

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Fixed points of multivalued mappings in partial metric spaces

Jamshaid Ahmad<sup>1</sup>, Akbar Azam<sup>1</sup> and Muhammad Arshad<sup>2\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:  
marshadzia@iiu.edu.pk  
<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
International Islamic University,  
H-10, Islamabad, 44000, Pakistan  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

We use the notion of Hausdorff metric on the family of closed bounded subsets of a partial metric space and establish a common fixed point theorem of a pair of multivalued mappings satisfying Mizoguchi and Takahashi's contractive condition. Our result extends some well-known recent results in the literature.

**MSC:** 46S40; 47H10; 54H25

**Keywords:** partial Hausdorff metric; common fixed point; set-valued mappings; partial metric space

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

In the last thirty years, the theory of multivalued functions has advanced in a variety of ways. In 1969, the systematic study of Banach-type fixed theorems of multivalued mappings started with the work of Nadler [1], who proved that a multivalued contractive mapping of a complete metric space  $X$  into the family of closed bounded subsets of  $X$  has a fixed point. His findings were followed by Agarwal *et al.* [2], Azam *et al.* [3] and many others (see, *e.g.*, [4–9]).

In 1994, Matthews [10], introduced the concept of a partial metric space and obtained a Banach-type fixed point theorem on complete partial metric spaces. Later on, several authors (see, *e.g.*, [11–17]) proved fixed point theorems of single-valued mappings in partial metric spaces. Recently Aydi *et al.* [18] proved a fixed point result for multivalued mappings in partial metric spaces. Haghi *et al.* [19] established that some metric fixed point generalizations to partial metric spaces can be obtained from the corresponding results in metric spaces. In this paper we obtain common fixed points of contractive-type multivalued mappings on partial metric spaces which cannot be deduced from the corresponding results in metric spaces. An example is also established to show that our result is a real generalization of analogous results for metric spaces [1, 9, 10, 18, 20].

We start with recalling some basic definitions and lemmas on a partial metric space.

**Definition 1** A partial metric on a nonempty set  $X$  is a function  $p : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$(P_1) \quad p(x, x) = p(y, y) = p(x, y) \text{ if and only if } x = y,$$

$$(P_2) \quad p(x, x) \leq p(x, y),$$

$$(P_3) \quad p(x, y) = p(y, x),$$

$$(P_4) \quad p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z) - p(y, y).$$

The pair  $(X, p)$  is then called a partial metric space. Also, each partial metric  $p$  on  $X$  generates a  $T_0$  topology  $\tau_p$  on  $X$  with a base of the family of open  $p$ -balls  $\{B_p(x, r) : x \in X, r > 0\}$ , where  $B_p(x, r) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + r\}$ . If  $(X, p)$  is a partial metric space, then the function  $p^s : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  given by  $p^s(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y)$ ,  $x, y \in X$ , is a metric on  $X$ . A basic example of a partial metric space is the pair  $(\mathbb{R}^+, p)$ , where  $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

**Lemma 2** [10] *Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space, then we have the following.*

1. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial metric space  $(X, p)$  converges to a point  $x \in X$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n) = p(x, x)$ .
2. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial metric space  $(X, p)$  is called a Cauchy sequence if the  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$  exists and is finite.
3. A partial metric space  $(X, p)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to a point  $x \in X$ , that is,  $p(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ .
4. A partial metric space  $(X, p)$  is complete if and only if the metric space  $(X, p^s)$  is complete. Furthermore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(x_n, z) = 0$  if and only if  $p(z, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, z) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ .

A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called closed in  $(X, p)$  if it is closed with respect to  $\tau_p$ .  $A$  is called bounded in  $(X, p)$  if there is  $x_0 \in X$  and  $M > 0$  such that  $a \in B_p(x_0, M)$  for all  $a \in A$ , i.e.,  $p(x_0, a) < p(x_0, x_0) + M$  for all  $a \in A$ .

Let  $CB^p(X)$  be the collection of all nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of  $X$  with respect to the partial metric  $p$ . For  $A \in CB^p(X)$ , we define

$$p(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} p(x, y).$$

For  $A, B \in CB^p(X)$ ,

$$\delta_p(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} p(a, B),$$

$$\delta_p(B, A) = \sup_{b \in B} p(b, A),$$

$$H_p(A, B) = \max\{\delta_p(A, B), \delta_p(B, A)\}.$$

Note that [18]  $p(x, A) = 0 \Rightarrow p^s(x, A) = 0$ , where  $p^s(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} p^s(x, y)$ .

**Proposition 3** [18] *Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space. For any  $A, B, C \in CB^p(X)$ , we have*

- (i):  $\delta_p(A, A) = \sup\{p(a, a) : a \in A\}$ ;
- (ii):  $\delta_p(A, A) \leq \delta_p(A, B)$ ;
- (iii):  $\delta_p(A, B) = 0$  implies that  $A \subseteq B$ ;
- (iv):  $\delta_p(A, B) \leq \delta_p(A, C) + \delta_p(C, B) - \inf_{c \in C} p(c, c)$ .

**Proposition 4** [18] *Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space. For any  $A, B, C \in CB^p(X)$ , we have*

$$(h_1): H_p(A, A) \leq H_p(A, B);$$

$$(h_2): H_p(A, B) = H_p(B, A);$$

$$(h_3): H_p(A, B) \leq H_p(A, C) + H_p(C, B) - \inf_{c \in C} p(c, c).$$

It is immediate [18] to check that  $H_p(A, B) = 0 \Rightarrow A = B$ . But the converse does not hold always.

**Remark 5** [18] Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space and  $A$  be a nonempty set in  $(X, p)$ , then  $a \in \bar{A}$  if and only if

$$p(a, A) = p(a, a),$$

where  $\bar{A}$  denotes the closure of  $A$  with respect to the partial metric  $p$ . Note that  $A$  is closed in  $(X, p)$  if and only if  $\bar{A} = A$ .

**Lemma 6** [21] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of a partial metric space  $(X, p)$  and  $0 < h \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, for every  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $p(a, b) \leq H_p(A, B) + h$ .

**Definition 7** [22] A function  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  is said to be an *MT*-function if it satisfies Mizoguchi and Takahashi's condition (i.e.,  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(r) < 1$  for all  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ ). Clearly, if  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  is a nondecreasing function or a nonincreasing function, then it is an *MT*-function. So, the set of *MT*-functions is a rich class.

**Proposition 8** [22] Let  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1.  $\varphi$  is an *MT*-function.
2. For each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , there exist  $r_t^{(1)} \in [0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon_t^{(1)} > 0$  such that  $\varphi(s) \leq r_t^{(1)}$  for all  $s \in (t, t + \varepsilon_t^{(1)})$ .
3. For each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , there exist  $r_t^{(2)} \in [0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon_t^{(2)} > 0$  such that  $\varphi(s) \leq r_t^{(2)}$  for all  $s \in [t, t + \varepsilon_t^{(2)})$ .
4. For each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , there exist  $r_t^{(3)} \in [0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon_t^{(3)} > 0$  such that  $\varphi(s) \leq r_t^{(3)}$  for all  $s \in (t, t + \varepsilon_t^{(3)})$ .
5. For each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , there exist  $r_t^{(4)} \in [0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon_t^{(4)} > 0$  such that  $\varphi(s) \leq r_t^{(4)}$  for all  $s \in [t, t + \varepsilon_t^{(4)})$ .
6. For any nonincreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $[0, \infty)$ , we have  $0 \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(x_n) < 1$ .
7.  $\varphi$  is a function of contractive factor [23], that is, for any strictly decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $[0, \infty)$ , we have  $0 \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(x_n) < 1$ .

## 2 Main results

Mizoguchi and Takahashi proved the following theorem in [20].

**Theorem 9** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $S : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a multivalued map and  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be an *MT*-function. Assume that

$$H(Sx, Sy) \leq \varphi(d(x, y))d(x, y) \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $S$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .

In the following we show that in partial metric spaces Mizoguchi and Takahashi's contractive condition (2.1) is useful to achieve common fixed points of two distinct mappings. Whereas this condition is not feasible to obtain a common fixed point of two distinct mappings on a metric space.

**Theorem 10** Let  $(X, p)$  be a complete partial metric space,  $S, T : X \rightarrow CB^p(X)$  be multi-valued mappings and  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be an MT-function. Assume that

$$H_p(Sx, Ty) \leq \varphi(p(x, y))p(x, y) \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $z \in Sz$  and  $z \in Tz$ .

*Proof* Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Sx_0$ . If  $p(x_0, x_1) = 0$ , then  $x_0 = x_1$  and

$$H_p(Sx_0, Tx_1) \leq \varphi(p(x_0, x_1))p(x_0, x_1) = 0.$$

Thus  $Sx_0 = Tx_1$ , which implies that

$$x_1 = x_0 \in Sx_0 = Tx_1 = Tx_0$$

and we finished. Assume that  $p(x_0, x_1) > 0$ . By Lemma 6, we can take  $x_2 \in Tx_1$  such that

$$p(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{H_p(Sx_0, Tx_1) + p(x_0, x_1)}{2}. \tag{2.3}$$

If  $p(x_1, x_2) = 0$ , then  $x_1 = x_2$  and

$$H_p(Tx_1, Sx_2) \leq \varphi(p(x_1, x_2))p(x_1, x_2) = 0.$$

Then,  $Tx_1 = Sx_2$ . That is,

$$x_2 = x_1 \in Tx_1 = Sx_2 = Sx_2$$

and we finished. Assume that  $p(x_1, x_2) > 0$ . Now we choose  $x_3 \in Sx_2$  such that

$$p(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{H_p(Tx_1, Sx_2) + p(x_1, x_2)}{2}. \tag{2.4}$$

By repeating this process, we can construct a sequence  $x_n$  of points in  $X$  and a sequence  $A_n$  of elements in  $CB^p(X)$  such that

$$x_{j+1} \in A_j = \begin{cases} Sx_j, & j = 2k, k \geq 0, \\ Tx_j, & j = 2k + 1, k \geq 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$p(x_j, x_{j+1}) \leq \frac{H_p(A_{j-1}, A_j) + p(x_{j-1}, x_j)}{2} \quad \text{with } j \geq 0, \tag{2.6}$$

along with the assumption that  $p(x_j, x_{j+1}) > 0$  for each  $j \geq 0$ . Now, for  $j = 2k + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_j, x_{j+1}) &\leq \frac{H_p(A_{j-1}, A_j) + p(x_{j-1}, x_j)}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{H_p(Sx_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1}) + p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{\varphi(p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}))p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\varphi(p(x_{j-1}, x_j)) + 1}{2}\right)p(x_{j-1}, x_j) \\ &\leq p(x_{j-1}, x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for  $j = 2k + 2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_j, x_{j+1}) &\leq \frac{H_p(Tx_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k+2}) + p(x_{j-1}, x_j)}{2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\varphi(p(x_{j-1}, x_j)) + 1}{2}\right)p(x_{j-1}, x_j) \\ &\leq p(x_{j-1}, x_j). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the sequence  $\{p(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  is decreasing and converges to a nonnegative real number  $t \geq 0$ . Define a function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  as follows:

$$\psi(\xi) = \frac{\varphi(\xi) + 1}{2}.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow t^+} \psi(\xi) < 1.$$

Using Proposition 8, for  $t \geq 0$ , we can find  $\delta(t) > 0$ ,  $\lambda_t < 1$ , such that  $t \leq r \leq \delta(t) + t$  implies  $\psi(r) < \lambda_t$  and there exists a natural number  $N$  such that  $t \leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \delta(t) + t$ , whenever  $n > N$ . Hence

$$\psi(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) < \lambda_t, \quad \text{whenever } n > N.$$

Then, for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{\varphi(p(x_{n-1}, x_n)) + 1}{2}\right)p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \psi(p(x_{n-1}, x_n))p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \max\left\{\max_{n=1}^N \psi(p(x_{n-1}, x_n)), \lambda_t\right\}p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \left[\max\left\{\max_{n=1}^N \psi(p(x_{n-1}, x_n)), \lambda_t\right\}\right]^2 p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \left[\max\left\{\max_{n=1}^N \psi(p(x_{n-1}, x_n)), \lambda_t\right\}\right]^n p(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Put  $\max\{\max_{n=1}^N \psi(p(x_{n-1}, x_n)), \lambda_t\} = \Omega$ , then  $\Omega < 1$ ,

$$p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \Omega^n p(x_0, x_1) \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_{n+m}) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m p(x_{n+i-1}, x_{n+i}) - \sum_{i=1}^m p(x_{n+i}, x_{n+i}) \\ &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{n+m-1}, x_{n+m}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (\Omega^n + \Omega^{n+1} + \dots + \Omega^{n+m-1})p(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\Omega^n}{1 - \Omega}\right)p(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ (since } 0 < \Omega < 1\text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $p^s$ , we get, for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$p^s(x_n, x_{n+m}) \leq 2p(x_n, x_{n+m}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Which implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, p^s)$ . Since  $(X, p)$  is complete, so the corresponding metric space  $(X, p^s)$  is also complete. Therefore, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to some  $z \in X$  with respect to the metric  $p^s$ , that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} p^s(x_n, z) = 0$ . Since,

$$p(x_n, x_n) \leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \Omega^n p(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore

$$p(z, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} p(x_n, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_n) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Now from (P<sub>4</sub>) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} p(Sz, z) &\leq p(Sz, x_{2n+2}) + p(x_{2n+2}, z) - p(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}) \\ &\leq p(x_{2n+2}, Sz) + p(x_{2n+2}, z) \\ &\leq \sup_{u \in Tx_{2n+1}} p(u, Sz) + p(x_{2n+2}, z) \\ &\leq \delta_p(Tx_{2n+1}, Sz) + p(x_{2n+2}, z) \\ &\leq H_p(Tx_{2n+1}, Sz) + p(x_{2n+2}, z) \\ &\leq \varphi(p(x_{2n+1}, z))p(x_{2n+1}, z) + p(x_{2n+2}, z) \\ &\leq p(x_{2n+1}, z) + p(x_{2n+2}, z). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$p(Sz, z) = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Thus from (2.8) and (2.9), we get

$$p(z, z) = p(Sz, z).$$

Thus by Remark 5, we get that  $z \in Sz$ . It follows similarly that  $z \in Tz$ . This completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Remark 11** The above theorem cannot be deduced from an analogous result of metric spaces. Indeed the contractive condition (2.2) for a pair  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  of mappings on a metric space  $(X, d)$ , that is,

$$H_d(Sx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,$$

is not feasible. Because  $S \neq T$  implies that  $Su \neq Tu$ , for some  $u \in X$ , then

$$H_d(Su, Tu) > 0 = kd(u, u)$$

and condition (2.2) is not satisfied for  $x = y = u$ . However, the same condition in a partial metric space is practicable to find a common fixed point result for a pair of mappings. This fact can be seen again in the following example.

**Example 12** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ , and let  $S, T : X \rightarrow CB^p(X)$  be defined by

$$Sx = \overline{B\left(0, \frac{1}{7}x\right)}, \quad Tx = \overline{B\left(0, \frac{2}{7}x\right)}.$$

Then

$$H_p\left(\overline{B\left(0, \frac{1}{7}x\right)}, \overline{B\left(0, \frac{2}{7}x\right)}\right) = \max\left\{\frac{1}{7}x, \frac{2}{7}x\right\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$H_p(Sx, Ty) = \frac{1}{7} \max\{x, 2y\}$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{10} \max\{x, y\} \leq kp(x, y).$$

Therefore, for  $\varphi(t) = \frac{3}{10}$ , all the conditions of Theorem 10 are satisfied to find a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ . However, note that for any metric  $d$  on  $X$ ,

$$H_d(S1, T1) = H_d\left(\overline{B\left(0, \frac{1}{7}\right)}, \overline{B\left(0, \frac{2}{7}\right)}\right) > kd(1, 1) = 0 \quad \text{for any } k \in [0, 1).$$

Therefore common fixed points of  $S$  and  $T$  cannot be obtained from an analogous metric fixed point theorem.

In the following we present a partial metric extension of the results in [1, 9, 10, 18, 20].

**Theorem 13** (see [9, 10]) *Let  $(X, p)$  be a complete partial metric space,  $S : X \rightarrow CB^p(X)$  be a multivalued mapping and  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be an MT-function. Assume that*

$$H_p(Sx, Sy) \leq \varphi(p(x, y))p(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $S$  has a fixed point.

For  $\varphi(t) = kt$ , we have the following result as a special case of the above theorem.

**Corollary 14** *Let  $(X, p)$  be a complete partial metric space, and let  $S, T : X \rightarrow CB^p(X)$  be a multivalued mapping satisfying the following condition:*

$$H_p(Sx, Ty) \leq kp(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $k \in [0, 1)$ , then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point.

**Corollary 15** [18] (see also [1]) *Let  $(X, p)$  be a complete partial metric space, and let  $S : X \rightarrow CB^p(X)$  be a multivalued mapping satisfying the following condition:*

$$H_p(Sx, Sy) \leq kp(x, y)$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $k \in [0, 1)$ , then  $S$  has a fixed point.*

Now we deduce the results for single-valued self-mappings from Theorem 10.

**Theorem 16** *Let  $(X, p)$  be a complete partial metric space,  $S, T$  be two self-mappings on  $X$  and  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be an MT-function. Assume that*

$$p(Sx, Ty) \leq \varphi(p(x, y))p(x, y)$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point.*

**Corollary 17** [10] *Let  $(X, p)$  be a complete partial metric space, and let  $S : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfying the following condition:*

$$p(Sx, Sy) \leq kp(x, y)$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $k \in [0, 1)$ , then  $S$  has a fixed point.*

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Chack Shahzad, Islamabad, 44000, Pakistan.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, International Islamic University, H-10, Islamabad, 44000, Pakistan.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the editor and the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which improved greatly the quality of this paper.

Received: 11 April 2013 Accepted: 25 October 2013 Published: 25 Nov 2013

#### References

1. Nadler, S: Multi-valued contraction mappings. *Pac. J. Math.* **20**, 475-488 (1969)
2. Agarwal, RP, Cho, YJ, O'Regan, D: Fixed point and homotopy invariant results for multi-valued maps on complete gauge spaces. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **67**, 241-248 (2003)
3. Azam, A, Arshad, M: Fixed points of sequence of locally contractive multivalued maps. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **57**, 96-100 (2009)
4. Beg, I, Azam, A: Fixed points of asymptotically regular multivalued mappings. *J. Aust. Math. Soc. A* **53**, 313-326 (1992)
5. Cho, YJ, Hirunworakit, S, Petrot, N: Set-valued fixed points theorems for generalized contractive mappings without the Hausdorff metric. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 1957-1967 (2011)
6. Dhompangsa, S, Yingtaweessittikul, H: Fixed points for multivalued mappings and the metric completeness. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2009). doi:10.1155/2009/972395
7. Hussain, N, Amin-Harandi, A, Cho, YJ: Approximate endpoints for set-valued contractions in metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2010**, Article ID 614867 (2010)
8. Kikkawa, M, Suzuki, T: Three fixed point theorems for generalized contractions with constants in complete metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **69**, 2942-2949 (2008)
9. Suzuki, T: Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem is a real generalization of Nadler's. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **340**, 752-755 (2008)
10. Matthews, SG: Partial metric topology. In: *Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications*. Ann. New York Acad. Sci., vol. 728, pp. 183-197 (1994)

11. Abbas, M, Ali, B, Vetro, C: A Suzuki type fixed point theorem for a generalized multivalued mapping on partial Hausdorff metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **160**, 553-563 (2013)
12. Abdeljawad, T: Fixed points for generalized weakly contractive mappings in partial metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **54**, 2923-2927 (2011)
13. Ahmad, J, Di Bari, C, Cho, YJ, Arshad, M: Some fixed point results for multi-valued mappings in partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 175 (2013)
14. Di Bari, C, Vetro, P: Fixed points for weak  $\phi$ -contractions on partial metric spaces. *Int. J. Eng. Contemp. Math. Sci.* **1**, 4-9 (2011)
15. Erduran, A: Common fixed point of  $g$ -approximative multivalued mapping in ordered partial metric space. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-36
16. Karapinar, E, Erhan, IM: Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 1894-1899 (2011)
17. Kutbi, MA, Ahmad, J, Hussain, N, Arshad, M: Common fixed point results for mappings with rational expressions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, Article ID 549518 (2013)
18. Aydi, H, Abbas, M, Vetro, C: Partial Hausdorff metric and Nadler's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **159**, 3234-3242 (2012)
19. Haghi, RH, Rezapour, S, Shahzad, N: Be careful on partial metric fixed point results. *Topol. Appl.* **160**, 450-454 (2012)
20. Mizoguchi, N, Takahashi, W: Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **141**, 177-188 (1989)
21. Aydi, H, Abbas, M, Vetro, C: Common fixed points for multi-valued generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* (2013). doi:10.1007/s13398-013-0120-z
22. Du, WS: On coincidence point and fixed point theorems for nonlinear multivalued maps. *Topol. Appl.* **159**, 49-56 (2012)
23. Du, WS: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions satisfied Mizoguchi-Takahashi's condition in quasi-ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2010**, Article ID 876372 (2010). doi:10.1155/2010/876372

10.1186/1687-1812-2013-316

**Cite this article as:** Ahmad et al.: Fixed points of multivalued mappings in partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, **2013**:316

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---