

RESEARCH Open Access

Strong convergence theorems for the general split variational inclusion problem in Hilbert spaces

Shih-sen Chang* and Lin Wang

*Correspondence: changss2013@163.com College of Statistics and Mathematics, Yunnan University of Finance and Economics, Kunming, Yunnan 650221, P.R. China

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study a general split variational inclusion problem in the setting of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. Under suitable conditions, we prove that the sequence generated by the proposed new algorithm converges strongly to a solution of the general split variational inclusion problem. As a particular case, we consider the algorithms for a split feasibility problem and a split optimization problem and give some strong convergence theorems for these problems in Hilbert spaces.

Keywords: general split variational inclusion problem; split feasibility problem; split optimization problem; quasi-nonexpansive mapping; zero point; resolvent mapping

1 Introduction

Let C and Q be nonempty closed convex subsets of real Hilbert spaces H_1 and H_2 , respectively. The *split feasibility problem (SFP)* is formulated as

to find
$$x^* \in C$$
 and $Ax^* \in Q$, (1.1)

where $A: H_1 \to H_2$ is a bounded linear operator. In 1994, Censor and Elfving [1] first introduced the *SFP* in finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces for modeling inverse problems which arise from phase retrievals and in medical image reconstruction [2]. It has been found that the *SFP* can also be used in various disciplines such as image restoration, computer tomography and radiation therapy treatment planning [3–5]. The *SFP* in an infinite-dimensional real Hilbert space can be found in [2, 4, 6–10]. For comprehensive literature, bibliography and a survey on SFP, we refer to [11].

Assuming that the *SFP* is consistent, it is not hard to see that $x^* \in C$ solves *SFP* if and only if it solves the fixed point equation

$$x^* = P_C (I - \gamma A^* (I - P_O)A) x^*,$$

where P_C and P_Q are the metric projection from H_1 onto C and from H_2 onto Q, respectively, $\gamma > 0$ is a positive constant, and A^* is the adjoint of A.



A popular algorithm to be used to solves the *SFP* (1.1) is due to Byrne's *CQ-algorithm* [2]:

$$x_{k+1} = P_C(I - \gamma_k A^*(I - P_Q)A)x_k, \quad k \ge 1,$$

where $\gamma_k \in (0, 2/\lambda)$ with λ being the spectral radius of the operator A^*A .

On the other hand, let H be a real Hilbert space, and B be a set-valued mapping with domain $D(B) := \{x \in H : B(x) \neq \emptyset\}$. Recall that B is called *monotone*, if $\langle u - v, x, x - y \rangle \geq 0$ for any $u \in Bx$ and $v \in By$; B is *maximal monotone*, if its graph $\{(x,y) : x \in D(B), y \in Bx\}$ is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone mapping. An important problem for set-valued monotone mappings is to find $x^* \in H$ such that $0 \in B(x^*)$. Here, x^* is called a *zero point of* B. A well-known method for approximating a zero point of a maximal monotone mapping defined in a real Hilbert space H is *the proximal point algorithm* first introduced by Martinet [12] and generated by Rockafellar [13]. This is an iterative procedure, which generates $\{x_n\}$ by $x_1 = x \in H$ and

$$x_{n+1} = J_{\beta_n}^B x_n, \quad n \ge 1, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\{\beta_n\}\subset (0,\infty)$, B is a maximal monotone mapping in a real Hilbert space, and J_r^B is the *resolvent mapping of B* defined by $J_r^B = (I+rB)^{-1}$ for each r>0. Rockafellar [13] proved that if the solution set $B^{-1}(0)$ is nonempty and $\liminf_{n\to\infty}\beta_n>0$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (1.2) converges weakly to an element of $B^{-1}(0)$. In particular, if B is the sub-differential ∂f of a proper convex and lower semicontinuous function $f:H\to\mathbb{R}$, then (1.2) is reduced to

$$x_{n+1} = \underset{y \in H}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ f(y) + \frac{1}{2\beta_n} \|y - x_n\|^2 \right\}, \quad \forall n \ge 1.$$
 (1.3)

In this case, $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a minimizer of f. Later, many researchers have studied the convergence problems of the proximal point algorithm in Hilbert spaces (see [14–21] and the references therein).

Motivated by the works in [14–17] and related literature, the purpose of this paper is to introduce and consider the following *general split variational inclusion problem*.

Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces, $B_i: H_1 \to H_1$ and $K_i: H_2 \to H_2$, i=1,2,... be two families of set-valued maximal monotone mappings, $A: H_1 \to H_2$ be a linear and bounded operator, and A^* be the adjoint of A. The so-called *general split variational inclusion problem* is

to find
$$x^* \in H_1$$
 such that $0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i(x^*)$ and $0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i(Ax^*)$. (1.4)

The following examples are special cases of (GSVIP) (1.4).

Classical split variational inclusion problem. Let $B: H_1 \to H_1$ and $K: H_2 \to H_2$ be setvalued maximal monotone mappings. The so-called classical split variational inclusion problem (CSVIP) is

to find
$$x^* \in H_1$$
 such that $0 \in B(x^*)$ and $0 \in K(Ax^*)$, (1.5)

which was introduced by Moudafi [17]. It is obvious that problem (1.5) is a special case of (GSVIP) (1.4). In [17], Moudafi proved that the iteration process

$$x_{n+1} = J_{\lambda}^{B}(x_n + \gamma A^*(J_{\lambda}^{K} - I)Ax_n)$$

converges weakly to a solution of problem (1.5), where λ and γ are given positive numbers. *Split optimization problem.* Let $f: H_1 \to \mathbb{R}$, $g: H_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be two proper convex and lower semicontinuous functions. The so-called *split optimization problem* (SOP) is

to find
$$x^* \in H_1$$
 such that $f(x^*) = \min_{y \in H_1} f(y)$ and $g(Ax^*) = \min_{z \in H_2} g(z)$. (1.6)

Denote by $B = \partial(f)$ and $K = \partial(g)$, then B and K both are maximal monotone mappings, and problem (1.6) is equivalent to the following classical split variational inclusion problem, *i.e.*:

to find
$$x^* \in H_1$$
 such that $0 \in \partial(f(x^*))$ and $0 \in \partial(g(Ax^*))$. (1.7)

Split feasibility problem. As in (1.1), let C and Q be two nonempty closed convex subsets of real Hilbert spaces H_1 and H_2 , respectively and A be the same as above. The *split feasibility problem* is

to find
$$x^* \in C$$
 such $Ax^* \in Q$. (1.8)

It is well known that this kind of problems was first introduced by Censor and Elfving [1] for modeling inverse problems arising from phase retrievals and in medical image reconstruction [2]. Also it can be used in various disciplines such as image restoration, computer tomography and radiation therapy treatment planning.

Let $i_C(i_O)$ be the indicator function of C(Q), *i.e.*,

$$i_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in C, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \notin C; \end{cases} \qquad i_Q(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in Q, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \notin Q. \end{cases}$$
 (1.9)

Then i_C and i_Q both are proper convex and lower semicontinuous functions, and its subdifferentials ∂i_C and ∂i_Q are maximal monotone operators. Consequently problem (1.8) is equivalent to the following 'split optimization problem' and 'Moudafi's classical split variational inclusion problem', *i.e.*,

to find
$$x^* \in H_1$$
 such that $i_C(x^*) = \min_{y \in H_1} i_C(y)$ and $i_Q(Ax^*) = \min_{z \in H_2} i_Q(z)$
 \Leftrightarrow to find $x^* \in H_1$ such that $0 \in \partial(i_C(x^*))$ and $0 \in \partial(i_O(Ax^*))$. (1.10)

For solving (GSVIP) (1.4), in our paper we propose the following iterative algorithms:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \xi_n f(x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,i} J_{\beta_i}^{B_i} \left[x_n - \lambda_{n,i} A^* \left(I - J_{\beta_i}^{K_i} \right) A x_n \right], \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$
 (1.11)

where $f: H_1 \to H_1$ is a contraction mapping with a contractive constant $k \in (0,1)$, $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\xi_n\}$ and $\{\gamma_{n,i}\}$ are sequence in [0,1] satisfying some conditions. Under suitable conditions, some strong convergence theorems for the sequence proposed by (1.11) to a solution for (GSVIP) (1.4) in Hilbert spaces are proved. As a particular case, we consider the algorithms for a split feasibility problem and a split optimization problem and give some strong convergence theorems for these problems in Hilbert spaces. Our results extend and improve the related results of Censor and Elfving [1], Byrne [2], Censor et al. [3-5], Rockafellar [13], Moudafi [14, 17], Eslamian and Latif [15], Eslamian [21], and Chuang [22].

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we denote by H a real Hilbert space, C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of H. F(T) denote by the set of fixed points of a mapping T. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in H and $x \in H$. Strong convergence of $\{x_n\}$ to x is denoted by $x_n \to x$, and weak convergence of $\{x_n\}$ to x is denoted by $x_n \to x$. For every point $x \in H$, there exists a unique nearest point in C, denoted by C

$$||x - P_C x|| \le ||x - y||, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

The operator P_C is called the *metric projection*. The metric projection P_C is characterized by the fact that $P_C x \in C$ and

$$\langle x - P_C x, P_C x - y \rangle > 0, \quad \forall x \in H, y \in C.$$

Recall that a mapping $T: C \to H$ is said to be *nonexpansive*, if $||Tx - Ty|| \le ||x - y||$ for every $x, y \in C$. T is said to be *quasi-nonexpansive*, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $||Tx - p|| \le ||x - p||$ for every $x \in C$ and $p \in F(T)$. It is easy to see that F(T) is a closed convex subset of C if T is a quasi-nonexpansive mapping. Besides, T is said to be a *firmly nonexpansive*, if

$$||Tx - Ty||^2 \le \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in C;$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad ||Tx - Ty||^2 \le ||x - y||^2 - ||(I - T)x - (I - T)y||^2 \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

Lemma 2.1 (demi-closed principle) Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $T: C \to H$ be a nonexpansive mapping, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in C. If $x_n \to w$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - Tx_n|| = 0$, then Tw = w.

Lemma 2.2 [23] Let H be a (real) Hilbert space. Then for all $x, y \in H$,

$$||x+y||^2 \le ||x||^2 + 2\langle y, x+y \rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.3 [24] Let H be a Hilbert space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in H. Then, for any given sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0,1)$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$ and for any positive integers i, j with i < j,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n \right\|^2 \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \|x_n\|^2 - \lambda_i \lambda_j \|x_i - x_j\|^2.$$
 (2.2)

Lemma 2.4 Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers, $\{b_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers in (0,1) with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \infty$, $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n < \infty$, $\{t_n\}$ be a real numbers with $\limsup_{n\to\infty} t_n \le 0$. If

$$a_{n+1} \le (1 - b_n)a_n + b_n t_n + u_n$$
, for each $n \ge 1$,

then $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.5 [25] Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that there exists a subsequence $\{n_i\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $a_{n_i} < a_{n_i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{m_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_k \to \infty$, $a_{m_k} \le a_{m_k+1}$ and $a_k \le a_{m_k+1}$ are satisfied by all (sufficiently large) numbers $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, $m_k = \max\{j \le k : a_i < a_{i+1}\}$.

Lemma 2.6 [22] Let H be a real Hilbert space, $B: H \to 2^H$ be a set-valued maximal monotone mapping, $\beta > 0$, and let J_{β}^B be the resolvent mapping of B.

- (i) For each $\beta > 0$, J_{β}^{B} is a single-valued and firmly nonexpansive mapping;
- (ii) $D(J_{\beta}^{B}) = H \text{ and } F(J_{\beta}^{B}) = B^{-1}(0) := \{x \in D(B) : 0 \in Bx\};$
- (iii) $(I I_{\beta}^{B})$ is a firmly nonexpansive mapping for each $\beta > 0$;
- (iv) suppose that $B^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$, then for each $x \in H$, each $x^* \in B^{-1}(0)$ and each $\beta > 0$

$$||x - J_{\beta}^{B}x||^{2} + ||J_{\beta}^{B}x - x^{*}|| \le ||x - x^{*}||^{2};$$

(v) suppose that $B^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\langle x - J_{\beta}^B x, J_{\beta}^B x - w \rangle \geq 0$ for each $x \in H$ and each $w \in B^{-1}(0)$, and each $\beta > 0$.

Lemma 2.7 Let H_1 , H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces, $A: H_1 \to H_2$ be a linear bounded operator and A^* be the adjoint of A. Let $B: H_2 \to 2_2^H$ be a set-valued maximal monotone mapping, $\beta > 0$, and let J_{β}^B be the resolvent mapping of B, then

- (i) $||(I J_{\beta}^B)Ax (I J_{\beta}^B)Ay||^2 \le \langle (I J_{\beta}^B)Ax (I J_{\beta}^B)Ay, Ax Ay \rangle;$
- (ii) $||A^*(I-J^B_{\beta})Ax A^*(I-J^B_{\beta})Ay||^2 \le ||A||^2 \langle (I-J^B_{\beta})Ax (I-J^B_{\beta})Ay, Ax Ay \rangle$;
- (iii) if $\rho \in (0, \frac{2}{\|A\|^2})$, then $(I \rho A^*(I J_\beta^B)A)$ is a nonexpansive mapping.

Proof By Lemma 2.6(iii), the mapping $(I - I_{\beta}^{B})$ is firmly nonexpansive, hence the conclusions (i) and (ii) are obvious.

Now we prove the conclusion (iii).

In fact, for any $x, y \in H_1$, it follows from the conclusions (i) and (ii) that

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \left(I - \rho A^* \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A \right) x - \left(I - \rho A^* \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A \right) y \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| x - y \right\|^2 - 2\rho \left\langle x - y, A^* \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A x - A^* \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A y \right\rangle \\ &+ \rho^2 \left\| A^* \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A x - A^* \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A y \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| x - y \right\|^2 - 2\rho \left\langle A x - A y, \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A x - \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A y \right\rangle \\ &+ \rho^2 \left\| A \right\|^2 \left\| \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A x - \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A y \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| x - y \right\|^2 - \rho \left(2 - \rho \left\| A \right\|^2 \right) \left\| \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A x - \left(I - J_{\beta}^B \right) A y \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| x - y \right\|^2 \quad \left(\text{since } \rho \left(2 - \rho \left\| A \right\|^2 \right) \geq 0 \right). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.7.

3 Main results

The following lemma will be used in proving our main results.

Lemma 3.1 Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces, $A: H_1 \to H_2$ be a linear and bounded operator, and A^* be the adjoint of A. Let $B_i: H_1 \to 2^{H_1}$, and $K_i: H_2 \to 2^{H_2}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, be two families of set-valued maximal monotone mappings, and let $\beta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. If $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ (the solution set of (GSVIP) (1.4)), then $x^* \in H_1$ is a solution of (GSVIP) (1.4) if and only if for each $i \geq 1$, for each $i \geq 1$, for each $i \geq 1$ and for each $i \geq 1$.

$$x^* = J_{\beta}^{B_i} (x^* - \gamma A^* (I - J_{\beta}^{K_i}) A x^*). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof Indeed, if x^* is a solution of (GSVIP) (1.4), then for each $i \ge 1$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\beta > 0$,

$$x^* \in B_i^{-1}(0)$$
 and $Ax^* \in K_i^{-1}(0)$, *i.e.*, $x^* = J_{\beta}^{B_i}x^*$ and $Ax^* = J_{\beta}^{K_i}Ax^*$.

This implies that $x^* = J_{\beta}^{B_i}(x^* - \gamma A x^* (I - J_{\beta}^{K_i}) A x^*)$.

Conversely, if x^* solves (3.1), by Lemma 2.6(v), we have

$$\langle x^* - (x^* - \gamma A^* (I - J_{\beta}^{K_i}) A x^*), y - x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in B_i^{-1}(0).$$

Hence we have

$$\left\langle \left(I - J_{\beta}^{K_i}\right) A x^*, A y - A x^* \right\rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in B_i^{-1}(0). \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.6(v) again

$$\langle (Ax^* - J_{\beta}^{K_i} Ax^*, J_{\beta}^{K_i} Ax^* - \nu) \geq 0, \quad \forall \nu \in K_i^{-1}(0).$$
 (3.3)

Adding up (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\langle Ax^* - J_{\beta}^{K_i}Ax^*, J_{\beta}^{K_i}Ax^* + Ay - Ax^* - \nu \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in B_i^{-1}(0), \text{ and } \nu \in K_i^{-1}(0).$$

Simplifying it, we have

$$||Ax^* - J_{\beta}^{K_i}Ax^*||^2 \le \langle Ax^* - J_{\beta}^{K_i}Ax^*, Ay - \nu \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in B_i^{-1}(0), \text{ and } \nu \in K_i^{-1}(0).$$
 (3.4)

By the assumption that $\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Taking $w \in \Omega$, hence for each $i \geq 1$ $w \in B_i^{-1}(0)$ and $Aw \in K_i^{-1}(0)$. In (3.4), taking y = w and v = Aw, then we have

$$||Ax^* - J_{\beta}^{K_i}Ax^*||^2 = 0.$$

This implies that $Ax^* = J_{\beta}^{K_i}Ax^*$, and so $Ax^* \in K_i^{-1}(0)$ for each $i \ge 1$. Hence from (3.1), $x^* = J_{\beta}^{B_i}x^*$, *i.e.*, $x^* \in B_i^{-1}(0)$. Hence x^* is a solution of (GSVIP)(1.4).

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

We are now in a position to prove the following main result.

Theorem 3.2 Let $H_1, H_2, A, A^*, \{B_i\}, \{K_i\}, \Omega$ be the same as in Lemma 3.1. Let $f: H_1 \to H_1$ be a contractive mapping with contractive constant $k \in (0,1)$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\xi_n\}, \{\gamma_{n,i}\}$ be the sequences in (0,1) with $\alpha_n + \xi_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,i} = 1$, for each $n \geq 0$. Let $\{\beta_i\}$ be a sequence in $(0,\infty)$, and $\{\lambda_{n,i}\}$ be a sequence in $(0,\frac{2}{\|A\|^2})$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence defined by (1.11). If $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \xi_n = 0$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n = \infty$;
- (ii) $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n \gamma_{n,i} > 0$ for each $i \ge 1$;
- (iii) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \lambda_{n,i} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \lambda_{n,i} < \frac{2}{\|A\|^2}$,

then $x_n \to x^* \in \Omega$ where $x^* = P_{\Omega}f(x^*)$, where P_{Ω} is the metric projection from H_1 onto Ω .

Proof (I) First we prove that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. In fact, letting $z \in \Omega$, by Lemma 3.1, for each i > 1,

$$z = J_{\beta_i}^{B_i} \left[z - \lambda_{n,i} A^* \left(I - J_{\beta_i}^{K_i} \right) Az \right].$$

Hence it follows from Lemma 2.7(iii) that for each $i \ge 1$ and each $n \ge 1$ we have

$$||x_{n+1} - z|| = \left\| \alpha_n x_n + \xi_n f(x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,i} J_{\beta_i}^{B_i} \left[x_n - \lambda_{n,i} A^* \left(I - J_{\beta_i}^{K_i} \right) A x_n \right] - z \right\|$$

$$\leq \alpha_n ||x_n - z|| + \xi_n ||f(x_n) - z|| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,i} ||J_{\beta_i}^{B_i} \left[x_n - \lambda_{n,i} A^* \left(I - J_{\beta_i}^{K_i} \right) A x_n \right] - z \right\|$$

$$\leq \alpha_n ||x_n - z|| + \xi_n ||f(x_n) - z|| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,i} ||J_{\beta_i}^{B_i} \left[x_n - \lambda_{n,i} A^* \left(I - J_{\beta_i}^{K_i} \right) A x_n \right] - z \right\|$$

$$\leq \alpha_n ||x_n - z|| + \xi_n ||f(x_n) - z|| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,i} ||x_n - z||$$

$$= (1 - \xi_n) ||x_n - z|| + \xi_n ||f(x_n) - z||$$

$$\leq (1 - \xi_n) ||x_n - z|| + \xi_n ||f(x_n) - f(z)|| + \xi_n ||f(z) - z||$$

$$\leq (1 - \xi_n(1 - k)) ||x_n - z|| + \frac{\xi_n(1 - k)}{1 - k} ||f(z) - z||$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ ||x_n - z||, \frac{1}{1 - k} ||f(z) - z|| \right\}.$$

By induction, we can prove that

$$||x_n - z|| \le \max \left\{ ||x_0 - z||, \frac{1}{1 - k} ||f(z) - z|| \right\}, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$
 (3.5)

This implies that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, so is $\{f(x_n)\}$.

(II) Now we prove that for each $j \ge 1$

$$\alpha_{n} \gamma_{n,j} \| x_{n} - J_{\beta_{i}}^{B_{i}} [x_{n} - \lambda_{n,i} A^{*} (I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}}) A x_{n}] \|^{2}$$

$$\leq \| x_{n} - z \|^{2} - \| x_{n+1} - z \|^{2} + \xi_{n} \| f(x_{n}) - z \|^{2}, \quad \text{for each } i \geq 1.$$
(3.6)

Indeed, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that for any positive $j \ge 1$

$$||x_{n+1} - z||^{2} = \left\| \alpha_{n} x_{n} + \xi_{n} f(x_{n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,i} J_{\beta_{i}}^{B_{i}} \left[x_{n} - \lambda_{n,i} A^{*} \left(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}} \right) A x_{n} \right] - z \right\|^{2}$$

$$\leq \alpha_{n} ||x_{n} - z||^{2} + \xi_{n} ||f(x_{n}) - z||^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,i} ||J_{\beta_{i}}^{B_{i}} \left[x_{n} - \lambda_{n,i} A^{*} \left(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}} \right) A x_{n} \right] - z ||^{2}$$

$$- \alpha_{n} \gamma_{n,i} ||x_{n} - J_{\beta_{i}}^{B_{i}} \left[x_{n} - \lambda_{n,i} A^{*} \left(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}} \right) A x_{n} \right] ||^{2}$$

$$\leq (1 - \xi_{n}) ||x_{n} - z||^{2} + \xi_{n} ||f(x_{n}) - z||^{2}$$

$$- \alpha_{n} \gamma_{n,i} ||x_{n} - J_{\beta_{i}}^{B_{i}} \left[x_{n} - \lambda_{n,i} A^{*} \left(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}} \right) A x_{n} \right] ||^{2}.$$

Simplifying it, (3.6) is proved.

By the assumption that $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, and it is easy to prove that Ω is closed and convex. This implies that P_{Ω} is well defined. Again since $P_{\Omega}f: H_1 \to \Omega$ is a contraction mapping with contractive constant $k \in (0,1)$, there exists a unique $x^* \in \Omega$ such that $x^* = P_{\Omega}fx^*$. Since $x^* \in \Omega$, it solves (GSVIP) (1.4). By Lemma 3.1,

$$x^* = J_{\beta_i}^{B_j} (x^* - \lambda_{n,j} A^* (I - J_{\beta_i}^{K_j}) A x^*), \quad \forall j \ge 1, n \ge 0.$$
(3.7)

(III) Now we prove that $x_n \to x^*$.

In order to prove that $x_n \to x^*$ (as $n \to \infty$), we consider two cases.

Case 1. Assume that $\{\|x_n - x^*\|\}$ is a monotone sequence. In other words, for n_0 large enough, $\{\|x_n - x^*\|\}_{n \ge n_0}$ is either nondecreasing or non-increasing. Since $\{\|x_n - x^*\|\}$ is bounded, $\{\|x_n - x^*\|\}$ is convergence. Again since $\lim_{n \to \infty} \xi_n = 0$, and $\{f(x_n)\}$ is bounded, from (3.6) we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n\gamma_{n,j}\|x_n-J_{\beta_i}^{B_i}[x_n-\lambda_{n,i}A^*(I-J_{\beta_i}^{K_i})Ax_n]\|^2=0.$$

By condition (ii), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - J_{\beta_i}^{B_i} [x_n - \lambda_{n,i} A^* (I - J_{\beta_i}^{K_i}) A x_n] \| = 0.$$
(3.8)

Now we prove that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle \le 0. \tag{3.9}$$

To show this inequality, we choose a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup w$, $\lambda_{n_k,i} \rightarrow \lambda_i \in (0, \frac{2}{\|A\|^2})$ for each $i \ge 1$, and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \lim_{n_k \to \infty} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n_k} - x^* \rangle.$$
 (3.10)

It follows from (3.8) that

$$\begin{split} & \|J_{\beta_{i}}^{B_{i}}[x_{n} - \lambda_{i}A^{*}(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}})Ax_{n}] - x_{n}\| \\ & \leq \|J_{\beta_{i}}^{B_{i}}[x_{n} - \lambda_{i}A^{*}(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}})Ax_{n}] - J_{\beta_{i}}^{B_{i}}[x_{n} - \lambda_{n,i}A^{*}(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}})Ax_{n}]\| \\ & + \|J_{\beta_{i}}^{B_{i}}[x_{n} - \lambda_{n,i}A^{*}(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}})Ax_{n}] - x_{n}\| \\ & \leq \|[x_{n} - \lambda_{i}A^{*}(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}})Ax_{n}] - [x_{n} - \lambda_{n,i}A^{*}(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}})Ax_{n}]\| \\ & + \|J_{\beta_{i}}^{B_{i}}[x_{n} - \lambda_{n,i}A^{*}(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}})Ax_{n}] - x_{n}\| \\ & \leq |\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{n,i}| \|A^{*}(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}})Ax_{n}\| \\ & + \|J_{\beta_{i}}^{B_{i}}[x_{n} - \lambda_{n,i}A^{*}(I - J_{\beta_{i}}^{K_{i}})Ax_{n}] - x_{n}\| \to 0 \quad (as \ n \to \infty). \end{split}$$

For each $i \ge 1$, $J_{\beta_i}^{B_i}[I - \lambda_i A^*(I - J_{\beta_i}^{K_i})A]$ is a nonexpansive mapping. Thus from Lemma 2.1, $w = J_{\beta_i}^{B_i}[I - \lambda_i A^*(I - J_{\beta_i}^{K_i})A]w$. By Lemma 3.1 $w \in \Omega$, *i.e.*, w is a solution of (GSVIP) (1.4). Consequently we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \lim_{n_k \to \infty} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n_k} - x^* \rangle$$
$$= \langle f(x^*) - x^*, w - x^* \rangle \le 0.$$

(IV) Finally, we prove that $x_n \to P_{\Omega}f(x^*)$. In fact, from Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| x_{n+1} - x^* \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \left\| \alpha_n (x_n - x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,i} J_{\beta_i}^{B_i} \left[x_n - \lambda_{n,i} A^* \left(I - J_{\beta_i}^{K_i} \right) A x_n \right] - x^* \right\|^2 \\ & + 2 \xi_n \left| f(x_n) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \right| \\ & \leq (1 - \xi_n)^2 \left\| x_n - x^* \right\|^2 + 2 \xi_n \left| f(x_n) - f(x^*), x_{n+1} - x^* \right| + 2 \xi_n \left| f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \right| \\ & \leq (1 - \xi_n)^2 \left\| x_n - x^* \right\|^2 + 2 \xi_n k \left\| x_n - x^* \right\| \left\| x_{n+1} - x^* \right\| + 2 \xi_n \left| f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \right| \\ & \leq (1 - \xi_n)^2 \left\| x_n - x^* \right\|^2 + \xi_n k \left\{ \left\| x_{n+1} - x^* \right\|^2 + \left\| x_n - x^* \right\|^2 \right\} \\ & + 2 \xi_n \left| f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying it, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x_{n+1} - x^* \right\|^2 &\leq \frac{(1 - \xi_n)^2 + \xi_n k}{1 - \xi_n k} \left\| x_n - x^* \right\|^2 + \frac{2\xi_n}{1 - \xi_n k} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1 - 2\xi_n + \xi_n k}{1 - \xi_n k} \left\| x_n - x^* \right\|^2 + \frac{\xi_n^2}{1 - \xi_n k} \left\| x_n - x^* \right\|^2 \\ &+ \frac{2\xi_n}{1 - \xi_n k} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \eta_n) \left\| x_n - x^* \right\|^2 + \eta_n \delta_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_n = \frac{\xi_n M}{2(1-k)} + \frac{1}{1-k} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$, $M = \sup_{n \geq 0} \|x_n - x^*\|^2$, and $\eta_n = \frac{2(1-k)\xi_n}{1-\xi_n k}$. It is easy to see that $\eta_n \to 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n = \infty$, and $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \delta_n \leq 0$. Hence by Lemma 2.4, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* = P_{\Omega}f(x^*)$.

Case 2. Assume that $\{\|x_n - x^*\|\}$ is not a monotone sequence. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we can define a sequence of positive integers: $\{\tau(n)\}$, $n \ge n_0$ (where n_0 large enough) by

$$\tau(n) = \max\{k \le n : ||x_k - x^*|| \le ||x_{k+1} - x^*||\}. \tag{3.11}$$

Clearly $\{\tau(n)\}\$ is a nondecreasing sequence such that $\tau(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and for all $n \ge n_0$

$$\|x_{\tau(n)} - x^*\| \le \|x_{\tau(n)+1} - x^*\|. \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore $\{\|x_{\tau(n)} - x^*\|\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence. According to Case (1), $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_{\tau(n)} - x^*\| = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_{\tau(n)+1} - x^*\| = 0$. Hence we have

$$0 \le \|x_n - x^*\| \le \max\{\|x_n - x^*\|, \|x_{\tau(n)} - x^*\|\} \le \|x_{\tau(n)+1} - x^*\| \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

This implies that $x_n \to x^*$ and $x^* = P_{\Omega}f(x^*)$ is a solution of (GSVIP) (1.4).

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

In Theorem 3.2, if $B_i = B$ and $K_i = K$, for each $i \ge 1$, where $B : H_1 \to 2^{H_1}$ and $K : H_2 \to 2^{H_2}$ are two set-valued maximal monotone mappings, then from Theorem 3.2 we have the following.

Theorem 3.3 Let H_1 , H_2 , A, A^* , B, K, Ω , f be the same as in Theorem 3.2. Let $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\xi_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ be the sequence in (0,1) with $\alpha_n + \xi_n + \gamma_n = 1$ for each $n \ge 0$. Let $\beta > 0$ be any given positive number, and $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, \frac{2}{\|A\|^2})$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence defined by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \xi_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n J_{\beta}^B \left[x_n - \lambda_n A^* \left(I - J_{\beta}^K \right) A x_n \right], \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$
 (3.13)

If $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ *and the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \xi_n = 0$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n = \infty$;
- (ii) $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n \gamma_n > 0$;
- (iii) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n < \frac{2}{\|A\|^2}$,

then $x_n \to x^* \in \Omega$ where $x^* = P_{\Omega}f(x^*)$.

4 Applications

In this section we shall utilize the results presented in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 to study some problems.

4.1 Application to split optimization problem

Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces. Let $h: H_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: H_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be two proper, convex and lower semicontinuous functions, and $A: H_1 \to H_2$ be a linear and bounded operators. The so-called *split optimization problem* (SOP) is

to find
$$x^* \in H_1$$
 such that $h(x^*) = \min_{y \in H_1} h(y)$ and $g(Ax^*) = \min_{z \in H_2} g(z)$. (4.1)

Denote by $\partial h = B$ and $\partial g = K$. It is know that $B: H_1 \to 2^{H_1}$ (resp. $K: H_2 \to 2^{H_2}$) is a maximal monotone mapping, so we can define the resolvent $J_{\beta}^B = (I + \beta B)^{-1}$ and $J_{\beta}^K = (I + \beta K)^{-1}$, where $\beta > 0$. Since x^* and Ax^* is a minimum of h on H_1 and g on H_2 , respectively, for any given $\beta > 0$, we have

$$x^* \in B^{-1}(0) = F(J_\beta^B), \text{ and } Ax^* \in K^{-1}(0) = F(J_\beta^K).$$
 (4.2)

This implies that the (SOP) (4.1) is equivalent to the split variational inclusion problem (SVIP) (4.2). From Theorem 3.3 we have the following.

Theorem 4.1 Let H_1 , H_2 , A, B, K, h, g be the same as above. Let f, $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\xi_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ be the same as in Theorem 3.3. Let $\beta > 0$ be any given positive number, and $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, \frac{2}{\|A\|^2})$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by $x_0 \in H_1$

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{z \in H_2} \{ g(z) + \frac{1}{2\beta} \| z - Ax_n \|^2 \}, \\ z_n = x_n - \lambda_n A^* (Ax_n - y_n), \\ w_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in H_1} \{ h(y) + \frac{1}{2\beta} \| y - z_n \|^2 \}, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \xi_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n w_n, \quad n \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.3)$$

If $\Omega_1 \neq \emptyset$, the solution set of the split optimization problem (4.1), and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \xi_n = 0$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n = \infty$;
- (ii) $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n \gamma_n > 0$;
- (iii) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n < \frac{2}{\|A\|^2}$, then $x_n \to x^* \in \Omega_1$ where $x^* = P_{\Omega_1} f(x^*)$.

Proof Since $\partial h = B$, $\partial g := K$, and $y_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{z \in H_2} \{g(z) + \frac{1}{2\beta} ||z - Ax_n||^2\}$, we have

$$0 \in \left[K(z) + \frac{1}{\beta}(z - Ax_n)\right]_{z=v_n}, \quad i.e., Ax_n \in (\beta K + I)(y_n).$$

This implies that

$$y_n = J_{\beta}^K(Ax_n). \tag{4.4}$$

Similarly, from (4.3), we have

$$w_n = J_\beta^B(z_n). (4.5)$$

From (4.3)-(4.5), we have

$$w_n = J_\beta^B \left(x_n - \lambda_n A^* \left(I - J_\beta^K \right) A x_n \right). \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore (4.3) can be rewritten as

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \xi_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n J_\beta^B \left(x_n - \lambda_n A^* \left(I - J_\beta^K \right) A x_n \right), \quad n \ge 0.$$

$$(4.7)$$

The conclusion of Theorem 4.1 can be obtained from Theorem 3.3 immediately. \Box

4.2 Application to split feasibility problem

Let $C \subset H_1$ and $Q \subset H_2$ be two nonempty closed convex subsets and $A : H_1 \to H_2$ be a bounded linear operator. Now we consider the following *split feasibility problem, i.e.*: to find

$$x^* \in C$$
 such that $Ax^* \in Q$. (4.8)

Let i_C and i_Q be the indicator functions of C and Q defined by (1.9). Let $N_C(u)$ be the *normal cone at* $u \in H_1$ defined by

$$N_C(u) = \{ z \in H_1 : \langle z, v - u \rangle \le 0, \forall v \in C \}.$$

Since i_C and i_Q both are proper convex and lower semicontinuous functions on H_1 and H_2 , respectively, and the subdifferential ∂i_C of i_C (resp. ∂i_Q of i_Q) is a maximal monotone operator, we can define the resolvents $J_{\beta}^{\partial i_C}$ of ∂i_C and $J_{\beta}^{\partial i_Q}$ of ∂i_Q by

$$J_{\beta}^{\partial i_C}(x) = (I + \beta \partial i_C)^{-1}(x), \quad \forall x \in H_1,$$

$$J_{\beta}^{\partial i_Q}(x) = (I + \beta \partial i_Q)^{-1}(x), \quad \forall x \in H_2,$$

where $\beta > 0$. By definition, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial i_C(x) &= \left\{ z \in H_1 : i_C(x) + \langle z, y - x \rangle \le i_C(y), \forall y \in H_1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ z \in H_1 : \langle z, y - x \rangle \le 0, \forall y \in C \right\} = N_C(x), \quad x \in C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for each $\beta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} u &= J_{\beta}^{\partial i_C}(x) & \Leftrightarrow & x - u \in \beta N_C(u) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \langle x - u, y - u \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u = P_C(x). \end{split}$$

This implies that $J_{\beta}^{\partial i_C} = P_C$. Similarly $J_{\beta}^{\partial i_Q} = P_Q$. Taking $h(x) = i_C(x)$ and $g(x) = i_Q(x)$ in (4.1), then the (SFP) (4.8) is equivalent to the following split optimization problem:

to find
$$x^* \in H_1$$
 such that $i_C(x^*) = \min_{y \in H_1} i_C(y)$ and $i_Q(Ax^*) = \min_{z \in H_2} i_Q(z)$. (4.9)

Hence, the following result can be obtained from Theorem 4.1 immediately.

Theorem 4.2 Let H_1 , H_2 , A, A^* , i_C , i_Q be the same as above. Let f, $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\xi_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ be the same as in Theorem 4.1. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, \frac{2}{\|A\|^2})$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence defined by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \xi_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n P_C \left[x_n - \lambda_n A^* (I - P_O) A x_n \right], \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$

$$(4.10)$$

If the solution set of the split optimization problem (4.4) $\Omega_2 \neq \emptyset$, and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \xi_n = 0$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n = \infty$;
- (ii) $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n \gamma_n > 0$;
- (iii) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n < \frac{2}{\|A\|^2}$,

then $x_n \to x^* \in \Omega_2$ where $x^* = P_{\Omega_2} f(x^*)$.

Remark 4.3 Theorem 4.2 extends and improves the main results in Censor and Elfving [1] and Byrne [2].

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their thanks to the referees and the editors for their kind and helpful comments and advice. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11361070).

Received: 1 May 2014 Accepted: 19 July 2014 Published: 18 Aug 2014

References

- Censor, Y, Elfving, T: A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in a product space. Numer. Algorithms 8, 221-239 (1994)
- 2. Byrne, C: Iterative oblique projection onto convex subsets and the split feasibility problem. Inverse Probl. 18, 441-453 (2002)
- 3. Censor, Y, Bortfeld, T, Martin, N, Trofimov, A: A unified approach for inversion problem in intensity-modulated radiation therapy. Phys. Med. Biol. **51**, 2353-2365 (2006)
- 4. Censor, Y, Elfving, T, Kopf, N, Bortfeld, T: The multiple-sets split feasibility problem and its applications. Inverse Probl. 21, 2071-2084 (2005)
- 5. Censor, Y, Motova, A, Segal, A: Perturbed projections and subgradient projections for the multiple-sets split feasibility problem. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 327, 1244-1256 (2007)
- Xu, HK: A variable Krasnosel'skii-Mann algorithm and the multiple-sets split feasibility problem. Inverse Probl. 22, 2021-2034 (2006)
- 7. Yang, Q: The relaxed CQ algorithm for solving the split feasibility problem. Inverse Probl. 20, 1261-1266 (2004)
- 8. Zhao, J, Yang, Q: Several solution methods for the split feasibility problem. Inverse Probl. 21, 1791-1799 (2005)
- Chang, SS, Cho, YJ, Kim, JK Zhang, WB, Yang, L: Multiple-set split feasibility problems for asymptotically strict pseudocontractions. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012, Article ID 491760 (2012). doi:10:1155/2012/491760
- Chang, SS, Wang, L, Tang, YK, Yang, L: The split common fixed point problem for total asymptotically strictly pseudocontractive mappings. J. Appl. Math. 2012, Article ID 385638 (2012). doi:10.1155/2012.385638
- 11. Ansari, QH, Rehan, A: Split feasibility and fixed point problems. In: Nonlinear Analysis: Approximation Theory, Optimization and Applications, pp. 281-322. Birkhäuser, New Delhi (2014)
- Martinet, B: Régularisation d'inéquations variationnelles par approximations successives. Rev. Fr. Autom. Inform. Rech. Opér. 4, 154-158 (1970)
- 13. Rockafellar, RT: Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm. SIAM J. Control Optim. 14, 877-898 (1976)
- 14. Moudafi, A: A relaxed alternating CQ algorithm for convex feasibility problems. Nonlinear Anal. 79, 117-121 (2013)
- Eslamian, M, Latif, A: General split feasibility problems in Hilbert spaces. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013, Article ID 805104 (2013)
- Chen, RD, Wang, J, Zhang, HW: General split equality problems in Hilbert spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014, Article ID 35 (2014)
- 17. Moudafi, A: Split monotone variational inclusions. J. Optim. Theory Appl. 150, 275-283 (2011)
- 18. Güler, O: On the convergence of the proximal point algorithm for convex minimization. SIAM J. Control Optim. 29, 403-419 (1991)
- 19. Kamimura, S, Takahashi, W: Strong convergence of a proximal-type algorithm in a Banach space. SIAM J. Optim. 13, 938-945 (2002)
- Solodov, MV, Svaiter, BF: Forcing strong convergence of proximal point iterations in a Hilbert space. Math. Program. 87, 189-202 (2000)
- Eslamian, M: Rockafellar's proximal point algorithm for a finite family of monotone operators. Sci. Bull. 'Politeh'. Univ. Buchar., Ser. A, Appl. Math. Phys. 76(1), 43-50 (2014)
- 22. Chuang, C-S: Strong convergence theorems for the split variational inclusion problem in Hilbert spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 350 (2013)
- 23. Chang, SS: On Chidume's open questions and approximate solutions for multi-valued strongly accretive mapping equations in Banach spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 216, 94-111 (1997)
- Chang, S-S, Kim, JK, Wang, XR: Modified block iterative algorithm for solving convex feasibility problems in Banach spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. 2010, Article ID 869684 (2010)
- Maingé, P-E: Strong convergence of projected subgradient methods for nonsmooth and nonstrictly convex minimization. Set-Valued Anal. 16(7-8), 899-912 (2008)

10.1186/1687-1812-2014-171

Cite this article as: Chang and Wang: Strong convergence theorems for the general split variational inclusion problem in Hilbert spaces. Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2014, 2014:171

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen^o journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com