

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Asymptotic pointwise contractive type in modular function spaces

Farhan Golkarmanesh<sup>1\*</sup> and Shahram Saeidi<sup>2</sup>

\*Correspondence:

fgolkarmanesh@yahoo.com

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Science and Research Branch,  
Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce asymptotic pointwise contractive type conditions in modular function spaces and present fixed point results for mappings under such conditions.

**MSC:** 47H09; 47H10; 54H25

**Keywords:** asymptotic pointwise  $\rho$ -contraction type; modular function space

## 1 Introduction

The notion of asymptotic pointwise contraction was introduced by Kirk [1]: Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : M \rightarrow M$  is called an asymptotic pointwise contraction if there exists a function  $\alpha : M \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that for each integer  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$d(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \alpha_n(x) d(x, y) \quad \text{for each } x, y \in M,$$

where  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  pointwise on  $M$ . Moreover, Kirk and Xu [2] proved that if  $C$  is a weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space  $E$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  an asymptotic pointwise contraction, then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $v \in C$ , and for each  $x \in C$ , the sequence of Picard iterates  $\{T^n x\}$  converges in norm to  $v$ .

Very recently, Saeidi [3] introduced the concept of (weak) asymptotic pointwise contraction type: Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : M \rightarrow M$  is said to be of asymptotic pointwise contraction type (resp. of weak asymptotic pointwise contraction type) if  $T^N$  is continuous for some integer  $N \geq 1$  and there exists a function  $\alpha : M \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that for each  $x$  in  $M$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in M} \{d(T^n x, T^n y) - \alpha_n(x) d(x, y)\} \leq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\left( \text{resp. } \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in M} \{d(T^n x, T^n y) - \alpha_n(x) d(x, y)\} \leq 0 \right), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  pointwise on  $M$ .

It is easy to see that an asymptotic pointwise contraction is of asymptotic pointwise contraction type, but the converse is not true [3]. The following result was proved in [3].

**Theorem 1.1** [3] *Let  $C$  be a nonempty weakly compact subset of a Banach space  $E$ , and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a mapping of weak asymptotic pointwise contraction type. Then  $T$  has a*

unique fixed point  $v \in C$  and, for each  $x \in C$ , the sequence of Picard iterates  $\{T^n x\}$  converges in norm to  $v$ .

On the other hand, Khamsi and Kozłowski [4] studied the concept of asymptotic pointwise contractions in modular function spaces.

In this paper, motivated by Khamsi and Kozłowski [4, 5] and Saeidi [3], we study the notion of asymptotic pointwise contraction type in a modular function space. Moreover, we present fixed results which extend the earlier results in [3, 4].

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty set, and let  $\Sigma$  be a nontrivial  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $\delta$ -ring of subsets of  $\Omega$  such that  $E \cap A \in \mathcal{P}$  for any  $E \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $A \in \Sigma$ . Let us assume that there exists an increasing sequence of sets  $K_n \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\Omega = \bigcup K_n$ . By  $\xi$  we denote the linear space of all simple functions with supports from  $\mathcal{P}$ . By  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  we denote the space of all extended measurable function, i.e., all function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  such that there exists a sequence  $\{g_n\} \in \xi$ ,  $|g_n| \leq |f|$  and  $g_n(\omega) \rightarrow f(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

By  $1_A$  we denote the characteristic function of the set  $A$ .

**Definition 2.1** [6] Let  $\rho : \mathcal{M}_\infty \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a nontrivial, convex and even function. We say that  $\rho$  is a regular convex function pseudomodular if:

- (a)  $\rho(0) = 0$ ;
- (b)  $\rho$  is monotone, i.e.,  $|f(\omega)| \leq |g(\omega)|$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  implies  $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$ , where  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ ;
- (c)  $\rho$  is orthogonally subadditive, i.e.,  $\rho(f1_{A \cup B}) \leq \rho(f1_A) + \rho(f1_B)$  for any  $A, B \in \Sigma$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ ;
- (d)  $\rho$  has the Fatou property, i.e.,  $|f_n(\omega)| \uparrow |f(\omega)|$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  implies  $\rho(f_n) \uparrow \rho(f)$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ ;
- (e)  $\rho$  is order continuous in  $\xi$ , i.e.,  $g_n \in \xi$  and  $|g_n(\omega)| \downarrow 0$  implies  $\rho(g_n) \downarrow 0$ .

Similarly as in the case of measure spaces, we say that a set  $A \in \Sigma$  is  $\rho$ -null if  $\rho(g1_A) = 0$  for every  $g \in \xi$ . We say that a property holds  $\rho$ -almost everywhere if the exceptional set is  $\rho$ -null. As usual we identify any pair of measurable sets whose symmetric difference is  $\rho$ -null as well as any pair of measurable functions differing only on a  $\rho$ -null set. With this in mind, we define

$$\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathcal{P}, \rho) = \{f \in \mathcal{M}_\infty : |f(\omega)| < \infty \text{ } \rho\text{-a.e.}\},$$

where each  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathcal{P}, \rho)$  is actually an equivalence class of functions equal  $\rho$ -a.e. rather than an individual function. Where no confusion exists, we write  $\mathcal{M}$  instead of  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathcal{P}, \rho)$ .

**Definition 2.2** [4, 5] Let  $\rho$  be a regular function pseudomodular;

- (a) we say that  $\rho$  is a regular convex function semimodular if  $\rho(\alpha f) = 0$  for every  $\alpha > 0$  implies  $f = 0$   $\rho$ -a.e.;
- (b) we say that  $\rho$  is a regular convex function modular if  $\rho(f) = 0$  implies  $f = 0$   $\rho$ -a.e.

The class of all nonzero regular convex function modulars on  $\Omega$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

**Definition 2.3** [7–9] Let  $\rho$  be a convex function modular.

- (a) A modular function space is the vector space  $L_\rho(\Omega, \Sigma)$ , or briefly  $L_\rho$ , defined by

$$L_\rho = \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \rho(\lambda f) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0\}.$$

- (b) The following formula defines a norm in  $L_\rho$  (frequently called Luxemburg norm):

$$\|f\|_\rho = \inf\{\alpha > 0; \rho(f/\alpha) \leq 1\}.$$

In the following theorem, we recall some of the properties of modular function spaces that will be used later on in this paper.

**Lemma 2.4** [7–9] Let  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Defining  $L_\rho^0 = \{f \in L_\rho; \rho(f, \cdot) \text{ is order continuous}\}$  and  $E_\rho = \{f \in L_\rho; \lambda f \in L_\rho^0 \text{ for every } \lambda > 0\}$ , we have

- (i)  $L_\rho \supset L_\rho^0 \supset E_\rho$ ;
- (ii)  $E_\rho$  has the Lebesgue property, i.e.,  $\rho(\alpha f, D_k) \rightarrow 0$ , for  $\alpha > 0, f \in E_\rho$  and  $D_k \downarrow \emptyset$ ;
- (iii)  $E_\rho$  is the closure of  $E$  (in the sense of  $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ ).

**Definition 2.5** [4, 5] Let  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}$ .

- (a) We say that  $\{f_n\}$  is  $\rho$ -convergent to  $f$  and write  $f_n \rightarrow f(\rho)$  if and only if  $\rho(f_n - f) \rightarrow 0$ .
- (b) A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  where  $f_n \in L_\rho$  is called  $\rho$ -Cauchy if  $\rho(f_n - f_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (c) A set  $C \subset L_\rho$  is called  $\rho$ -closed if for any sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $C$ , the convergence  $f_n \rightarrow f(\rho)$  implies that  $f$  belongs to  $C$ .
- (d) A set  $C \subset L_\rho$  is called  $\rho$ -bounded if  $\sup\{\rho(f - g); f \in C, g \in C\} < \infty$ .
- (e) For a set  $C \subset L_\rho$ , the mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is called  $\rho$ -continuous if  $f_n \rightarrow f(\rho)$ , then  $T(f_n) \rightarrow T(f)(\rho)$ .
- (f) A set  $C \subset L_\rho$  is called  $\rho$ -a.e. closed if for any sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $C$  which  $\rho$ -a.e. converges to some  $f$ , then we must have  $f \in C$ .
- (g) A set  $C \subset L_\rho$  is called  $\rho$ -a.e. compact if for any sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $C$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  which  $\rho$ -a.e. converges to some  $f \in C$ .
- (h) Let  $f \in L_\rho$  and  $C \subset L_\rho$ . The  $\rho$ -distance between  $f$  and  $C$  is defined as

$$d_\rho(f, C) = \inf\{\rho(f - g); g \in C\}.$$

Let us recall that  $\rho$ -convergence does not necessarily imply  $\rho$ -Cauchy condition. Also,  $f_n \rightarrow f$  does not imply in general  $\lambda f_n \rightarrow \lambda f, \lambda > 1$ .

**Definition 2.6** [4] We say that  $L_\rho$  has the property (R) if and only if every nonincreasing sequence  $\{C_n\}$  of nonempty,  $\rho$ -bounded,  $\rho$ -closed, convex subsets of  $L_\rho$  has nonempty intersection.

**Definition 2.7** [4] We say that the function modular  $\rho$  is uniformly continuous if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $L > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|\rho(g) - \rho(h + g)| \leq \epsilon \quad \text{if } \rho(h) \leq \delta \text{ and } \rho(g) \leq L.$$

**Definition 2.8** [4] A function  $\lambda : C \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , where  $C \subset L_\rho$  is nonempty and  $\rho$ -closed, is called  $\rho$ -lower semicontinuous if for any  $\alpha > 0$ , the set  $C_\alpha = \{f \in C; \lambda(f) \leq \alpha\}$  is  $\rho$ -closed.

It can be proved that  $\rho$ -lower semicontinuity is equivalent to the condition

$$\lambda(f) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(f_n) \quad \text{provided } f, f_n \in C \text{ and } \rho(f - f_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

The following result plays an important role in the proof of the main results.

**Lemma 2.9** [4] Assume that  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}$  has the property (R). Let  $C \subset L_\rho$  be nonempty, convex,  $\rho$ -closed and  $\rho$ -bounded. If  $\varphi : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a  $\rho$ -lower semicontinuous convex function, then there exists  $x_0 \in C$  such that

$$\varphi(x_0) = \inf\{\varphi(x); x \in C\}.$$

Let us recall the notion of  $\rho$ -type.

**Definition 2.10** [4] Let  $C \subset L_\rho$  be convex and  $\rho$ -bounded. A function  $\tau : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a  $(\rho)$ -type (or shortly a type) if there exists a sequence  $\{y_m\}$  of elements of  $C$  such that for any  $z \in C$ , the following holds:

$$\tau(z) = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho(y_m - z).$$

**Lemma 2.11** [4] Let  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}$  be uniformly continuous. Let  $C \subset L_\rho$  be nonempty, convex,  $\rho$ -closed and  $\rho$ -bounded. Then any  $\rho$ -type  $\tau : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is  $\rho$ -lower semicontinuous in  $C$ .

### 3 Asymptotic pointwise contractive type conditions in modular function spaces

**Definition 3.1** [4] Let  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}$  and  $C \subset L_\rho$  be non-empty and  $\rho$ -closed. A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is called an asymptotic pointwise mapping if there exists a sequence of mappings  $\alpha_n : C \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

$$\rho(T^n f - T^n g) \leq \alpha_n(f) \rho(f - g) \quad \text{for any } f, g \in C.$$

- (a) If  $\{\alpha_n\}$  converges pointwise to  $\alpha : C \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , then  $T$  is called asymptotic pointwise  $\rho$ -contraction.
- (b) If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(f) \leq 1$  for any  $f \in C$ , then  $T$  is called asymptotic pointwise nonexpansive.
- (c) If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(f) \leq k$  for any  $f \in C$  with  $0 < k < 1$ , then  $T$  is called strongly asymptotic pointwise  $\rho$ -contraction.

Khamsi and Kozłowski proved the following results in modular function spaces.

**Theorem 3.2** [4] Let  $C \subset L_\rho$  be nonempty,  $\rho$ -closed and  $\rho$ -bounded. Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be an asymptotic pointwise  $\rho$ -contraction. Then  $T$  has at most one fixed point in  $C$ . Moreover, if  $x_0$  is a fixed point of  $T$ , then the orbit  $\{T^n x\}$  is  $\rho$ -convergent to  $x_0$  for any  $x \in C$ .

**Theorem 3.3** [4] *Let us assume that  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}$  is uniformly continuous and has the property (R). Let  $C \subset L_\rho$  be nonempty, convex,  $\rho$ -closed and  $\rho$ -bounded. Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be an asymptotic pointwise  $\rho$ -contraction. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x_0 \in C$ . Moreover, the orbit  $\{T^n x\}$  is  $\rho$ -convergent to  $x_0$  for any  $x \in C$ .*

Below, we introduce the notion of asymptotic pointwise  $\rho$ -contraction type in modular function spaces.

**Definition 3.4** Let  $C \subset L_\rho$  be nonempty,  $\rho$ -bounded and  $\rho$ -closed. A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be of asymptotic pointwise  $\rho$ -contraction type (resp. of weak asymptotic pointwise  $\rho$ -contraction type) if  $T^N$  is  $\rho$ -continuous for some integer  $N \geq 1$  and there exists a function  $\alpha : C \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that, for each  $x$  in  $C$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in C} \{ \rho(T^n x - T^n y) - \alpha_n(x) \rho(x - y) \} \leq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\left( \text{resp. } \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in C} \{ \rho(T^n x - T^n y) - \alpha_n(x) \rho(x - y) \} \leq 0 \right), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  pointwise on  $M$ .

Taking

$$r_n(x) = \sup_{y \in M} \{ \rho(T^n x - T^n y) - \alpha_n(x) \rho(x - y) \} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{\infty\},$$

it can be easily seen from (3.1) (resp. (3.2)) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(x) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\left( \text{resp. } \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(x) \leq 0 \right) \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $x \in M$ , and

$$\rho(T^n x - T^n y) \leq \alpha_n(x) \rho(x - y) + r_n(x). \quad (3.5)$$

We will obtain fixed point results for these mappings in modular function spaces.

First, it is worth mentioning that the  $\rho$ -limit of any  $\rho$ -convergent sequence in  $L_\rho$  is unique. This fact follows from the following reasoning: Assume that  $\rho(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\rho(u_n - v) \rightarrow 0$ . Then

$$\rho\left(\frac{u - v}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho(u - u_n) + \frac{1}{2} \rho(v - u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that  $u = v$ .

The following theorem is our main result.

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}$  be uniformly continuous and have the property (R). Let  $C \subset L_\rho$  be nonempty, convex,  $\rho$ -closed and  $\rho$ -bounded. Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a mapping of weak asymptotic pointwise  $\rho$ -contraction type. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $v \in C$  and, for each  $x \in C$ , the sequence of Picard iterates  $\{T^n x\}$  is  $\rho$ -convergent to  $v$ .*

*Proof* Fix an  $x \in C$  and define a function  $\tau$  by

$$\tau(u) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^n x - u), \quad u \in C.$$

By Lemma 2.11,  $\tau$  is  $\rho$ -lower semicontinuous in  $C$ . By Lemma 2.9, then there exists  $x_0 \in C$  such that

$$\tau(x_0) = \inf\{\tau(x); x \in C\}.$$

Let us prove that  $\tau(x_0) = 0$ . Indeed, for any  $n, m \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(T^m x_0) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^n x - T^m x_0) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{m+n} x - T^m x_0) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^m(T^n x) - T^m x_0) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m(x_0) \rho(T^n x - x_0) + r_m(x_0) \\ &= \alpha_m(x_0) \tau(x_0) + r_m(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\tau(x_0) = \inf\{\tau(x); x \in C\} \leq \tau(T^m x_0) \leq \alpha_m(x_0) \tau(x_0) + r_m(x_0). \quad (3.6)$$

Since  $T$  is of weak asymptotic pointwise  $\rho$ -contraction type, by (3.4) we have  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_m(x_0) \leq 0$ . Thus, for a subsequence  $\{r_{m_k}(x_0)\}$  of  $\{r_m(x_0)\}$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{m_k}(x_0) \leq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Now, by (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\tau(x_0) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\alpha_{m_k}(x_0) \tau(x_0) + r_{m_k}(x_0)] = \alpha(x_0) \tau(x_0),$$

which forces  $\tau(x_0) = 0$  as  $\alpha(x_0) < 1$ . Hence  $\rho(T^n x - x_0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From this and the continuity of  $T^N$ , for some  $N \geq 1$ , it follows that  $\rho(T^{N+n} x - T^N x_0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the  $\rho$ -limit of any  $\rho$ -convergent sequence is unique, we must have  $T^N x_0 = x_0$ , namely,  $x_0$  is a fixed point of  $T^N$ . Now, repeating the above proof for  $x_0$  instead of  $x$ , we deduce that  $T^n x_0$  is  $\rho$ -convergent to a member  $v$  of  $C$ ; i.e.,  $\rho(T^n x_0 - v) \rightarrow 0$ . But  $T^{kN} x_0 = x_0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Hence,  $v = x_0$  and then  $T^n x_0 \rightarrow x_0(\rho)$ .

We show that  $Tx_0 = x_0$ ; for this purpose, consider an arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a  $k_0 > 0$  such that  $\rho(T^n x_0 - x_0) < \epsilon$  for all  $n > k_0$ . So, by choosing a natural number  $k > k_0/N$ , we obtain

$$\rho(Tx_0 - x_0) = \rho(T(T^{kN} x_0) - x_0) = \rho(T^{kN+1} x_0 - x_0) < \epsilon.$$

Since the choice of  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary and  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}$ , we get  $Tx_0 = x_0$ .

It is easy to verify that  $T$  can have only one fixed point. Indeed, if  $u, v \in C$  are fixed points of  $T$ , then by (3.5), we have

$$\rho(u - v) = \rho(T^n u - T^n v) \leq \alpha_n(u)\rho(u - v) + r_n(u), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Taking  $\liminf$  in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\rho(u - v) \leq \alpha(u)\rho(u - v).$$

Since  $\alpha(u) < 1$  and  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}$ , we immediately get  $u = v$ .  $\square$

Next, using the  $\rho$ -a.e. strong Opial property of the function modular, we prove a fixed point theorem which does not assume the uniform continuity of  $\rho$ .

**Definition 3.6** [4, 10] We say that  $L_\rho$  satisfies the  $\rho$ -a.e. strong Opial property (or shortly SO-property) if for every  $\{f_n\} \in L_\rho$  which is  $\rho$ -a.e. convergent to zero such that there exists a  $\beta > 1$  for which

$$\sup\{\rho(\beta f_n)\} < \infty,$$

the following equality holds for any  $g \in L_\rho$ :

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n + g) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n) + \rho(g).$$

**Lemma 3.7** [4] Let  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Assume that  $L_\rho$  has the  $\rho$ -a.e. strong Opial property. Let  $C \subset E_\rho$  be a nonempty,  $\rho$ -a.e. compact subset such that there exists  $\beta > 1$  such that  $\delta_\rho(\beta C) = \sup\{\rho(\beta(x - y)); x, y \in C\} < \infty$ . Let  $D \subset C$  be a nonempty  $\rho$ -a.e. closed subset. For any  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\lambda_n : D \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be such that for any  $y \in D$ , there exists a sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset C$  such that, for every  $n \geq 1$ , the following holds:

$$\lambda_n(y) - \frac{1}{n} \leq \rho(y - y_n),$$

and  $\rho(x - y_n) \leq \lambda_n(x)$  for every  $x \in D$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\lambda(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(x)$  for any  $x \in D$ . Then there exists  $x_0 \in D$  at which  $\lambda$  attains infimum, i.e.,

$$\lambda(x_0) = \inf\{\lambda(x); x \in D\}.$$

**Theorem 3.8** Let  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Assume that  $L_\rho$  has the  $\rho$ -a.e. strong Opial property. Let  $C \subset E_\rho$  be a nonempty  $\rho$ -a.e. compact convex subset such that  $\delta_\rho(\beta C) = \sup\{\rho(\beta(x - y)); x, y \in C\} < \infty$  for some  $\beta > 1$ . Then any  $T : C \rightarrow C$  of weak asymptotic pointwise  $\rho$ -contraction type has a unique fixed point  $x_0 \in C$ . Moreover, the orbit  $\{T^n x\}$  is  $\rho$ -convergent to  $x_0$  for any  $x \in C$ .

*Proof* Fix an  $x \in C$  and define a function  $\tau$  by

$$\tau(u) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^n x - u), \quad u \in C.$$

By Lemma 3.7 applied with  $\lambda(u) = \tau(u)$ ,  $D = C$ ,  $\lambda_n(u) = \rho(T^n x - u)$ , and with  $y_n = T^n x$  chosen for all  $u \in C$ , there exists  $x_0 \in C$  such that

$$\tau(x_0) = \inf\{\tau(x); x \in C\}.$$

The rest of the proof is like the one used for Theorem 3.5. □

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All the authors contributed equally. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, 416, Iran.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the referees for their careful reading of the paper and several helpful comments.

Received: 9 September 2012 Accepted: 11 March 2013 Published: 17 April 2013

#### References

1. Kirk, WA: Asymptotic pointwise contraction. In: Plenary Lecture, the 8th International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications. Chiang Mai University, Thailand, 16-22 July 2007 (2007)
2. Kirk, WA, Xu, H-K: Asymptotic pointwise contractions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**, 4706-4712 (2008)
3. Saeidi, S: Mapping under asymptotic pointwise contractive type conditions. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* (in press)
4. Khamsi, MA, Kozłowski, WM: On asymptotic pointwise contraction in modular function spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **73**, 2957-2967 (2010)
5. Khamsi, MA, Kozłowski, WM: On asymptotic pointwise nonexpansive mappings in modular function spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **380**, 697-708 (2011)
6. Khamsi, MA: Fixed point theory in modular function spaces. In: *Recent Advances on Metric Fixed Point Theorem*, vol. 48, pp. 31-58. Universidad de Silva, Silva (1996)
7. Kozłowski, WM: Notes on modular function spaces I. *Comment. Math.* **28**, 91-104 (1988)
8. Kozłowski, WM: Notes on modular function spaces II. *Comment. Math.* **28**, 105-120 (1988)
9. Kozłowski, WM: *Modular Function Spaces. Ser. Monogr. Textbooks Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 122. Dekker, New York (1988)
10. Khamsi, MA: A convexity property in modular function spaces. *Math. Jpn.* **44**, 269-279 (1996)

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-101

**Cite this article as:** Golkarmanesh and Saeidi: Asymptotic pointwise contractive type in modular function spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013 **2013**:101.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)