

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Strong and weak convergence theorems for common zeros of finite accretive mappings

Li Wei\* and Ruilin Tan

Dedicated to Professor Wataru Takahashi on the occasion of his 70th birthday

\*Correspondence:  
diandianba@yahoo.com  
School of Mathematics and  
Statistics, Hebei University of  
Economics and Business,  
Shijiazhuang, 050061, China

## Abstract

In this paper, we present a new iterative scheme to solve the problems of finding common zeros of finite  $m$ -accretive mappings in a real Hilbert space. Some strong and weak convergence theorems are established under different assumptions, which extends the corresponding works given by some authors.

**MSC:** 47H05; 47H09

**Keywords:** accretive mapping; contraction; zero point; iterative scheme; strong (weak) convergence

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $\| \cdot \|$ , respectively. Then for  $\forall x, y \in H$ , and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\| \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \|^2 = \lambda \|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda) \|y\|^2 - \lambda(1 - \lambda) \|x - y\|^2. \quad (1.1)$$

We write  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  to indicate that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $x$ , and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  implies that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x$ .

Let  $C$  be a closed and convex subset of  $H$ . Then, for every point  $x \in H$ , there exists a unique nearest point in  $C$ , denoted by  $P_C x$ , such that  $\|x - P_C x\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for all  $y \in C$ .  $P_C$  is called the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $C$ . It is well known that  $P_C : H \rightarrow C$  is characterized by the properties:

- (i)  $\langle x - P_C x, P_C x - y \rangle \geq 0$ , for all  $y \in C$  and  $x \in H$ ;
- (ii) For every  $x, y \in H$ ,  $\|P_C x - P_C y\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, P_C x - P_C y \rangle$ ;
- (iii)  $\|P_C x - P_C y\| \leq \|x - y\|$ , for every  $x, y \in H$ ;
- (iv)  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  and  $P_C x_n \rightarrow y_0$  imply that  $P_C x_0 = y_0$ .

A mapping  $f : C \rightarrow C$  is called a contraction if there exists a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq k \|x - y\|$ , for  $\forall x, y \in C$ . We use  $\sum_C$  to denote the collection of mappings  $f$  verifying the above inequality. That is,  $\sum_C := \{f : C \rightarrow C \mid f \text{ is a contraction with constant } k\}$ .

A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be nonexpansive if  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ , for  $\forall x, y \in C$ . We use  $F(T)$  to denote the fixed point set of  $T$ , that is,  $F(T) := \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$ .

A mapping  $A : H \supset D(A) \rightarrow R(A) \subset H$  is called accretive if  $\langle x - y, Ax - Ay \rangle \geq 0$ , for  $\forall x, y \in D(A)$  and it is called  $m$ -accretive if  $R(I + \lambda A) = H$ , for  $\forall \lambda > 0$ . An  $m$ -accretive mapping  $A$

is demi-closed, that is, if  $\{x_n\} \subset D(A)$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $Ax_n \rightarrow y$ , then  $x \in D(A)$  and  $y = Ax$ . Let  $A^{-1}0$  denote the set of zeros of  $A$ , that is,  $A^{-1}0 := \{x \in D(A) : Ax = 0\}$ . We denote by  $J_r^A$  (for  $r > 0$ ) the resolvent of  $A$ , that is,  $J_r^A := (I + rA)^{-1}$ . Then  $J_r^A$  is nonexpansive and  $F(J_r^A) = A^{-1}0$ .

Interest in accretive mappings, which is an important class of nonlinear operators, stems mainly from their firm connection with equations of evolution. It is well known that many physically significant problems can be modeled by initial value problems of the form

$$x'(t) + Ax(t) = 0, \quad x(0) = x_0, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $A$  is an accretive mapping. Typical examples where such evolution equations occur can be found in the heat, wave or Schrödinger equations. If  $x(t)$  is dependent on  $t$ , then (1.2) is reduced to

$$Au = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

whose solutions correspond to the equilibrium of the system (1.2). Consequently, within the past 40 years or so, considerable research efforts have been devoted to methods for finding approximate solutions of (1.3). An early fundamental result in the theory of accretive operators, due to Browder [1]. One classical method for studying the problem  $0 \in Ax$ , where  $A$  is an  $m$ -accretive mapping, is the following so-called proximal method (cf. [2]):

$$x_0 \in H, \quad x_{n+1} \approx J_{r_n}x_n, \quad n \geq 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $J_{r_n} := (I + r_nA)^{-1}$ . It was shown that the sequence generated by (1.4) converges weakly or strongly to a zero point of  $A$  under some conditions.

Recall that the following normal Mann iterative scheme to approximate the fixed point of a nonexpansive mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  was introduced by Mann [3]:

$$x_0 \in C, \quad x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nTx_n, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{1.5}$$

It was proved that under some conditions, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  produced by (1.5) converges weakly to a point in  $F(T)$ .

Later, many mathematicians tried to combine the ideas of proximal method and Mann iterative method to approximate the zeros of  $m$ -accretive mappings; see, e.g. [4–11] and references therein.

Especially, in 2007, Qin and Su [4] presented the following iterative scheme:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ y_n = \beta_nx_n + (1 - \beta_n)J_{r_n}x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_nu + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where  $J_{r_n} = (I + r_nA)^{-1}$ . They showed that  $\{x_n\}$  generated by the above scheme converges strongly to a zero of  $A$ .

Based on iterative schemes (1.4) and (1.5), Zegeye and Shahzad extended their discussion to the case of finite  $m$ -accretive mappings. They presented in [12] the following iterative

scheme:

$$x_0 \in C, \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) S_r x_n, \quad n \geq 0, \tag{1.7}$$

where  $S_r = a_0 I + a_1 J_{A_1} + a_2 J_{A_2} + \dots + a_l J_{A_l}$  with  $J_{A_i} = (I + A_i)^{-1}$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^l a_i = 1$ . If  $\bigcap_{i=1}^l A_i^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ , they proved that  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.7) converges strongly to the common zeros of  $A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) under some conditions.

Later, their work was extended to the following one presented by Hu and Liu in [13]:

$$x_0 \in C, \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + \beta_n x_n + \vartheta_n S_{r_n} x_n, \quad n \geq 0, \tag{1.8}$$

where  $S_{r_n} = a_0 I + a_1 J_{r_n}^{A_1} + a_2 J_{r_n}^{A_2} + \dots + a_l J_{r_n}^{A_l}$  with  $J_{r_n}^{A_i} = (I + r_n A_i)^{-1}$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^l a_i = 1$ .  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\vartheta_n\} \subset (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n = 1$ . If  $\bigcap_{i=1}^l A_i^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ , they proved that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to the common zeros of  $A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) under some conditions.

In this paper, based on the work of (1.6), (1.7), and (1.8), we present the following iterative scheme:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ y_n = \beta_n f(x_n) + (1 - \beta_n) S_{r_n}^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} x_n, \\ u_n = \vartheta_n f(y_n) + (1 - \vartheta_n) W_{r_n} y_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(u_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) u_n, \end{cases} \tag{A}$$

where  $S_{r_n}^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} := J_{r_n}^{A_m} J_{r_n}^{A_{m-1}} \dots J_{r_n}^{A_1}$ ,  $W_{r_n} = a_0 I + a_1 J_{r_n}^{B_1} + a_2 J_{r_n}^{B_2} + \dots + a_l J_{r_n}^{B_l}$ ,  $J_{r_n}^{A_i} = (I + r_n A_i)^{-1}$  and  $J_{r_n}^{B_j} = (I + r_n B_j)^{-1}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ .  $\sum_{k=0}^l a_k = 1, f : C \rightarrow C$  is a contraction, both  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m$  and  $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^l$  are finite families of  $m$ -accretive mappings. More details of iterative scheme (A) will be presented in Section 2. We shall prove a weak convergent theorem and a strong convergent theorem under different assumptions on  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\vartheta_n\}$ , and  $\{r_n\}$ , respectively.

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

By using the properties of the metric projection and  $m$ -accretive mappings, we can easily prove the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1** For  $\forall x \in H$  and  $\forall y \in C$ ,  $\|P_C x - y\|^2 + \|P_C x - x\|^2 \leq \|y - x\|^2$ .

**Lemma 1.2** For  $\forall y \in A^{-1}0$ ,  $\forall x \in H$  and  $r > 0$ ,

$$\|(I + rA)^{-1} x - y\|^2 + \|(I + rA)^{-1} x - x\|^2 \leq \|y - x\|^2.$$

**Lemma 1.3** ([14]) Let  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  be two sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying

$$a_{n+1} \leq a_n + b_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < +\infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$  exists.

**Lemma 1.4** ([15]) *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space and  $A$  be an  $m$ -accretive mapping. For  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  and  $x \in H$ , we have*

$$J_{\lambda}^A x = J_{\mu}^A \left( \frac{\mu}{\lambda} x + \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right) J_{\lambda}^A x \right),$$

where  $J_{\lambda}^A = (I + \lambda A)^{-1}$  and  $J_{\mu}^A = (I + \mu A)^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 1.5** ([16]) *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space and  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ , and  $f \in \sum_C$ . Then  $z_t$ , defined by*

$$z_t = t f(z_t) + (1 - t) T z_t, \quad z_t \in C,$$

converges strongly to a point in  $F(T)$ . If one defines  $Q : \sum_C \rightarrow F(T)$  by  $Q(f) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} z_t$ ,  $f \in \sum_C$ , then  $Q(f)$  solves the following variational inequality:

$$\langle (I - f)Q(f), Q(f) - p \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in F(T).$$

**Lemma 1.6** ([17]) *Let  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$ , and  $\{c_n\}$  be three sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - c_n) a_n + b_n c_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\{c_n\} \subset (0, 1)$  such that

- (i)  $c_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n = +\infty$ ,
- (ii) either  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \leq 0$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n c_n| < +\infty$ .

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

**Lemma 1.7** *In a Hilbert space  $H$ , we can easily get the following inequality:*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

## 2 Weak and strong convergence theorems

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space,  $C$  be a nonempty closed and convex subset of  $H$  and  $A_i, B_j : C \rightarrow C$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) be finitely many  $m$ -accretive mappings such that  $D := (\bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i^{-1} 0) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^l B_j^{-1} 0) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} := J_r^{A_m} J_r^{A_{m-1}} \dots J_r^{A_1}$  and  $W_r := a_0 I + a_1 J_r^{B_1} + a_2 J_r^{B_2} + \dots + a_l J_r^{B_l}$ , where  $J_r^{A_i} = (I + r A_i)^{-1}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ),  $J_r^{B_j} = (I + r B_j)^{-1}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ),  $a_k \in (0, 1)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, l$ ,  $\sum_{k=0}^l a_k = 1$ , and  $r > 0$ . Then  $S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} : C \rightarrow C$  and  $W_r : C \rightarrow C$  are nonexpansive.*

Lemma 2.1 can easily be obtained in view of the facts that  $(I + r A_i)^{-1}$  and  $(I + r B_j)^{-1}$  are nonexpansive,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $H, C, D$ , and  $A_i, B_j : C \rightarrow C$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) be the same as those in Lemma 2.1. Suppose that  $D \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by the iterative scheme (A). If  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\vartheta_n\}$  are three sequences in  $[0, 1)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n < +\infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n < +\infty$ ,*

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \vartheta_n < +\infty$ ,  $\{r_n\} \subset (0, +\infty)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = +\infty$  and  $f : C \rightarrow C$  is a contraction with contractive constant  $k \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point  $v_0 \in D$  satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - v_0\| = \min_{y \in D} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|. \tag{2.1}$$

*Proof* We split our proof into five steps.

Step 1.  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are all bounded.

We can easily know that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i^{-1}0 \subset F(S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1})$ , and  $\bigcap_{j=1}^l B_j^{-1}0 \subset F(W_{r_n})$ . Then for  $\forall p \in D$ , from Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - p\| = \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|. \tag{2.2}$$

Based on (2.2), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\| &\leq \beta_n \|f(x_n) - p\| + (1 - \beta_n) \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - p\| \\ &\leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)] \|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \|f(p) - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Then (2.3) and Lemma 2.1 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\| &\leq \vartheta_n \|f(y_n) - f(p)\| + \vartheta_n \|f(p) - p\| + (1 - \vartheta_n) \|y_n - p\| \\ &\leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)] [1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)] \|x_n - p\| \\ &\quad + [\vartheta_n + \beta_n - \vartheta_n \beta_n(1 - k)] \|f(p) - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Using (2.4), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \alpha_n \|f(u_n) - f(p)\| + \alpha_n \|f(p) - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - p\| \\ &\leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)] [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)] [1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)] \|x_n - p\| \\ &\quad + \{[1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)] [\vartheta_n + \beta_n - \vartheta_n \beta_n(1 - k)] + \alpha_n\} \|f(p) - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\| + (\vartheta_n + \beta_n + \alpha_n) \|f(p) - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Then Lemma 1.3 implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists, which ensures that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Combining with the fact that  $f$  is a contraction and noticing (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4), we can easily know that  $\{f(x_n)\}$ ,  $\{u_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$ ,  $\{f(u_n)\}$ ,  $\{f(y_n)\}$ ,  $\{S_{r_n}^{A_i \cdots A_1} x_n\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), and  $\{J_{r_n}^{B_j} x_n\}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) are all bounded.

We may let  $M_1 = \max\{\sup\{\|x_n\| : n \geq 1\}, \sup\{\|y_n\| : n \geq 1\}, \sup\{\|u_n\| : n \geq 1\}, \sup\{\|f(x_n)\| : n \geq 1\}, \sup\{\|f(y_n)\| : n \geq 1\}, \sup\{\|f(u_n)\| : n \geq 1\}, \sup\{\|S_{r_n}^{A_i \cdots A_1} x_n\| : n \geq 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}, \sup\{\|J_{r_n}^{B_j} x_n\| : n \geq 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, l\}\}$ .

Step 2.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_D x_n - x_n\|$  exists.

In fact, it follows from the property of  $P_D$  that

$$\|P_D x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| \leq \|P_D x_n - x_{n+1}\|. \tag{2.6}$$

In view of Lemma 1.1, we know that for  $\forall v \in D$ ,

$$\|v - P_D x_n\|^2 \leq \|v - x_n\|^2 - \|P_D x_n - x_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - v\|^2, \tag{2.7}$$

which implies that  $\{P_D x_n\}$  is bounded since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded from step 1. Then  $\{f(P_D x_n)\}$  is also bounded.

Let  $M_2 = \max\{\sup\{\|P_D x_n\| : n \geq 1\}, \sup\{\|f(P_D x_n)\| : n \geq 1\}\}$ .

Noticing (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - P_D x_{n+1}\| &\leq \|x_n - P_D x_n\| + (\vartheta_n + \beta_n + \alpha_n) \|f(P_D x_n) - P_D x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - P_D x_n\| + 2(\vartheta_n + \beta_n + \alpha_n) M_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of Lemma 1.3,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_D x_n - x_n\|$  exists.

Step 3.  $P_D x_n \rightarrow v_0$ , where  $v_0 \in D$  satisfies (2.1), as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We first claim that there exists a unique element  $v_0 \in D$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - v_0\| = \min_{y \in D} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|.$$

In fact, if we let  $h(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|, \forall y \in D$ . Then we can easily find that  $h(y) : D \rightarrow R^+$  is proper, strictly convex and lower-semi-continuous and  $h(y) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|y\| \rightarrow +\infty$ . This ensures that there exists a unique element  $v_0 \in D$  such that  $h(v_0) = \min_{y \in D} h(y)$ .

From (2.7), we know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_0 - P_D x_n\|^2 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|v_0 - x_n\|^2 - \|P_D x_n - x_n\|^2) = h^2(v_0) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_D x_n - x_n\|^2 \leq 0.$$

Therefore,  $P_D x_n \rightarrow v_0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Step 4.  $\omega(x_n) \subset D$ , where  $\omega(x_n)$  denotes the set consisting all of the weak limit points of  $\{x_n\}$ .

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, then there exists a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ , for simplicity, we still denote it by  $\{x_n\}$ , such that  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $\|\cdot\|$  is convex, by using Lemma 1.2 and noticing (2.3), we have, for  $\forall p \in D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\|^2 &\leq \beta_n \|f(x_n) - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n \|f(x_n) - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) [\|S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n\|^2] \\ &\leq \beta_n k \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) [\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n\|^2] \\ &\quad + \beta_n \|f(p) - p\|^2 + 2\beta_n k \|x_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \beta_n) \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + \beta_n \|f(p) - p\|^2 + 2\beta_n k \|x_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Then using (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\|^2 &\leq \vartheta_n \|f(y_n) - p\|^2 + (1 - \vartheta_n) \|W_{r_n} y_n - W_{r_n} p\|^2 \\ &\leq [1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)] \|y_n - p\|^2 + 2\vartheta_n k \|y_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\| + \vartheta_n \|f(p) - p\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \beta_n) \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + (\vartheta_n + \beta_n) \|f(p) - p\|^2 + 2k(\beta_n \|x_n - p\| + \vartheta_n \|y_n - p\|) \|f(p) - p\|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\ &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)] \|u_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n k \|u_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\| + \alpha_n \|f(p) - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \beta_n) \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + (\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n) \|f(p) - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2k(\alpha_n \|u_n - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + \vartheta_n \|y_n - p\|) \|f(p) - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + (\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n) \|f(p) - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2k(\alpha_n \|u_n - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + \vartheta_n \|y_n - p\|) \|f(p) - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Since from the proof of step 1, we know that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists, then  $S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Going back to (2.8) again, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\|^2 &\leq \beta_n \|f(x_n) - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n \|f(x_n) - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta_n) [\|S_{r_n}^{A_{m-2} \cdots A_1} x_n - p\|^2 - \|S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-2} \cdots A_1} x_n\|^2] \\ &\leq \beta_n k \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) [\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-2} \cdots A_1} x_n\|^2] \\ &\quad + \beta_n \|f(p) - p\|^2 + 2\beta_n k \|x_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \beta_n) \|S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-2} \cdots A_1} x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + \beta_n \|f(p) - p\|^2 + 2\beta_n k \|x_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Then using (2.12), repeating the processes of (2.9)-(2.11), we know that

$$S_{r_n}^{A_{m-1} \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-2} \cdots A_1} x_n \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By using the inductive method, we have the following results:

$$S_{r_n}^{A_{m-2} \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_n}^{A_{m-3} \cdots A_1} x_n \rightarrow 0,$$

...

$$(I + r_n A_1)^{-1} x_n - x_n \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $(I + r_n A_1)^{-1} x_n \rightarrow x, \dots, S_n^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} x_n = (I + r_n A_m)^{-1} \dots (I + r_n A_1)^{-1} x_n \rightarrow x$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $v_{n,1} = (I + r_n A_1)^{-1} x_n$ , then  $A_1 v_{n,1} = \frac{x_n - v_{n,1}}{r_n} \rightarrow 0$ , since  $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and both  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{v_{n,1}\}$  are bounded. This ensures that  $x \in A_1^{-1} 0$ .

Let  $v_{n,2} = (I + r_n A_2)^{-1} (I + r_n A_1)^{-1} x_n = (I + r_n A_2)^{-1} v_{n,1}$ , then  $A_2 v_{n,2} = \frac{v_{n,1} - v_{n,2}}{r_n} \rightarrow 0$ , which implies that  $x \in A_2^{-1} 0$ .

By induction, let  $v_{n,m} = (I + r_n A_m)^{-1} \dots (I + r_n A_1)^{-1} x_n = (I + r_n A_m)^{-1} v_{n,m-1}$ , then  $A_m v_{n,m} = \frac{v_{n,m-1} - v_{n,m}}{r_n} \rightarrow 0$ , which implies that  $x \in A_m^{-1} 0$ . Thus  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i^{-1} 0$ .

Next, we shall show that  $x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^l B_j^{-1} 0$ .

From step 1, we may assume that there exists  $M_3 > 0$  such that  $2\|x_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\| + \|f(p) - p\|^2 \leq M_3$ ,  $2\|y_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\| + \|f(p) - p\|^2 \leq M_3$  and  $2\|u_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\| + \|f(p) - p\|^2 \leq M_3$ .

Now, computing the following,  $\forall p \in D$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\|^2 &\leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)] \|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n \|f(p) - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\beta_n k \|x_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\| \\ &\leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)] \|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n M_3. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

By using Lemma 1.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\|^2 &\leq k \vartheta_n \|y_n - p\|^2 + 2\vartheta_n k \|f(p) - p\| \|y_n - p\| + \vartheta_n \|f(p) - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \vartheta_n) \left( a_0 \|y_n - p\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \|(I + r_n B_j)^{-1} y_n - p\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq k \vartheta_n \|y_n - p\|^2 + 2\vartheta_n k \|f(p) - p\| \|y_n - p\| + \vartheta_n \|f(p) - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \vartheta_n) \left[ a_0 \|y_n - p\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^l a_j (\|y_n - p\|^2 - \|(I + r_n B_j)^{-1} y_n - y_n\|^2) \right] \\ &= [1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)] \|y_n - p\|^2 + 2\vartheta_n k \|y_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\| + \vartheta_n \|f(p) - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \vartheta_n) \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \|(I + r_n B_j)^{-1} y_n - y_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|y_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \vartheta_n) \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \|(I + r_n B_j)^{-1} y_n - y_n\|^2 + \vartheta_n M_3. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Then (2.13) and (2.14) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)] \|u_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n k \|u_n - p\| \|f(p) - p\| + \alpha_n \|f(p) - p\|^2 \\ &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)] \|u_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n M_3 \\ &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)] \left[ \|y_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \vartheta_n) \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \|(I + r_n B_j)^{-1} y_n - y_n\|^2 + \vartheta_n M_3 \right] + \alpha_n M_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)][1 - \beta_n(1 - k)]\|x_n - p\|^2 + [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)]M_3(\beta_n + \vartheta_n) + \alpha_n M_3 \\ &\quad - [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)](1 - \vartheta_n) \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \|(I + r_n B_j)^{-1} y_n - y_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

From step 1, we know that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists, then (2.15) implies that

$$(I + r_n B_j)^{-1} y_n - y_n \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, l. \tag{2.16}$$

From the iterative scheme (A),  $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$ , and the results of step 1, we know that

$$y_n - S_{r_n}^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} x_n = \beta_n (f(x_n) - S_{r_n}^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} x_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then  $y_n \rightarrow x$ , since  $S_{r_n}^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} x_n \rightarrow x$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus from (2.16), we have  $(I + r_n B_j)^{-1} y_n \rightarrow x$ , imitating the proof of  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i^{-1} 0$ , we can see that  $x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^l B_j^{-1} 0$ , and then  $x \in D$ .

Step 5.  $x_n \rightarrow v_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_D x_n$ .

In fact, for  $\forall y \in D$ ,

$$\langle P_D x_n - y, P_D x_n - x_n \rangle \leq 0. \tag{2.17}$$

From step 3, we know that  $P_D x_n \rightarrow v_0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  which is weakly convergent to  $x_0$ . Then  $x_0 \in D$  from step 4. Taking the limits on both sides of (2.17), we know that  $\langle v_0 - y, v_0 - x_0 \rangle \leq 0$ .

Letting  $y = x_0$ , we have  $x_0 = v_0$ .

Supposing  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  is another subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_j} \rightarrow x_1$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then repeating the above proof, we have  $x_1 = v_0$ . Since all of the weakly convergent subsequences of  $\{x_n\}$  converge to the same element  $v_0$ , then the whole sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $v_0$ .

This completes the proof. □

**Remark 2.1** To prove the strong convergence result in Theorem 2.2, we need to prove the following two lemmas first and some new proof techniques can be seen.

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $H, C, D, A_i, B_j : C \rightarrow C$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ),  $S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}$  and  $W_r$  be the same as those in Lemma 2.1. Suppose that  $D \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $F(S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i^{-1} 0$  and  $F(W_r) = \bigcap_{j=1}^l B_j^{-1} 0$ , for  $\forall r > 0$ .

*Proof* It is easy to check  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i^{-1} 0 \subset F(S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1})$  and  $\bigcap_{j=1}^l B_j^{-1} 0 \subset F(W_r)$ , for  $\forall r > 0$ .

Next, we shall show that  $F(W_r) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^l B_j^{-1} 0$ .

For  $\forall q \in F(W_r)$ ,  $\forall q \in \bigcap_{j=1}^l B_j^{-1} 0$ . Since  $\bigcap_{j=1}^l B_j^{-1} 0 \subset F(W_r)$ , then  $q = W_r q$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|q - p\| &= \|a_0(q - p) + a_1(J_r^{B_1} q - J_r^{B_1} p) + \dots + a_l(J_r^{B_l} q - J_r^{B_l} p)\| \\ &\leq a_0 \|q - p\| + a_1 \|J_r^{B_1} q - J_r^{B_1} p\| + \dots + a_l \|J_r^{B_l} q - J_r^{B_l} p\| \\ &\leq \|q - p\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $a_0(\|q-p\| - \|q-p\|) + a_1(\|q-p\| - \|J_r^{B_1}q - J_r^{B_1}p\|) + \dots + a_l(\|q-p\| - \|J_r^{B_l}q - J_r^{B_l}p\|) = 0$ .  
 Since  $\|q-p\| - \|J_r^{B_j}q - J_r^{B_j}p\| \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , then  $\|q-p\| - \|J_r^{B_j}q - J_r^{B_j}p\| = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ .  
 That is,

$$\|q-p\| = \|J_r^{B_j}q - J_r^{B_j}p\| = \|q - J_r^{B_j}p\|, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l. \tag{2.18}$$

By using Lemma 1.2 and (2.18), we know that  $\|p - J_r^{B_j}p\|^2 \leq \|q-p\|^2 - \|q - J_r^{B_j}p\|^2 = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . Thus  $p = J_r^{B_j}p$ , which implies that  $p \in B_j^{-1}0, j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . Then  $F(W_r) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^l B_j^{-1}0$ , for  $r > 0$ .

Finally, we shall show that  $F(S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i^{-1}0$ .

For  $\forall p \in F(S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1})$ , then  $p = S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}p$ . Let  $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i^{-1}0$ , then  $q = S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}q$ , since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i^{-1}0 \subset F(S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1})$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|q-p\| &= \|S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}q - S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}p\| \\ &\leq \|S_r^{A_{m-1} A_{m-2} \dots A_1}q - S_r^{A_{m-1} A_{m-2} \dots A_1}p\| \\ &\leq \|S_r^{A_{m-2} A_{m-3} \dots A_1}q - S_r^{A_{m-2} A_{m-3} \dots A_1}p\| \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \|(I + rA_1)^{-1}q - (I + rA_1)^{-1}p\| \leq \|q-p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

From (2.19), we know that

$$\|q - (I + rA_1)^{-1}p\| = \|q-p\|. \tag{2.20}$$

Noticing that (2.20) and (2.18) have the same form, then repeating the proof of  $p = J_r^{B_j}p$ , we know that  $p = (I + rA_1)^{-1}p$  and then  $p \in A_1^{-1}0$ .

Since  $p \in A_1^{-1}0$ , using (2.19) again, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|q-p\| &= \|(I + rA_2)^{-1}(I + rA_1)^{-1}q - (I + rA_2)^{-1}(I + rA_1)^{-1}p\| \\ &= \|q - (I + rA_2)^{-1}p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Repeating the above proof again,  $p \in A_2^{-1}0$ .

By induction, we have  $p \in A_m^{-1}0$ . Therefore,  $F(S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i^{-1}0$ .

This completes the proof. □

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $H, C, D, A_i, B_j : C \rightarrow C$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ),  $S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}$  and  $W_r$  be the same as those in Lemma 2.1. Suppose that  $D \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} : C \rightarrow C$  is nonexpansive and  $F(W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}) = D$ , for  $\forall r > 0$ .

*Proof* It is easy to check that  $W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} : C \rightarrow C$  is nonexpansive. We are left to show that  $F(W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}) = D$ .

$\forall p \in D$ , then, from Lemma 2.2,  $p = S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}p$  and  $p = W_r p$ . Thus  $p = W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}p$ , which implies that  $D \subset F(W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1})$ .

On the other hand, let  $p \in F(W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1})$ , then  $p = W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} p$ . Let  $q \in D$ , then  $q = W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} q$ , since  $D \subset F(W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1})$ . Then Lemma 2.1 ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} \|p - q\| &\leq \|S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} p - S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} q\| \\ &\leq \|S_r^{A_{m-1} \dots A_1} p - S_r^{A_{m-1} \dots A_1} q\| \\ &\leq \dots \leq \|J_r^{A_1} p - J_r^{A_1} q\| \leq \|p - q\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|J_r^{A_1} p - q\| = \|S_r^{A_2 A_1} p - q\| = \dots = \|S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} p - q\| = \|p - q\|.$$

Using the same method as that in Lemma 2.2,  $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i^{-1} 0$ . Thus  $p = S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} p$ . Since  $p = W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} p$ , then  $p = W_r p$ , which implies that  $p \in \bigcap_{j=1}^l B_j^{-1} 0$  from Lemma 2.2. Therefore,  $F(W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}) \subset D$ .

This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 2.2** Suppose  $H, D, C, \{A_i\}_{i=1}^m, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^l$  and  $f$  are the same as those in Theorem 2.1. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by the iterative scheme (A). If  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\vartheta_n\}$  are three sequences in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{r_n\} \subset (0, +\infty)$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < +\infty$ , and  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = +\infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < +\infty$ , and  $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\vartheta_{n+1} - \vartheta_n| < +\infty$ , and  $\vartheta_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (iv)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |r_{n+1} - r_n| < +\infty$ , and  $r_n \rightarrow r^* \geq \varepsilon > 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $p_0 \in D$ , which is the unique solution of the following variational inequality:

$$(f(p_0) - p_0, p_0 - q) \geq 0, \quad \forall q \in D. \tag{2.22}$$

*Proof* We shall split the proof into five steps:

Step 1.  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall p \in D, \quad \|y_n - p\| &\leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)] \|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \|f(p) - p\|, \\ \|u_n - p\| &\leq [1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)] \|y_n - p\| + \vartheta_n \|f(p) - p\|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\delta_n = \alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n - (\alpha_n \beta_n + \alpha_n \vartheta_n + \beta_n \vartheta_n)(1 - k) + \alpha_n \beta_n \vartheta_n (1 - k)^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)] \|u_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|f(p) - p\| \\ &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)] [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)] [1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)] \|x_n - p\| \\ &\quad + \{ [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)] \vartheta_n + \alpha_n + [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)] [1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)] \beta_n \} \|f(p) - p\| \\ &= [1 - \delta_n(1 - k)] \|x_n - p\| + \delta_n \|f(p) - p\| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \|x_n - p\|, \frac{1}{1 - k} \|f(p) - p\| \right\}, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By induction,  $\|x_n - p\| \leq \max\{\|x_1 - p\|, \frac{1}{1-k} \|f(p) - p\|\}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.

Step 2.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - u_n\| = 0$ .

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y_n - y_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| \|f(x_n) - S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n\| + \beta_{n-1} \|f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})\| \\ & \quad + (1 - \beta_{n-1}) \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_{n-1}}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq 2M_1 |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| + \beta_{n-1} k \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\ & \quad + (1 - \beta_{n-1}) \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_{n-1}}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_{n-1}\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Next we discuss  $\|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_{n-1}}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_{n-1}\|$ .

If  $r_{n-1} \leq r_n$ , then in view of Lemma 1.4,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n - J_{r_{n-1}}^{A_1} x_{n-1}\| \\ & = \left\| J_{r_{n-1}}^{A_1} \left( \frac{r_{n-1}}{r_n} x_n + \left(1 - \frac{r_{n-1}}{r_n}\right) J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n \right) - J_{r_{n-1}}^{A_1} x_{n-1} \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{r_{n-1}}{r_n} x_n + \left(1 - \frac{r_{n-1}}{r_n}\right) J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n - x_{n-1} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{r_{n-1}}{r_n} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \left(1 - \frac{r_{n-1}}{r_n}\right) \|J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \frac{r_n - r_{n-1}}{\varepsilon} \|J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n - x_{n-1}\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

For  $\forall p \in D$ , let  $M_4 = M_1 + \|p\|$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq \|(I + r_n A_1)^{-1} x_n - p\| + \|p - x_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq \|x_n - p\| + \|p - x_{n-1}\| \leq 2M_4. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

From (2.24) and (2.25), we know that

$$\|J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n - J_{r_{n-1}}^{A_1} x_{n-1}\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + 2M_4 \frac{r_n - r_{n-1}}{\varepsilon}. \tag{2.26}$$

Notice that  $S_{r_n}^{A_2 A_1} x_n = J_{r_n}^{A_2} J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n$  and  $S_{r_{n-1}}^{A_2 A_1} x_{n-1} = J_{r_{n-1}}^{A_2} J_{r_{n-1}}^{A_1} x_{n-1}$ ; similar to (2.26), we have

$$\|S_{r_n}^{A_2 A_1} x_n - S_{r_{n-1}}^{A_2 A_1} x_{n-1}\| \leq \|J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n - J_{r_{n-1}}^{A_1} x_{n-1}\| + 2M_4 \frac{r_n - r_{n-1}}{\varepsilon}. \tag{2.27}$$

Following from (2.26) and (2.27), we have

$$\|S_{r_n}^{A_2 A_1} x_n - S_{r_{n-1}}^{A_2 A_1} x_{n-1}\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + 2 \times 2M_4 \frac{r_n - r_{n-1}}{\varepsilon}.$$

Then by induction, we can get the following result:

$$\|S_{r_n}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_n - S_{r_{n-1}}^{A_m \cdots A_1} x_{n-1}\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + 2 \times mM_4 \frac{r_n - r_{n-1}}{\varepsilon}. \tag{2.28}$$

Putting (2.28) into (2.23), and letting  $M_5 = \max\{\frac{2 \times mM_4}{\varepsilon}, 2M_1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y_n - y_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)]\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \frac{2 \times mM_4}{\varepsilon}(r_n - r_{n-1}) + 2M_1|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| \\ & \leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)]\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + M_5[(r_n - r_{n-1}) + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

If  $r_n \leq r_{n-1}$ , then imitating the above proof, we have

$$\|y_n - y_{n-1}\| \leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)]\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + M_5[(r_{n-1} - r_n) + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|]. \tag{2.30}$$

Combining (2.29) and (2.30),

$$\|y_n - y_{n-1}\| \leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)]\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + M_5(|r_{n-1} - r_n| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|). \tag{2.31}$$

Similar to the discussion of (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|W_{r_n}y_n - W_{r_{n-1}}y_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq a_0\|y_n - y_{n-1}\| + \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \|J_{r_n}^{B_j}y_n - J_{r_{n-1}}^{B_j}y_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq a_0\|y_n - y_{n-1}\| + \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \left( \|y_n - y_{n-1}\| + \frac{|r_n - r_{n-1}|}{\varepsilon} \|J_{r_n}^{B_j}y_n - y_{n-1}\| \right) \\ & \leq \|y_n - y_{n-1}\| + 2M_1 \frac{|r_n - r_{n-1}|}{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Using (2.32), then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq \vartheta_n k \|y_n - y_{n-1}\| + |\vartheta_n - \vartheta_{n-1}| (\|f(y_{n-1})\| + \|W_{r_{n-1}}y_{n-1}\|) \\ & \quad + (1 - \vartheta_n) \|W_{r_n}y_n - W_{r_{n-1}}y_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq [1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)]\|y_n - y_{n-1}\| + 2M_1|\vartheta_n - \vartheta_{n-1}| + \frac{2M_1}{\varepsilon}|r_n - r_{n-1}|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Based on (2.31) and (2.33), and letting  $M_6 = M_5 + \frac{2M_1}{\varepsilon}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ & \leq \alpha_n \|f(u_n) - f(u_{n-1})\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|f(u_{n-1})\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \\ & \quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|u_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)]\|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + 2M_1|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \\ & \leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)][1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)]\|y_n - y_{n-1}\| + 2M_1(|\vartheta_n - \vartheta_{n-1}| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}|) \\ & \quad + \frac{2M_1}{\varepsilon}|r_n - r_{n-1}| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)] \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + M_6 (|r_n - r_{n-1}| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + |\vartheta_n - \vartheta_{n-1}|).$$

In view of Lemma 1.6, we know that  $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Combining with the fact that  $\|x_{n+1} - u_n\| = \alpha_n \|f(u_n) - u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , we can easily know that  $\|x_n - u_n\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|x_{n+1} - u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Step 3.  $\|W_r u_n - u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\|S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} u_n - u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In view of Lemma 1.4 again, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n - S_r^{A_1} x_n\| \\ &= \left\| J_r^{A_1} \left( \frac{r}{r_n} x_n + \left( 1 - \frac{r}{r_n} \right) J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n \right) - J_r^{A_1} x_n \right\| \\ &\leq \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_n} \right| \|J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n - x_n\| \leq 2M_1 \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_n} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S_{r_n}^{A_2 A_1} x_n - S_r^{A_2 A_1} x_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{r}{r_n} \|J_{r_n}^{A_1} x_n - J_r^{A_1} x_n\| + \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_n} \right| \|S_{r_n}^{A_2 A_1} x_n - J_r^{A_1} x_n\| \leq 2M_1 \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_n} \right| \left( \frac{r}{r_n} + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By induction,

$$\|S_{r_n}^{A_m \dots A_1} x_n - S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} x_n\| \leq 2M_1 \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_n} \right| \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_n} \right)^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{r}{r_n} + 1 \right] \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.34}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $r_n \rightarrow r^*$ .

$\forall p \in D$ , continuing the computation of (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)](1 - \vartheta_n) \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \|(I + r_n B_j)^{-1} y_n - y_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + M_3(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n). \end{aligned}$$

From step 2, we know that  $\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\|(I + r_n B_j)^{-1} y_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , which implies that

$$W_{r_n} y_n - y_n \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.35}$$

Noticing that  $\|u_n - W_{r_n} y_n\| = \vartheta_n \|f(y_n) - W_{r_n} y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\|y_n - S_{r_n}^{A_m \dots A_1} x_n\| = \beta_n \|f(x_n) - S_{r_n}^{A_m \dots A_1} x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Combining with the facts of (2.34), (2.35), and step 2, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_n - S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} u_n\| \\ &\leq \|u_n - W_{r_n} y_n\| + \|W_{r_n} y_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - S_{r_n}^{A_m \dots A_1} x_n\| \\ &\quad + \|S_{r_n}^{A_m \dots A_1} x_n - S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} x_n\| + \|S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} x_n - S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} u_n\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1.4 again, then

$$\|W_{r_n}y_n - W_r y_n\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \|J_{r_n}^{B_j} y_n - J_r^{B_j} y_n\| \leq 2M_1(1 - a_0) \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_n} \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\|W_r u_n - W_r y_n\| \leq \|u_n - y_n\| \leq \vartheta_n \|f(y_n) - y_n\| + (1 - \vartheta_n) \|W_{r_n} y_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\|u_n - W_r u_n\| \leq \|u_n - W_{r_n} y_n\| + \|W_{r_n} y_n - W_r y_n\| + \|W_r y_n - W_r u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Step 4.  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(p_0) - p_0, u_n - p_0 \rangle \leq 0$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(p_0) - p_0, x_{n+1} - p_0 \rangle \leq 0$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(p_0) - p_0, y_n - p_0 \rangle \leq 0$ , where  $p_0$  satisfies (2.22).

Using Lemmas 1.5 and 2.3, we know that if we let  $z_t = tf(z_t) + (1 - t)W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1} z_t$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then  $z_t \rightarrow p_0 \in F(W_r S_r^{A_m A_{m-1} \dots A_1}) = D$ , as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . And,  $p_0$  satisfies (2.22).

From step 3, we may choose  $t_n \in (0, 1)$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\frac{\|S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} u_n - u_n\|}{t_n} \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\frac{\|W_r u_n - u_n\|}{t_n} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using Lemma 1.7,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|z_{t_n} - u_n\|^2 \\ & \leq (1 - t_n)^2 \|W_r S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} z_{t_n} - u_n\|^2 + 2t_n \langle f(z_{t_n}) - u_n, z_{t_n} - u_n \rangle \\ & \leq (1 - t_n)^2 [\|z_{t_n} - u_n\| + \|u_n - S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} u_n\| + \|u_n - W_r u_n\|]^2 \\ & \quad + 2t_n \langle f(z_{t_n}) - z_{t_n}, z_{t_n} - u_n \rangle + 2t_n \|z_{t_n} - u_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f(z_{t_n}) - z_{t_n}, u_n - z_{t_n} \rangle \\ & \leq \frac{t_n}{2} \|z_{t_n} - u_n\|^2 + \frac{(1 - t_n)^2}{t_n} \|z_{t_n} - u_n\| (\|S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} u_n - u_n\| + \|u_n - W_r u_n\|) \\ & \quad + \frac{(1 - t_n)^2}{2t_n} (\|S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} u_n - u_n\| + \|W_r u_n - u_n\|)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Since  $\{S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} u_n\}$ ,  $\{W_r u_n\}$ ,  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{z_{t_n}\}$  are all bounded, and  $\frac{\|S_r^{A_m \dots A_1} u_n - u_n\|}{t_n} \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\frac{\|W_r u_n - u_n\|}{t_n} \rightarrow 0$ , from (2.36),  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(z_{t_n}) - z_{t_n}, u_n - z_{t_n} \rangle \leq 0$ .

Recalling that  $z_{t_n} \rightarrow p_0$ , then  $\langle z_{t_n} - p_0, u_n - z_{t_n} \rangle \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(z_{t_n}) - p_0, u_n - z_{t_n} \rangle \leq 0$ . Since  $\langle f(z_{t_n}) - p_0, u_n - p_0 \rangle = \langle f(z_{t_n}) - p_0, u_n - z_{t_n} \rangle + \langle f(z_{t_n}) - p_0, z_{t_n} - p_0 \rangle$ , then  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(p_0) - p_0, u_n - p_0 \rangle \leq 0$ . Then from step 2,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(p_0) - p_0, x_{n+1} - p_0 \rangle \leq 0$ .

Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f(p_0) - p_0, y_n - p_0 \rangle \\ & = \langle f(p_0) - p_0, y_n - W_{r_n} y_n \rangle + \langle f(p_0) - p_0, W_{r_n} y_n - u_n \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle f(p_0) - p_0, u_n - x_{n+1} \rangle + \langle f(p_0) - p_0, x_{n+1} - p_0 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and using (2.35), iterative scheme (A) and the result of step 2, we have  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(p_0) - p_0, y_n - p_0 \rangle \leq 0$ .

Step 5.  $x_n \rightarrow p_0$ , which satisfies (2.22), as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using Lemma 1.7, we know that

$$\|y_n - p_0\|^2 \leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - k)]\|x_n - p_0\|^2 + 2\beta_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, y_n - p_0 \rangle. \tag{2.37}$$

We have

$$\|u_n - p_0\|^2 \leq [1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)]\|y_n - p_0\|^2 + 2\vartheta_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, u_n - p_0 \rangle. \tag{2.38}$$

Letting  $M_7 = \max\{(M_1 + \|p_0\|)^2, 2(M_1 + \|p_0\|)(\|f(p_0)\| + \|p_0\|)\}$  and using (2.37) and (2.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_{n+1} - p_0\|^2 \\ & \leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)]\|u_n - p_0\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, x_{n+1} - p_0 \rangle \\ & \leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)][1 - \beta_n(1 - k)][1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)]\|x_n - p_0\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2[1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)][1 - \vartheta_n(1 - k)]\beta_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, y_n - p_0 \rangle \\ & \quad + 2[1 - \alpha_n(1 - k)]\vartheta_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, u_n - p_0 \rangle + 2\alpha_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, x_{n+1} - p_0 \rangle \\ & \leq [1 - (1 - k)(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n)]\|x_n - p_0\|^2 + M_7(1 - k)^2(\alpha_n\beta_n + \beta_n\vartheta_n + \alpha_n\vartheta_n) \\ & \quad + 2\alpha_n\vartheta_n(1 - k)\langle p_0 - f(p_0), u_n - p_0 \rangle + 2(\alpha_n\beta_n + \beta_n\vartheta_n)(1 - k)\langle p_0 - f(p_0), y_n - p_0 \rangle \\ & \quad + 2\alpha_n\beta_n\vartheta_n(1 - k)^2\langle f(p_0) - p_0, y_n - p_0 \rangle \\ & \quad + 2\alpha_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, x_{n+1} - p_0 \rangle + 2\beta_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, y_n - p_0 \rangle + 2\vartheta_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, u_n - p_0 \rangle \\ & \leq [1 - (1 - k)(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n)]\|x_n - p_0\|^2 + M_7(1 - k)^2(\alpha_n\beta_n + \beta_n\vartheta_n + \alpha_n\vartheta_n) \\ & \quad + M_7(1 - k)(\alpha_n\beta_n + \beta_n\vartheta_n + \alpha_n\vartheta_n) + 2M_7\alpha_n\beta_n\vartheta_n(1 - k)^2 \\ & \quad + 2\alpha_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, x_{n+1} - p_0 \rangle \\ & \quad + 2\beta_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, y_n - p_0 \rangle + 2\vartheta_n\langle f(p_0) - p_0, u_n - p_0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

Let  $c_n = (\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n)(1 - k)$ , then  $c_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n = +\infty$ .

Let  $b_n = M_7 \left[ \frac{(2-k)(\alpha_n\beta_n + \beta_n\vartheta_n + \alpha_n\vartheta_n)}{\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n} + \frac{2(1-k)\alpha_n\beta_n\vartheta_n}{\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n} \right] + \frac{2\alpha_n}{(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n)(1-k)} \langle f(p_0) - p_0, x_{n+1} - p_0 \rangle + \frac{2\vartheta_n}{(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n)(1-k)} \langle f(p_0) - p_0, u_n - p_0 \rangle + \frac{2\beta_n}{(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n)(1-k)} \langle f(p_0) - p_0, y_n - p_0 \rangle$ .

Notice that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_n\beta_n + \beta_n\vartheta_n + \alpha_n\vartheta_n}{\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n} = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_n\beta_n\vartheta_n}{\alpha_n + \beta_n + \vartheta_n} = 0$  and from the results in step 4, we have  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} b_n \leq 0$ .

Using Lemma 1.6,  $x_n \rightarrow p_0$ , which satisfies (2.22), as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

This completes the proof. □

**Remark 2.2** The iterative construction in this paper generalizes and extends some corresponding ones in [2, 4, 12, 13], etc., in Hilbert spaces.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' contributions**

The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11071053), Natural Science Foundation of Hebei (No. A2014207010), Key Project of Science and Research of Hebei Education Department (ZH2012080) and Key Project of Science and Research of Hebei University of Economics and Business (2013KYZ01).

Received: 29 October 2013 Accepted: 7 March 2014 Published: 25 Mar 2014

#### References

1. Browder, FE: Nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive and accretive type in Banach spaces. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **73**, 875-882 (1967)
2. Rockafellar, RT: Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **14**, 877-898 (1976)
3. Mann, WR: Mean value methods in iteration. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **4**, 506-510 (1953)
4. Qin, XL, Su, YF: Approximation of a zero point of accretive operator in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **329**, 415-424 (2007)
5. Mainge, PE: Viscosity methods for zeroes of accretive operators. *J. Approx. Theory* **140**, 127-140 (2006)
6. Qin, XL, Cho, SY, Wang, L: Iterative algorithms with errors for zero points of  $m$ -accretive operators. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 148 (2013)
7. Ceng, LC, Wu, SY, Yao, JC: New accuracy criteria for modified approximate proximal point algorithms in Hilbert spaces. *Taiwan. J. Math.* **12**, 1691-1705 (2008)
8. Xu, HK: Strong convergence of an iterative method for nonexpansive and accretive operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **314**, 631-643 (2006)
9. Cho, YJ, Kang, SM, Zhou, HY: Approximate proximal point algorithms for finding zeroes of maximal monotone operators in Hilbert spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2008**, Article ID 598191 (2008)
10. Ceng, LC, Khan, AR, Ansari, QH, Yao, JC: Strong convergence of composite iterative schemes for zeros of  $m$ -accretive operators in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**, 1830-1840 (2009)
11. Chen, RD, Liu, YJ, Shen, XL: Iterative approximation of a zero of accretive operator in Banach space. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**, e346-e350 (2009)
12. Zegeye, H, Shahzad, N: Strong convergence theorems for a common zero of a finite family of  $m$ -accretive mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* **66**, 1161-1169 (2007)
13. Hu, LG, Liu, LW: A new iterative algorithm for common solutions of a finite family of accretive operators. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**, 2344-2351 (2009)
14. Tan, KK, Xu, HK: Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iteration process. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **178**, 301-308 (1993)
15. Barbu, V: *Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Space*. Noordhoff, Groningen (1976)
16. Xu, HK: Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **298**, 279-291 (2004)
17. Xu, HK: Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **66**, 240-256 (2002)

10.1186/1687-1812-2014-77

**Cite this article as:** Wei and Tan: Strong and weak convergence theorems for common zeros of finite accretive mappings. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2014, **2014**:77

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)