \in N_y$, so that

$$G_{y,s,s}^*(\epsilon_2) > 1 - \delta_2, \quad G_{s,y,y}^*(\epsilon_2) > 1 - \delta_2.$$

Then, since G^* is monotone, it follows from (PGM-4) that

$$G_{x_0,s,s}^*(\epsilon_1) \geq T(G_{x_0,y,y}^*(\epsilon_0), G_{y,s,s}^*(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0)) \geq T(G_{x_0,y,y}^*(\epsilon_0), G_{y,s,s}^*(\epsilon_2)) \\ \geq T(1 - \delta_0, 1 - \delta_2) > 1 - \delta_1.$$

Similarly, we also have $G_{s,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon_1) > 1 - \delta_1$. This shows $s \in N_{x_0}$ and hence $N_y \subset N_{x_0}$.

(D) Let $y \neq x_0$. Then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a_1, a_2 with $0 \leq a_1, a_2 < 1$ such that $G_{x_0,y,y}^*(\epsilon) = a_1$ and $G_{y,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon) = a_2$. Let

$$N_{x_0} = \{z : G_{x_0,z,z}^*(\epsilon/2) > b_1, G_{z,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon/2) > b_1\}$$

and

$$N_y = \{z : G_{y,z,z}^*(\epsilon/2) > b_2, G_{z,y,y}^*(\epsilon/2) > b_2\},$$

where b_1 and b_2 are chosen such that $0 < b_1, b_2 < 1$, $T(b_1, b_2) > a$, where $a = \max\{a_1, a_2\}$. Such b_1 and b_2 exist since T is continuous, monotone, and $T(1, 1) = 1$.

Now, suppose that there exists a point $s \in N_{x_0} \cap N_y$ such that

$$G_{x_0,s,s}^*(\epsilon/2) > b_1, \quad G_{s,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon/2) > b_1, \quad G_{y,s,s}^*(\epsilon/2) > b_2, \quad G_{s,y,y}^*(\epsilon/2) > b_2.$$

Then, by (PGM-4), we have

$$a_1 = G_{x_0,y,y}^*(\epsilon) \geq T(G_{x_0,s,s}^*(\epsilon/2), G_{s,y,y}^*(\epsilon/2)) \geq T(b_1, b_2) > a \geq a_1$$

and

$$a_2 = G_{y,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon) \geq T(G_{y,s,s}^*(\epsilon/2), G_{s,x_0,x_0}^*(\epsilon/2)) \geq T(b_2, b_1) > a \geq a_2,$$

which are contradictions. Therefore, N_{x_0} and N_y are disjoint. This completes the proof. \square

Next, we give the definition of convergence of sequences in PGM-spaces.

Definition 2.4

- (1) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a PGM-space (X, G^*, T) is said to be *convergent* to a point $x \in X$ (write $x_n \rightarrow x$) if, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists a positive integer $M_{\epsilon,\delta}$ such that $x_n \in N_x(\epsilon, \delta)$ whenever $n > M_{\epsilon,\delta}$.
- (2) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a PGM-space (X, G^*, T) is called a *Cauchy sequence* if, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists a positive integer $M_{\epsilon,\delta}$ such that $G_{x_n,x_m,x_l}^*(\epsilon) > 1 - \delta$ whenever $m, n, l > M_{\epsilon,\delta}$.
- (3) A PGM-space (X, G^*, T) is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X .

Theorem 2.5 *Let (X, G^*, T) be a PGM-space. Let $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ be sequences in X and $x, y, z \in X$. If $x_n \rightarrow x$, $y_n \rightarrow y$ and $z_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then, for any $t > 0$, $G_{x_n,y_n,z_n}^*(t) \rightarrow G_{x,y,z}^*(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof For any $t > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $t > 2\delta$. Then, by (PGM-4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x_n,y_n,z_n}^*(t) &\geq G_{x_n,y_n,z_n}^*(t - \delta) \\ &\geq T(G_{x_n,x,x}^*(\delta/3), G_{x,y_n,z_n}^*(t - 4\delta/3)) \\ &\geq T(G_{x_n,x,x}^*(\delta/3), T(G_{y_n,y,y}^*(\delta/3), G_{y,x,z_n}^*(t - 5\delta/3))) \\ &\geq T(G_{x_n,x,x}^*(\delta/3), T(G_{y_n,y,y}^*(\delta/3), T(G_{z_n,z_n,z_n}^*(\delta/3), G_{x,y,z}^*(t - 2\delta)))) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x,y,z}^*(t) &\geq G_{x,y,z}^*(t - \delta) \\ &\geq T(G_{x,x_n,x_n}^*(\delta/3), G_{x_n,y,z}^*(t - 4\delta/3)) \\ &\geq T(G_{x,x_n,x_n}^*(\delta/3), T(G_{y_n,y_n,y_n}^*(\delta/3), G_{y_n,x_n,z}^*(t - 5\delta/3))) \\ &\geq T(G_{x,x_n,x_n}^*(\delta/3), T(G_{y_n,y_n,y_n}^*(\delta/3), T(G_{z_n,z_n,z_n}^*(\delta/3), G_{x_n,y_n,z_n}^*(t - 2\delta)))) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above two inequalities and noting that T is continuous, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_n, y_n, z_n}^*(t) \geq G_{x, y, z}^*(t - 2\delta)$$

and

$$G_{x, y, z}^*(t) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_n, y_n, z_n}^*(t - 2\delta).$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in above two inequalities, since G^* is left-continuous, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_n, y_n, z_n}^*(t) = G_{x, y, z}^*(t)$$

for any $t > 0$. This completes the proof. □

3 Fixed point theorems

In [31], Sehgal extended the notion of a Banach contraction mapping to the setting of Menger PM-spaces. Later on, Sehgal and Bharucha-Raid [32] proved a fixed point theorem for a mapping under the contractive condition in a complete Menger PM-space. Before proving our fixed point theorems, we first introduce a new concept of contraction in PGM-spaces, which is a corresponding version of Sehgal's contraction in PM-spaces.

Definition 3.1 Let (X, G^*, T) be a PGM-space. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *contractive* if there exists a constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$G_{fx, fy, fz}^*(t) \geq G_{x, y, z}^*(t/\lambda) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$.

The mapping f satisfying the condition (3.1) is called a λ -*contraction*.

Let T be a given t -norm. Then (by associativity) a family of mappings $T^n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for each $n \geq 1$ is defined as follows:

$$T^1(t) = T(t, t), \quad T^2(t) = T(t, T^1(t)), \quad \dots, \quad T^n(t) = T(t, T^{n-1}(t)), \quad \dots$$

for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 3.2 ([33]) A t -norm T is said to be of *Hadzić-type* if the family of functions $\{T^n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equicontinuous at $t = 1$, that is, for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$t > 1 - \delta \implies T^n(t) > 1 - \epsilon$$

for each $n \geq 1$.

The t -norm $T = \min$ is a trivial example of t -norm of Hadzić-type.

Lemma 3.3 *Let (X, G^*, T) be a Menger PGM-space with T of Hadžić-type and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Suppose that there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ satisfying*

$$G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t) \geq G_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*(t/\lambda)$$

for any $n \geq 1$ and $t > 0$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Proof Since $G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t) \geq G_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*(t/\lambda)$, by induction, we have

$$G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t) \geq G_{x_0, x_1, x_1}^*(t/\lambda^n).$$

Since X is a Menger PGM-space, we have $G_{x_0, x_1, x_1}^*(t/\lambda^n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t) = 1 \tag{3.2}$$

for any $t > 0$.

Now, let $n \geq 1$ and $t > 0$. We show, by induction, that, for any $k \geq 0$,

$$G_{x_n, x_{n+k}, x_{n+k}}^*(t) \geq T^k(G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t - \lambda t)). \tag{3.3}$$

For $k = 0$, since $T(a, b)$ is a real number, $T^0(a, b) = 1$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. Hence, $G_{x_n, x_n, x_n}^*(t) = 1 = T^0(G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t - \lambda t))$, which implies that (3.3) holds for $k = 0$. Assume that (3.3) holds for some $k \geq 1$. Then, since T is monotone, it follows from (PGM-4) that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x_n, x_{n+k+1}, x_{n+k+1}}^*(t) &= G_{x_n, x_{n+k+1}, x_{n+k+1}}^*(t - \lambda t + \lambda t) \\ &\geq T(G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t - \lambda t), G_{x_{n+1}, x_{n+k+1}, x_{n+k+1}}^*(\lambda t)) \\ &\geq T(G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t - \lambda t), G_{x_n, x_{n+k}, x_{n+k}}^*(t)) \\ &\geq T(G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t - \lambda t), T^k(G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t - \lambda t))) \\ &= T^{k+1}(G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t - \lambda t)), \end{aligned}$$

so we have the conclusion.

Now, we show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , i.e., $\lim_{m, n, l \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_n, x_m, x_l}^*(t) = 1$ for any $t > 0$. To this end, we first prove that $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_n, x_m, x_m}^*(t) = 1$ for any $t > 0$. Let $t > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. By hypothesis, $T^n : n \geq 1$ is equicontinuous at 1 and $T^n(1) = 1$, so there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $a \in (1 - \delta, 1]$,

$$T^n(a) > 1 - \epsilon \tag{3.4}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. From (3.2), it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t - \lambda t) = 1$. Hence there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t - \lambda t) \in (1 - \delta, 1]$ for any $n \geq n_0$. Hence, by (3.3) and (3.4), we conclude that $G_{x_n, x_{n+k}, x_{n+k}}^*(t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for any $k \geq 0$. This shows $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_n, x_m, x_m}^*(t) = 1$ for

any $t > 0$. By (GPM-4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x_n, x_m, x_l}^* &\geq T(G_{x_n, x_n, x_m}^*(t/2), G_{x_n, x_n, x_l}^*(t/2)), \\ G_{x_n, x_n, x_m}^*(t/2) &\geq T(G_{x_n, x_m, x_m}^*(t/4), G_{x_n, x_m, x_m}^*(t/4)), \\ G_{x_n, x_n, x_l}^*(t/2) &\geq T(G_{x_n, x_l, x_l}^*(t/4), G_{x_n, x_l, x_l}^*(t/4)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the continuity of T , we conclude that

$$\lim_{m, n, l \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_n, x_m, x_l}^*(t) = 1$$

for any $t > 0$. This shows that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . This completes the proof. \square

From Example 1.6 and Lemma 3.3 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4 ([33]) *Let (X, F, T) be a PM-space with T of Hadžić-type and $\{x_n\} \subset X$ be a sequence. If there exists a constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t) \geq F_{x_{n-1}, x_n}(t/\lambda), \quad n \geq 1, t > 0,$$

then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof Define $G_{x,y,z}^*(t) = \min\{F_{x,y}(t), F_{y,z}(t), F_{x,z}(t)\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Example 1.6 shows that (X, G^*, T) is a PGM-space. Since $G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t) = F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t)$ and $G_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*(t/\lambda) = F_{x_{n-1}, x_n}(t/\lambda)$, $F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t) \geq F_{x_{n-1}, x_n}(t/\lambda)$ implies $G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t) \geq G_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*(t/\lambda)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $t > 0$. By Lemma 3.3 we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the sense of PGM-space (X, G^*, T) . That is, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists a positive integer $M_{\epsilon, \delta}$ such that $G_{x_m, x_n, x_l}^*(\epsilon) > 1 - \delta$ for all $m, n, l > M_{\epsilon, \delta}$. By the definition of G^* , we have

$$\min\{F_{x_m, x_m}(\epsilon), F_{x_m, x_l}(\epsilon), F_{x_n, x_l}(\epsilon)\} > 1 - \delta, \quad m, l, n > M_{\epsilon, \delta}.$$

This shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the sense of PM-space (X, F, T) . \square

Theorem 3.5 *Let (X, G^*, T) be a complete Menger PGM-space with T of Hadžić-type. Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a λ -contraction. Then, for any $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to a unique fixed point of T .*

Proof Take an arbitrary point x_0 in X . Construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = f^n x_0$ for all $n \geq 0$. By (3.1), for any $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(t) &= G_{f^{x_{n-1}} f^{x_n} f^{x_n}}^*(t) \\ &\geq G_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*(t/\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3 shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.1), it follows that

$$G_{f^x f^{x_n} f^{x_n}}^*(t) \geq G_{x, x_n, x_n}^*(t/\lambda).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $fx_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$G_{fx,x,x}^*(t) = 1$$

for any $t > 0$. Hence $x = fx$.

Next, suppose that y is another fixed point of f . Then, by (3.1), we have

$$G_{x,y,y}^*(t) = G_{fx,fy,fy}^*(t) \geq G_{x,y,y}^*(t/\lambda) \geq \dots \geq G_{x,y,y}^*(t/\lambda^n).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, since X is a Menger PGM-space, $G_{x,y,y}^*(t/\lambda^n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so

$$G_{x,y,y}^*(t) = 1$$

for any $t > 0$, which implies that $x = y$. Therefore, f has a unique fixed point in X . This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.6 *Let (X, G^*, T) be a complete Menger PGM-space with T of Hadžić-type. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying*

$$G_{fx,fy,fz}^*(\lambda t) \geq \frac{1}{3} [G_{x,fx,fx}^*(t) + G_{y,fy,fy}^*(t) + G_{z,fz,fz}^*(t)] \tag{3.5}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then, for any $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to a unique fixed point of f .

Proof Take an arbitrary point x_0 in X . Construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = f^n x_0$ for all $n \geq 0$. By (3.5), for any $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*(\lambda t) &= G_{fx_{n-1},fx_n,fx_n}^*(\lambda t) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} [G_{x_{n-1},fx_{n-1},fx_{n-1}}^*(t) + 2G_{x_n,fx_n,fx_n}^*(t)] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} [G_{x_{n-1},fx_{n-1},fx_{n-1}}^*(t) + 2G_{x_n,fx_n,fx_n}^*(\lambda t)] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} [G_{x_{n-1},x_n,x_n}^*(t) + 2G_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*(\lambda t)]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$G_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*(t) \geq G_{x_{n-1},x_n,x_n}^*(t/\lambda).$$

Lemma 3.3 shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.5), it follows that

$$G_{fx,fx,fx}^*(t) \geq \frac{1}{3} [2G_{x,fx,fx}^*(t/\lambda) + G_{x_n,fx_n,fx_n}^*(t/\lambda)].$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $fx_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have, for any $t > 0$,

$$G_{fx,fx,x}^*(t) \geq \frac{1}{3} [2G_{x,fx,fx}^*(t/\lambda) + G_{x,x,x}^*(t/\lambda)] \geq \frac{1}{3} [2G_{x,fx,fx}^*(t) + G_{x,x,x}^*(t/\lambda)]$$

i.e.,

$$G_{fx,fx,x}^*(t) \geq G_{x,x,x}^*(t/\lambda) = 1.$$

Hence $x = Tx$.

Next, suppose that y is another fixed point of f . Then, by (3.5), we have, for any $y > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x,y,y}^*(t) &= G_{fx,fy,fy}^*(t) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} [G_{x,fx,fx}^*(t/\lambda) + 2G_{y,fy,fy}^*(t/\lambda)] \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $x = y$. Therefore, f has a unique fixed point in X . This completes the proof. \square

Finally, we give the following example to illustrate Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6.

Example 3.7 Set $X = [0, \infty)$ and $T(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. Define a function $G^* : X^3 \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$G_{x,y,z}^*(t) = \frac{t}{t + G(x, y, z)}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$. Then G is a G -metric (see [24]). It is easy to check that G^* satisfies (PGM-1)-(PGM-3). Since $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t + s}{s + t + G(x, y, z)} &\geq \frac{t + s}{s + t + G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{s}{s + G(x, a, a)}, \frac{t}{t + G(a, y, z)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that G^* satisfies (PGM-4). Hence (X, G^*, \min) is a PGM-space.

(1) Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Define a mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $fx = \lambda x$ for all $x \in X$. For any $t > 0$, we have

$$G_{fx,fy,fz}^*(t) = \frac{t}{t + \lambda(|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|)}$$

and

$$G_{x,y,z}^*(t/\lambda) = \frac{t/\lambda}{t/\lambda + (|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|)}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that f is a λ -contraction and f has a fixed point in X by Theorem 3.5. In fact, the fixed point is $x = 0$.

(2) Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Define a mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $fx = 1$ for all $x \in X$. For any $t > 0$ and all $x, y, z \in X$, since

$$G_{fx,fy,fz}^*(t) = G_{1,1,1}^*(t) = 1$$

and

$$\frac{1}{3} [G_{x,fx,fx}^*(\lambda t) + G_{y,fy,fy}^*(\lambda t) + G_{z,fz,fz}^*(\lambda t)] \leq 1,$$

we conclude that

$$G_{fx,fy,fz}^*(t) \geq \frac{1}{3} [G_{x,fx,fx}^*(\lambda t) + G_{y,fy,fy}^*(\lambda t) + G_{z,fz,fz}^*(\lambda t)]$$

and hence f has a fixed point in X by Theorem 3.6. In fact, the fixed point is $x = 1$.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China. ²College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China. ³Department of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding, 071003, China. ⁴Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Kraljice Marije 16, Belgrade, 11 000, Serbia. ⁵Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, 21323, Saudi Arabia.

Acknowledgements

The first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Number: 11371002,41201327) and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (Grant Number:20131101110048) and Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (Grant Number: A2013201119). The second author is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant Number: 13MS109). The authors S. Alsulami and L. Ćirić thanks the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University for financial support (Grant Number: 130-037-D1433).

Received: 9 October 2013 Accepted: 26 March 2014 Published: 08 Apr 2014

References

1. Schweizer, B, Sklar, A: Probabilistic Metric Spaces. Elsevier, New York (1983)
2. Menger, K: Statistical metrics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **28**, 535-537 (1942)
3. Chang, SS, Cho, YJ, Kang, SM: Nonlinear Operator Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces. Nonva Science Publishers, New York (2001)
4. Chang, SS: Fixed point theory of in probabilistic metric spaces with applications. Sci. Sin., Ser. A **26**, 1144-1155 (1983)
5. Ćirić, L: Solving the Banach fixed point principle for nonlinear contractions in probabilistic metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. **72**, 2009-2018 (2010)
6. Ćirić, L, Mihet, D, Saadati, R: Monotone generalized contractions in partially ordered probabilistic metric spaces. Topol. Appl. **156**, 2838-2844 (2009)
7. Fang, J-X: Fixed point theorems of local contraction mappings on Menger spaces. Appl. Math. Mech. **12**, 363-372 (1991)
8. Fang, J-X: On fixed degree theorems for fuzzy mappings in Menger PM-spaces. Fuzzy Sets Syst. **157**, 270-285 (2006)
9. Hadzic, O: Fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings in probabilistic metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets Syst. **98**, 219-226 (1997)
10. Hadzic, O, Pap, E: Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (2001)
11. Hadzic, O, Pap, E, Budincevic, M: A generalization of Tardiff's fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric spaces and applications to random equations. Fuzzy Sets Syst. **156**, 124-134 (2005)
12. Liu, Y-C, Li, Z-X: Coincidence point theorems in probabilistic and fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets Syst. **158**, 58-70 (2007)
13. Mihet, D: Multivalued generalizations of probabilistic contractions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **304**, 462-472 (2005)
14. Razani, A, Fouladgar, K: Extension of contractive maps in the Menger probabilistic metric space. Chaos Solitons Fractals **34**, 1724-1731 (2007)
15. Gähler, S: 2-metrische räume und ihre topologische strukture. Math. Nachr. **26**, 115-148 (1963)
16. Cho, YJ, Paul, CS, Kim, SS, Misiak, A: Theory of 2-Inner Product Spaces. Nonva Science Publishers, New York (2001)
17. Freese, RW, Cho, YJ: Geometry of Linear 2-Normed Spaces. Nonva Science Publishers, New York (2001)
18. Ha, KS, Cho, YJ, White, A: Strictly convex and strictly 2-convex 2-normed spaces. Math. Jpn. **33**, 375-384 (1988)
19. Dhage, BC: Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **84**, 329-336 (1992)
20. Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: Remarks concerning D -metric spaces. In: Proceedings of the International Conferences on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Valencia, Spain, pp. 189-198 (2003)
21. Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: A new approach to generalized metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **7**, 289-297 (2006)
22. Mustafa, Z, Obiedat, H, Awawdeh, F: Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G -metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2008**, Article ID 189870 (2008)

23. Mustafa, Z, Shatanawi, W, Bataineh, M: Existence of fixed point results in G -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2009**, Article ID 283028 (2009)
24. Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2009**, Article ID 917175 (2009)
25. Saadati, R, O'Regan, D, Vaezpour, SM, Kim, JK: Generalized distance and common fixed point theorems in Menger probabilistic metric spaces. *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* **35**, 97-117 (2009)
26. Saadati, R: Generalized distance and fixed point theorems in partially ordered probabilistic metric spaces. *Mat. Vesn.* **65**, 82-93 (2013)
27. Kamran, T, Samreen, M, Shahzad, N: Probabilistic G -contractions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 223 (2013)
28. Alshehri, S, Arandelović, I, Shahzad, N: Symmetric spaces and fixed points of generalized contractions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, Article ID 763547 (2014)
29. Ćirić, Lj, Agarwal, RP, Samet, B: Mixed monotone-generalized contractions in partially ordered probabilistic metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, 56 (2011)
30. Golet, I: Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in probabilistic 2-metric spaces. *An. Stiint. Univ. Ovidius Constanta Ser. Mat.* **3**, 44-51 (1995)
31. Sehgal, VM: Some fixed point theorems in functional analysis and probability. PhD Thesis, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI (1966)
32. Sehgal, VM, Bharucha-Reid, AT: Fixed points of contraction mappings on PM-spaces. *Math. Syst. Theory* **6**, 97-102 (1972)
33. Hadžić, O: A fixed point theorem in Menger spaces. *Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgr.)* **20**, 107-112 (1979)

10.1186/1687-1812-2014-91

Cite this article as: Zhou et al.: Generalized probabilistic metric spaces and fixed point theorems. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2014, **2014**:91

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com
