

RESEARCH

Open Access

A fixed point of generalized T_F -contraction mappings in cone metric spaces

Farshid Khojasteh^{1*}, Abdolrahman Razani¹ and Sirous Moradi²

* Correspondence:

fr_khojasteh@yahoo.com

¹Department of Mathematics,
Science and Research Branch,
Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, the existence of a fixed point for T_F -contractive mappings on complete metric spaces and cone metric spaces is proved, where $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a one to one and closed graph function and $F : P \rightarrow P$ is non-decreasing and right continuous, with $F^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ and $F(t_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies $t_n \rightarrow 0$. Our results, extend previous results given by Meir and Keeler (J. Math. Anal. Appl. **28**, 326-329, 1969), Branciari (Int. J. Math. sci. **29**, 531-536, 2002), Suzuki (J. Math. Math. Sci. 2007), Rezapour et al. (J. Math. Anal. Appl. **345**, 719-724, 2010), Moradi et al. (Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inf. **5**, 25-32, 2010) and Khojasteh et al. (Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010).

MSC(2000): 47H10; 54H25; 28B05.

Keywords: integral type contraction; regular cone, Meir-Keeler contraction, T_F -contraction, \mathcal{L} -function

1 Introduction

In 2007, Huang et al. [1], introduced the cone metric spaces and proved some fixed point theorems. Recently, Many results closely related to cone metric spaces are given (see [2-6]). In addition, some topological properties of these spaces are surveyed.

In 2010, Khojasteh et al. [7] introduced a new concept of integral with respect to a cone and proved some fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces. At the same year, Moradi et al. [8] introduced a new type of fixed point theorem by defining T_F -contraction as a new contractive condition in complete metric spaces. To state this result, some preliminaries from [8,9] are recalled. First, set $R_0^+ = [0, +\infty)$ and

$$\Psi : \{F : R_0^+ \rightarrow R_0^+ : F \text{ is non-decreasing, right continuous } F^{-1}(0) = \{0\}\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Definition 1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $f, T : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings and $F \in \Psi$. The mapping f is said to be T_F -contraction, if there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$F(d(Tfx, Tfy)) \leq \alpha F(d(Tx, Ty)). \quad (1.2)$$

Example 1.2. Suppose $X = R_0^+$ is endowed with the Euclidean metric. Consider two mappings $T, f : X \rightarrow X$ defined by $Tx = \frac{1}{x} + 1$ and $fx = 2x$, respectively. Obviously, f is not a contraction but it is a T_F -contraction, where $F(x) \equiv x$.

Definition 1.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be closed graph, if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = a$, there exists $b \in X$ such that $Tb = a$. For example, the identity function on X is closed graph.

In 2010, Moradi et al. [8] proved the following fixed point theorem.

Theorem 1.4. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $T, f : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that T is one-to-one and closed graph, and f is T_F -contraction, respectively, where $F \in \Psi$. Then, f has a unique fixed point $a \in X$. Also, for every $x \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{T^n x\}$ converges to Ta .

2 Cone metric space

Let E be a real Banach space. A subset P of E is called a cone, if and only if, the following hold:

- P is closed, nonempty, and $P \neq \{0\}$,
- $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a, b \geq 0$, and $x, y \in P$ imply that $ax + by \in P$,
- $x \in P$ and $-x \in P$ imply that $x = 0$.

Given a cone $P \subset E$, we define a partial ordering \leq with respect to P by $x \leq y$, if and only if, $y - x \in P$. We write $x < y$ to indicate that $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ stand for $y - x \in \text{int}P$, where $\text{int}P$ denotes the interior of P . The cone P is called normal, if there exist a number $K > 0$ such that, $0 \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq K \|y\|$, for all $x, y \in E$. The least positive number satisfying this, called the normal constant [1].

The cone P is called regular, if every increasing sequence which is bounded from above is convergent. That is, if $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence such that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq y$ for some $y \in E$, then there exist $x \in E$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$. Equivalently, the cone P is regular, if and only if, every decreasing sequence which is bounded from below is convergent [1]. Also, every regular cone is normal [5]. Following example shows that the converse is not true.

Example 2.1. [5] Suppose $E = C_{\mathbb{R}}^2([0, 1])$ with the norm $\|f\| = \|f\|_{\infty} + \|f'\|_{\infty}$, and consider the cone $P = \{f \in E : f \geq 0\}$. For each $K \geq 1$, put $f(x) = x$ and $g(x) = x^{2K}$. Then, $0 \leq g \leq f$, $\|f\| = 2$, and $\|g\| = 2K + 1$. Since $K\|f\| < \|g\|$, K is not normal constant of P .

In this paper, E denotes a real Banach space, P denotes a cone in E with $\text{int}P \neq \emptyset$ and \leq denotes partial ordering with respect to P . Let X be a nonempty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ is called a cone metric on X , if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (I) $d(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$, if and only if, $x = y$,
- (II) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in X$,
- (III) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then, (X, d) is called a cone metric space (see [1]).

Example 2.2. [5] Suppose $E = \ell^1$, $P = \{\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E : x_n \geq 0, \text{ for all } n\}$ and (X, ρ) be a metric space. Suppose $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ is defined by $d(x, y) = \{\frac{\rho(x, y)}{2^n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then, (X, d) is a cone metric space and the normal constant of P is equal to 1.

Example 2.3. Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2$, $P = \{(x, y) \in E \mid x, y \geq 0\}$, $x = \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ is defined by $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \alpha|x - y|)$, where $\alpha \geq 0$ is a constant. Then, (X, d) is a cone metric space.

The following definitions and lemmas have been chosen from [1].

Definition 2.4. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. If for all $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x_0) \ll c$, then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be convergent and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x and x is the limit of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 2.5. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X . If for all $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n > n_0$, $d(x_m, x_n) \ll c$, then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in X .

Definition 2.6. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in X , then X is called a complete cone metric space.

Definition 2.7. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. A self-map T on X is said to be continuous, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = T(x)$ for all sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X .

We use the following lemmas in the proof of the main result and refer to [1] for their proofs.

Lemma 2.8. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and P be a cone. Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X . Then, $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x , if and only if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.9. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X . If $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent, then it is a Cauchy sequence.

Lemma 2.10. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and P be a cone in E . Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X . Then, $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, if and only if,

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_m, x_n) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

In 1969, Meir and Keeler [4] introduced a new type of fixed point theorem by defining Meir-Keeler contraction (KMC) as a new contractive condition in complete metric spaces. It is as follows:

Theorem 2.11. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and f has the property (KMC) on X , that is, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$d(x, y) < \varepsilon + \delta \quad \text{implies} \quad d(fx, fy) < \varepsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, f has a unique fixed point.

In 2006, Suzuki [10] proved the integral type contraction (which has been introduced by Branciari [11]) is a special case of KMC (see also [12]). In 2010, Rezapour et al. [13] extended Meir-Keeler's theorem to cone metric spaces as follows:

Theorem 2.12. Let (X, d) be a complete regular cone metric space and f has the property (KMC) on X , that is, for all $0 \neq \varepsilon \in P$, there exists $\delta \gg 0$ such that

$$d(x, y) < \varepsilon + \delta \quad \text{implies} \quad d(fx, fy) < \varepsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, f has a unique fixed point.

3 Cone integration

We recall the following definitions and lemmas of cone integration and refer to [7] for their proofs.

Definition 3.1. Suppose P is a cone in E . Let $a, b \in E$ and $a < b$. Define

$$[a, b] := \{x \in E : x = tb + (1 - t)a, \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$[a, b] := \{x \in E : x = tb + (1 - t)a, \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1)\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Definition 3.2. The set $\{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = b\}$ is called a partition for $[a, b]$, if and only if, the intervals $\{[x_{i-1}, x_i]\}_{i=1}^n$ are pairwise disjoint and $[a, b] = \{\cup_{i=1}^n [x_{i-1}, x_i]\} \cup \{b\}$. Denote $\mathcal{P}[a, b]$ as the collection of all partitions of $[a, b]$.

Definition 3.3. For each partition Q of $[a, b]$ and each increasing function $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow E$, we define cone lower summation and cone upper summation as

$$L_n^{Con}(\phi, Q) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(x_i) \|x_i - x_{i+1}\| \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$U_n^{Con}(\phi, Q) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(x_{i+1}) \|x_i - x_{i+1}\|, \tag{3.4}$$

respectively. Also, we denote $\|\Delta(Q)\| = \sup\{\|x_i - x_{i-1}\|, x_i \in Q\}$.

Definition 3.4. Suppose P is a cone in E . $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow E$ is called an integrable function on $[a, b]$ with respect to cone P or to simplicity, cone integrable function, if and only if, for all partition Q of $[a, b]$

$$\lim_{\|\Delta(Q)\| \rightarrow 0} L_n^{Con}(\phi, Q) = S^{Con} = \lim_{\|\Delta(Q)\| \rightarrow 0} U_n^{Con}(\phi, Q)$$

which S^{Con} must be unique.

We show the common value S^{Con} by

$$\int_a^b \phi(x) d_P(x) \text{ or to simplicity } \int_a^b \phi d_P.$$

We denote the set of all cone integrable function $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow E$ by $\mathcal{L}^1([a, b], E)$.

Lemma 3.5. Let M be a subset of P . The following conditions hold:

- (1) If $[a, b] \subseteq [a, c] \subset M$, then $\int_a^b f d_P \leq \int_a^c f d_P$, for $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(M, P)$.
- (2) $\int_a^b (\alpha f + \beta g) d_P = \alpha \int_a^b f d_P + \beta \int_a^b g d_P$, for $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^1(M, P)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.6. The function $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow E$ is called sub-additive cone integrable function, if and only if, for each $a, b \in P$

$$\int_0^{a+b} \phi d_P \leq \int_0^a \phi d_P + \int_0^b \phi d_P. \tag{3.5}$$

In 2010, Khojasteh et al. [7] introduced the following fixed point theorem in cone metric spaces.

Theorem 3.7. Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and $\phi : P \rightarrow P$ be a non-vanishing, sub-additive cone integrable mapping on each $[a, b] \subset P$ such that for each $\varepsilon \gg 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \phi d_P \gg 0$ and the mapping $\theta(x) = \int_0^x \phi d_P$ for $(x \geq 0)$, has a continuous inverse at zero. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping such that

$$\int_0^{d(f(x),f(y))} \phi \, d_p \leq \alpha \int_0^{d(x,y)} \phi \, d_p,$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then, f has a unique fixed point in X .

Also, they proved the following lemma:

Lemma 3.8. Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2$, $P = \{(x, y) \in E \mid x, y \geq 0\}$, $x = \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ is defined by $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \alpha|x - y|)$, where $\alpha \geq 0$ is a constant. Suppose $\varphi : [(0, 0), (a, b)] \rightarrow P$ is defined by $\varphi(x, y) = (\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(y))$, where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ are two integrable functions. Then,

$$\int_{(0,0)}^{(a,b)} \phi \, d_p = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \left(\frac{1}{a} \int_0^a \phi_1(t) dt, \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b \phi_2(t) dt \right).$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 4, we extend Theorems 1.4 and 3.7 in cone metric spaces. Many authors avoid of using the normality condition of P (see [13-15]). Here, we avoid of using such condition and the sub-additivity assumption (Theorem 4.7). In addition, a new generalization of Theorems 1.4 and 3.7 which has a closer relative with KMC (see [4,10]), is given. In Section 5, an example is given to illustrate our result is a generalization of the results given by Moradi et al. [8] and Khojasteh et al. [7].

4 Some extensions of recent results

The following definitions play a crucial role to state the main results.

Definition 4.1. A mapping $F : P \rightarrow P$ is said to be right continuous, if for each pair of sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in P , there exist sequences $\{e_n\}$ $\{e'_n\}$ and $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ (where $\|e_n\| = \|e'_n\| = M \neq 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$), such that

$$e_n \leq y_n \leq x_n \leq e'_n + \varepsilon_n,$$

where $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and $(x_n - y_n) \rightarrow 0$, then $F(x_n) - F(y_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 4.2. A mapping $F : P \rightarrow P$ is bounded, if for each bounded subset $Q \subset P$ with respect to norm of E , $F(Q)$ is a bounded subset.

Definition 4.3. Let P be a cone in E . Let Ω be the set of all mappings $F : P \rightarrow P$ such that

(I) $F^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$.

(II) For each sequence $\{t_n\} \subset P$, $F(t_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies that $t_n \rightarrow 0$.

(III) F is bounded and non-decreasing in a sense that $F(a) \leq F(b)$ if $a \leq b$, for every $a, b \in P$.

(IV) F be right continuous as declared in Definition 4.1.

Definition 4.4. $\psi : P \rightarrow P$ is called a \mathcal{L} -function, if for each $\varepsilon \gg 0$, there exists $\delta \gg 0$ such that $\psi(t) \leq \varepsilon$ for each $\varepsilon \leq t \leq \varepsilon + \delta$. Suppose \mathcal{L}_P denote the set of all \mathcal{L} -functions on P into itself.

Example 4.5. For each $x \in P$ define $\psi(x) = \alpha x$, which $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Suppose $\varepsilon \gg 0$ is given. Taking $\delta = (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)\varepsilon$ implies that $\psi(x) \leq \varepsilon$ for each $\varepsilon \leq x \leq \varepsilon + \delta$. Thus, ψ is a \mathcal{L} -function.

Definition 4.6. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and $f, T : X \rightarrow X$ be two functions and $F \in \Omega$. The mapping f is said to be T_F -contraction, if there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$F(d(Tfx, Tfy)) \leq \alpha F(d(Tx, Ty)). \tag{4.1}$$

The following theorem extends the previous result given by Moradi et al. [8] and Khojasteh et al. [7] without assuming $F(x) = \int_0^x \phi d_p$ to be sub-additive.

Theorem 4.7. *Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $T, f: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that T is one-to-one and closed graph, and f is T_F -contraction, respectively, where $F \in \Omega$. Then, f has a unique fixed point $a \in X$. Also, for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{Tf^n x_0\}$ converges to Ta .*

Proof. Uniqueness of the fixed point follows from (4.1). Let $x_0 \in X$, $x_{n+1} = fx_n$ and $y_n = Tx_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We break the argument into four steps.

Step 1.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n+1}, y_n) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

By using (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} F(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) &= F(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n)) \\ &= F(d(Tfx_n, Tfx_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \alpha F(d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})) \\ &= \alpha F(d(y_n, y_{n-1})) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \alpha^n F(d(y_1, y_0)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Hence by (4.3),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Since $F \in \Omega$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n+1}, y_n) = 0$.

Step 2. $\{y_n\}$ is a bounded sequence.

If $\{y_n\}$ is unbounded, then choose the sequence $\{n(k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $n(1) = 1$, $n(2) > n(1)$ is minimal in the sense of $e_1 < d(y_{n(2)}, y_{n(1)})$ for some $e_1 \in P$, where $\|e_1\| = 1$. Similarly, $n(3) > n(2)$ is minimal in the sense of $e_2 < d(y_{n(3)}, y_{n(2)})$ for some $e_2 \in P$, where $\|e_2\| = 1, \dots, n(k+1) > n(k)$ is minimal in the sense of

$$e_k < d(y_{n(k+1)}, y_{n(k)}) \tag{4.5}$$

for some $e_k \in P$, where $\|e_k\| = 1$. By Step 1, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq N_0$ we have $n(k+1) - n(k) \geq 2$. Obviously, for every $k \geq N_0$ there exists $e'_k \in P$ where $\|e'_k\| = 1$ and

$$d(y_{n(k+1)-1}, y_{n(k)}) \leq e'_k. \tag{4.6}$$

Using (4.5), (4.6) and triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} e_k &< d(y_{n(k+1)}, y_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq d(y_{n(k+1)}, y_{n(k+1)-1}) + d(y_{n(k+1)-1}, y_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq d(y_{n(k+1)}, y_{n(k+1)-1}) + e'_k. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Hence, the sequence $\{d(y_{n(k)}, y_{n(k+1)})\}$ is bounded.

If $\varepsilon_k = d(\gamma_{n(k)-1}, \gamma_{n(k)}) + 2d(\gamma_{n(k+1)}, \gamma_{n(k+1)-1})$, then $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} e_k &< d(\gamma_{n(k+1)}, \gamma_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq d(\gamma_{n(k)-1}, \gamma_{n(k+1)-1}) \\ &\leq d(\gamma_{n(k)-1}, \gamma_{n(k)}) + d(\gamma_{n(k)}, \gamma_{n(k+1)}) + d(\gamma_{n(k+1)}, \gamma_{n(k+1)-1}) \\ &\leq e'_k + d(\gamma_{n(k)-1}, \gamma_{n(k)}) + 2d(\gamma_{n(k+1)}, \gamma_{n(k+1)-1}) = e'_k + \varepsilon_k. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq d(\gamma_{n(k)-1}, \gamma_{n(k+1)-1}) - d(\gamma_{n(k+1)}, \gamma_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq d(\gamma_{n(k)-1}, \gamma_{n(k)}) + d(\gamma_{n(k+1)}, \gamma_{n(k+1)-1}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Since F is right continuous,

$$F(d(\gamma_{n(k)-1}, \gamma_{n(k+1)-1})) - F(d(\gamma_{n(k+1)}, \gamma_{n(k)})) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } (k \rightarrow \infty). \tag{4.10}$$

From $F(d(\gamma_{n(k+1)}, \gamma_{n(k)})) \leq \alpha F(d(\gamma_{n(k+1)-1}, \gamma_{n(k)-1}))$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq -F(d(\gamma_{n(k+1)}, \gamma_{n(k)})) + \alpha F(d(\gamma_{n(k+1)-1}, \gamma_{n(k)-1})) \\ &= F(d(\gamma_{n(k+1)-1}, \gamma_{n(k)-1})) - F(d(\gamma_{n(k+1)}, \gamma_{n(k)})) \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha)F(d(\gamma_{n(k+1)-1}, \gamma_{n(k)-1})). \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

This means that,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1 - \alpha)F(d(\gamma_{n(k+1)-1}, \gamma_{n(k)-1})) \\ &\leq F(d(\gamma_{n(k+1)-1}, \gamma_{n(k)-1})) - F(d(\gamma_{n(k+1)}, \gamma_{n(k)})). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Since $1 - \alpha > 0$ and (4.10) holds, then $F(d(\gamma_{n(k)-1}, \gamma_{n(k+1)-1})) \rightarrow 0$. So from (4.8), $e_k \rightarrow 0$ and this is a contradiction because $\|e_k\| = 1$.

Step 3. $\{\gamma_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m > n$, from (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} F(d(\gamma_m, \gamma_n)) &= F(d(Tf x_{m-1}, Tf x_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \alpha F(d(Tf x_{m-2}, Tf x_{n-2})) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \alpha^n F(d(Tf x_{m-n}, Tx_0)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Since $\{\gamma_n\}$ is bounded and (4.13) holds, $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} d(\gamma_m, \gamma_n) = 0$. This means that, $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Step 4. f has a fixed point.

Since (X, d) is a complete cone metric space and $\{\gamma_n\}$ is Cauchy, there exists $\gamma \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \gamma$. Since T is closed graph, there exists $a \in X$ such that $Ta = \gamma$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} F(d(\gamma_{n+1}, Tfa)) &= F(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tfa)) \\ &= F(d(Tf x_n, Tfa)) \\ &\leq F(d(Tx_n, Ta)) \\ &= F(d(\gamma_n, \gamma)) \rightarrow 0, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

This shows $F(d(\gamma_{n+1}, Tf(a))) \rightarrow 0$. So $d(\gamma_{n+1}, Tf(a)) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $\gamma_n \rightarrow Tf(a)$, i.e., $Tf(a) = Ta$. Since T is one to one, thus $fa = a$. \square

Lemma 4.8. Define $F(x) = \int_0^x \phi d_p$, where $\phi : P \rightarrow P$ is a non-vanishing mapping and sub-additive cone integrable on each $[a, b] \subset P$ such that for each $\varepsilon \gg 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \phi d_p \gg 0$ and the mapping $F(x)$ by $(x \geq 0)$, has a continuous inverse. Then, F satisfies all conditions of Definition 4.3.

Proof. It suffices to show that F is bounded. Arguing by contradiction, suppose F is unbounded. There exists a sequence $\{x_k\} \subset P$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|x_k\| = 1$ and $\|F(x_k)\| \rightarrow \infty$. We can choose $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $e_k \in P$ such that, $\|e_k\| = 1$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$F(x_k) > n_k^2 e_k. \tag{4.15}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_k) &= \int_0^{x_k} \phi d_p \\ &= \int_0^{n_k \frac{x_k}{n_k}} \phi d_p \\ &\leq n_k \int_0^{\frac{x_k}{n_k}} \phi d_p \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Thus

$$n_k^2 e_k < n_k \int_0^{\frac{x_k}{n_k}} \phi d_p. \tag{4.17}$$

This means that,

$$n_k e_k < \int_0^{\frac{x_k}{n_k}} \phi d_p. \tag{4.18}$$

If $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ then

$$\int_0^{\frac{x_k}{n_k}} \phi d_p \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.19}$$

Suppose $a \in \text{int}P$. From $\frac{x_k}{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ we conclude that, there exists $M > 0$ such that for each $k \geq M$, $a - \frac{x_k}{n_k} \in \text{int}P$ and it means that

$$\int_0^{\frac{x_k}{n_k}} \phi d_p < \int_0^a \phi d_p. \tag{4.20}$$

Therefore, (4.20) contradicts (4.19).

Remark 4.9. If $F : R_0^+ \rightarrow R_0^+$ is a non-decreasing function and $F(1) \neq 0$, then the condition (II) of Definition 4.3 holds. Indeed, if $\{t_n\}$ is a sequence in R_0^+ such that $F(t_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $t_n \not\rightarrow 0$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $\{t_{n_k}\}$ of $\{t_n\}$ such that $t_{n_k} > \varepsilon$. Thus, $0 < F(\varepsilon) < F(t_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$ and this is a contradiction. Therefore,

$$F \text{ is non-decreasing} \Rightarrow F(t_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ implies } t_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose $P = \{(x, y) : x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$ as a cone in \mathbb{R}^2 . If one define $F : P \rightarrow P$ by $F(a, b) = (ab, ab)$, then F is non-decreasing function and $F(n, \frac{1}{n^2}) = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ but $(n, \frac{1}{n^2}) \not\rightarrow (0, 0)$. This means, such property does not holds in cone metric spaces. In other words, in cone metric spaces

$$F \text{ is non - decreasing } \not\Rightarrow F(t_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ implies } t_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Corollary 4.10. Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and P be a cone. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that T is one to one and closed graph. Suppose $\phi : P \rightarrow P$ is a non-vanishing mapping and sub-additive cone integrable on each $[a, b] \subset P$ such that for each $\varepsilon \gg 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \phi d_p \gg 0$ and the mapping $\theta(x) = \int_0^x \phi d_p$, by $(x \geq 0)$ has a continuous inverse. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping such that for all $x, y \in X$

$$\int_0^{d(Tf(x), Tf(y))} \phi d_p \leq \alpha \int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi d_p, \tag{4.21}$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then f has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. Set $F(x) = \int_0^x \phi d_p$ in Theorem 4.7 and by using Lemma 4.8, the desired result is obtained.

Remark 4.11. Theorem 4.7 is an extension of Theorem 1.4 and 3.7 in cone metric spaces.

Corollary 4.12. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $T, f : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that T is one-to-one and closed graph, and f is T_F -contraction, respectively, where $F \in \Omega$. Then, f has a unique fixed point $a \in X$. Also, for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{Tf^n x_0\}$ converges to Ta .

Proof. By the same proof asserted in Theorem 4.7, the result is obtained. \square

The following theorem is a diverse generalization of the results given by Moradi et al. [8], Khojasteh et al. [7], Suzuki [10], Meir-Keeler [4] and Reza-pour et al. [13].

Theorem 4.13. Let (X, d) be a complete regular cone metric space and f be a mapping on X . Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that T is one to one and closed graph. Assume that there exists a function θ from P into itself satisfying the following:

- (I) $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\theta(t) \gg 0$ for all $t \gg 0$.
- (II) θ is non-decreasing and continuous function. Moreover, its inverse is continuous.
- (III) For all $0 \neq \varepsilon \in P$, there exists $\delta \gg 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

$$\theta(d(Tx, Ty)) < \varepsilon + \delta \text{ implies } \theta(d(Tfx, Tfy)) < \varepsilon. \tag{4.22}$$

- (IV) For all $x, y \in X$

$$\theta(x + y) \leq \theta(x) + \theta(y). \tag{4.23}$$

Then, f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. $\theta(d(Tf(x), Tf(y))) < \theta(d(Tx, Ty))$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. If not, there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that

$$\theta(d(Tf(x_0), Tf(y_0))) < \theta(d(Tx_0, Ty_0)), \tag{4.24}$$

does not holds. Now, choose $\delta \gg 0$ such that

$$\theta(d(Tx_0, Ty_0)) < \theta(d(Tf(x_0), Tf(y_0))) + \delta. \tag{4.25}$$

It means that, $\theta(d(Tf(x_0), Tf(y_0))) < \theta(d(Tf(x_0), Tf(y_0)))$ and this is a contradiction. Let $x_0 \in X$, $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$ and $y_n = Tx_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (If there is a natural $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(y_{m+1}, y_m) = 0$, then $d(Tx_{m+1}, Tx_m) = 0$. Since T is one to one, $d(x_{m+1}, x_m) = 0$. Thus, $f(x_m) = x_m$ and so f has a fixed point). Let $d(y_{n+1}, y_n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\theta(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) < \theta(d(y_n, y_{n-1}))$. Hence, according to regularity of P , there exists $\alpha \in P$ such that $\theta(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) \rightarrow \alpha$. We claim that $\alpha = 0$. If $\alpha \neq 0$, then according to condition (III), there exists $0 \ll d$ such that $\theta(d(Tf(x), Tf(y))) < \alpha$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $\theta(d(Tx, Ty)) < \alpha + d$. Choose $r > 0$ such that $\frac{d}{2} + N_r(0) \subseteq P$ and take the natural number N such that $|\theta(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) - \alpha| < r$ for all $n \geq N$. So for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left| \frac{d}{2} - (\theta(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) - \alpha) - \frac{d}{2} \right| < r, \tag{4.26}$$

and hence

$$\frac{d}{2} - (\theta(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) - \alpha) \in \frac{d}{2} + N_r(0) \subseteq P. \tag{4.27}$$

So, $\theta(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) - \alpha \ll d$. Since f has the property (III), $\theta(d(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1})) < \alpha$ for all $n \geq N$. This is a contradiction because $\alpha < \theta(d(y_{i+1}, y_i))$ for all $i \geq 1$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) = 0. \tag{4.28}$$

$\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy sequence. If not, then there is a $0 \ll c$ such that for all natural number k , there are $m_k, n_k > k$ so that the relation $d(y_{m_k}, y_{n_k}) \ll c$ does not holds. Since θ has continuous inverse, there exists $0 \ll c$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $m_k, n_k > k$ such that the relation $\theta(d(y_{m_k}, y_{n_k})) \ll c$ does not holds. For $0 \ll e \ll c$ there exists $0 \ll d$ such that $\theta(d(Tf(x), Tf(y))) < e$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $\theta(d(Tx, Ty)) < e + d$. Choose a natural number M such that $\theta(d(y_{i+1}, y_i)) \ll \frac{d}{2}$ for all $i \geq M$. Also, take $m_M \geq n_M > M$ such that the relation $\theta(d(y_{m_M}, y_{n_M})) \ll c$ does not holds. Then, condition (IV) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(d(y_{n_M-1}, y_{n_M+1})) &\leq \theta(d(y_{n_M-1}, y_{n_M})) + \theta(d(y_{n_M}, y_{n_M+1})) \\ &\ll \frac{d}{2} + \frac{d}{2} \\ &\ll d + e. \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Hence, $\theta(d(y_{n_M}, y_{n_M+2})) \ll e$. Similarly, $\theta(d(y_{n_M}, y_{n_M+3})) \ll e$. Thus,

$$\theta(d(y_{n_M}, y_{m_M})) \ll e \ll c, \tag{4.30}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, d) is complete, there is $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \rightarrow u$. Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = u$. Since T is closed graph, thus there exists $v \in X$ such that $Tv = u$. Now,

$$\theta(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tf v)) = \theta(d(Tf x_n, Tf v)) < \theta(d(Tx_n, Tv)) \rightarrow 0. \tag{4.31}$$

Therefore, $y_{n+1} = Tx_{n+1} \rightarrow Tf v$. Hence, $Tf v = Tv$. Since T is one to one we conclude that $f v = v$. Hence, f has a fixed point. Uniqueness of the fixed point follows from

$$\theta(d(Tx, Ty)) = \theta(d(Tf x, Tf y)) < \theta(d(Tx, Ty)), \tag{4.32}$$

for all $x \neq y$.

Remark 4.14. *The following notations are considerable:*

- By taking $\theta(x) = \int_0^x \phi \, d_p$ in Theorem 4.13, where ϕ satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 4.10, Corollary 4.10 is concluded.
- By taking $Tx \equiv x$ in Corollary 4.10, Khojasteh's result is concluded.
- By taking $Tx \equiv x$ in Theorem 4.13, Suzuki [10] and Rezapour-Haghi's results [13], are concluded.

The following theorem is a direct result of Theorem 4.13.

Theorem 4.15. *Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $T, f: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that T is one-to-one and closed graph, and f satisfies*

$$\theta(d(Tfx, Tfy)) < \psi(\theta(d(Tx, Ty))), \tag{4.33}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, respectively, where $\theta: P \rightarrow P$ satisfies in (I), (II) and (IV) of Theorem 4.13 and $\psi \in \mathfrak{L}_P$ (see Definition 4.4). Then, f has a unique fixed point $a \in X$.

Proof. Suppose $\varepsilon \gg 0$ is given. For each $x, y \in X$, we can choose $\delta \gg 0$ such that $\varepsilon \leq \theta(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \varepsilon + \delta$. Since ψ is a L-function thus we have

$$\theta(d(Tfx, Tfy)) < \psi(\theta(d(Tx, Ty))) \leq \varepsilon \tag{4.34}$$

This means that, the condition (III) of Theorem 4.13 holds and so f has a unique fixed point. \square

Corollary 4.16. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $T, f: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that T is one-to-one and closed graph, and f satisfies*

$$\int_0^{d(Tfx, Tfy)} \phi(t) \, dt < \psi \left(\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi(t) \, dt \right), \tag{4.35}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, respectively, where ψ is a \mathfrak{L} -function and $\phi: R_0^+ \rightarrow R_0^+$ is a non-vanishing integrable mapping on each $[a, b] \subset R_0^+$ such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \phi(t) \, dt > 0$. Then, f has a unique fixed point $a \in X$.

Proof. By taking $\theta(x) = \int_0^x \phi(t) \, dt$ and $P = R_0^+$ in Theorem 4.15, the desired result is obtained.

5 An example

In this section, we give an example to illustrate our results.

Example 5.1. Let $X = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$, $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $P = \{(x, y) \in E : x, y \geq 0\}$. Suppose $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, |x - y|)$, for each $x, y \in X$. Then, (X, d) is a complete cone metric space. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+3} & x = \frac{1}{n}, n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & x = 0, \\ \frac{1}{n-1} & x = \frac{1}{n}, n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

It is easy to see that f has a unique fixed point $x = 0$. Let $\phi: R_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} t^{t-2} (1 - \ln(t)) & t > 0, \\ 0 & t = 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

It is easy to compute that,

$$\int_0^x \phi(t) dt = x^{\frac{1}{x}}, \text{ for each } x > 0.$$

This implies that $\theta(x) = \int_0^x \phi(t) dt$ has the continuous inverse at zero. Consider the mapping $\varphi : P \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$\phi(t, s) = (\phi(t), \phi(s)), \text{ for each } (t, s) \in P.$$

Since $\theta(x) = \int_0^x \phi(t) dt$ has the continuous inverse on R_0^+ by Lemma 3.8, we deduce

$$\theta(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \phi d_P, \tau \geq 0,$$

has the continuous inverse at zero. We show, f does not satisfy in Theorem 3.7 with φ defined as above.

Indeed, for $x = \frac{1}{m}, y = \frac{1}{n}$ ($m > n$ are even) and using Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{d(x,y)} \phi d_P &= \int_{(0,0)}^{(\frac{m-n}{(m-1)(n-1)}, \frac{m-n}{(m-1)(n-1)})} \phi d_P \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}(m-n)}{(m-1)(n-1)} \left(\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{m-n} \int_0^{\frac{m-n}{(m-1)(n-1)}} \phi(t) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. , \frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{m-n} \int_0^{\frac{m-n}{(m-1)(n-1)}} \phi(t) dt \right) \\ &= \sqrt{2} \left(\left(\frac{m-n}{(m-1)(n-1)} \right)^{\left(\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{m-n} \right)}, \left(\frac{m-n}{(m-1)(n-1)} \right)^{\left(\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{m-n} \right)} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{d(x,y)} \phi d_P &= \int_{(0,0)}^{(\frac{m-n}{mn}, \frac{m-n}{mn})} \phi d_P \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}(m-n)}{mn} \left(\frac{mn}{m-n} \int_0^{\frac{m-n}{mn}} \phi(t) dt, \frac{mn}{m-n} \int_0^{\frac{m-n}{mn}} \phi(t) dt \right) \\ &= \sqrt{2} \left(\left(\frac{m-n}{mn} \right)^{\left(\frac{mn}{m-n} \right)}, \left(\frac{m-n}{mn} \right)^{\left(\frac{mn}{m-n} \right)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Now, if

$$\int_0^{d(x,y)} \phi d_P \leq q \int_0^{d(x,y)} \phi d_P$$

for some $q \in [0, 1)$. Then, by taking $n = 2$ and $m = 4$, we get

$$\sqrt{2} \left(\left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \leq q \sqrt{2} \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^4, \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^4 \right).$$

This means that, $q > 1$ and this is a contradiction. Therefore, we can't apply Theorem 3.7 for f .

But we claim, f satisfies in Corollary 4.10 by the same ϕ . If we define T by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} & x = \frac{1}{n}, n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & x = 0, \\ \frac{1}{n-1} & x = \frac{1}{n}, n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

Obviously, T is one to one, continuous and closed graph. It is easy to see,

$$Tf(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+2} & x = \frac{1}{n}, n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & x = 0, \\ \frac{1}{n} & x = \frac{1}{n}, n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

We claim that,

$$\int_0^{d(Tfx, Tfy)} \phi \, d_P \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi \, d_P.$$

To prove our claim we need to consider the following cases:

Case (1). If $x = \frac{1}{m}$ and $y = \frac{1}{n}$ ($m > n$ are even), then

$$\int_0^{d(Tfx, Tfy)} \phi \, d_P \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi \, d_P$$

iff

$$\sqrt{2} \left(\left(\frac{m-n}{mn} \right)^{\left(\frac{mn}{m-n} \right)}, \left(\frac{m-n}{mn} \right)^{\left(\frac{mn}{m-n} \right)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} \left(\left(\frac{m-n}{(m-1)(n-1)} \right)^{\left(\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{m-n} \right)}, \left(\frac{m-n}{(m-1)(n-1)} \right)^{\left(\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{m-n} \right)} \right)$$

iff

$$\left(\frac{m-n}{mn} \right)^{\left(\frac{mn}{m-n} \right)} \left(\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{m-n} \right)^{\left(\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{m-n} \right)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

It is easy to see that, the last inequality is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{m-n}{mn} \right)^{\left(\frac{m+n-1}{m-n} \right)} \left(\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{mn} \right)^{\left(\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{m-n} \right)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

From

$$\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{mn} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{m-n} > 1,$$

we deduce

$$\left(\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{mn} \right)^{\left(\frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{m-n} \right)} \leq 1.$$

Also, since $\frac{m-n}{mn} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{m+n-1}{m-n} > 1$ we have

$$\left(\frac{m-n}{mn} \right)^{\left(\frac{m+n-1}{m-n} \right)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, the desired result is obtained.

Case (2). If $x = \frac{1}{m}$ and $y = \frac{1}{n}$, where m, n are odd.

Case (3). If $x = \frac{1}{m}$ and $y = \frac{1}{n}$, where m is odd and n is even.

Proof of the Case (2) and (3) are similar to the argument as in the Case (1).

Case (4). If $x = 0$ and $y = \frac{1}{n}$, such that n is even, then

$$\int_0^{d(Tfx, Tfy)} \phi \, d_P \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi \, d_P$$

iff

$$\sqrt{2} \left(0, \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^n \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(0, \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} \right)$$

iff

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^n \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{n-1}$$

iff

$$\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^{n-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

From $\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^{n-1} < 1$, the desired result is obtained.

Case (5). If $x = 0$ and $y = \frac{1}{n}$, such that n is odd, then

$$\int_0^{d(Tfx, Tfy)} \phi \, d_P \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi \, d_P$$

iff

$$\sqrt{2} \left(0, \left(\frac{1}{n+2} \right)^{n+2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(0, \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{n+1} \right)$$

iff

$$\left(\frac{1}{n+2} \right)^{n+2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{n+1}$$

iff

$$\frac{1}{n+2} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right)^{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

From $\frac{1}{n+2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right)^{n+1} < 1$, the desired result is obtained. Therefore, one can apply Theorem 4.10 for the mapping f .

Remark 5.2. Example 5.1 shows Corollary 4.10 is an extension of Theorem 3.7.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank and the anonymous referees for their respective helpful discussions and suggestions in preparation of this article.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran ²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Arak University, Arak 38156-8-8349, Iran

Authors' contributions

FK and SM designed and performed all the steps of proof in this research and also wrote the paper. AR participated in the design of the study and suggest many good ideas that made this paper possible and helped to draft the first manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 1 March 2011 Accepted: 8 July 2011 Published: 8 July 2011

References

1. Huang, LG, Zhang, X: Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. *J Math Anal Appl.* **332**, 1468–1476 (2007). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.03.087
2. Abbas, M, Jungck, G: Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces. *J Math Anal Appl.* **341**, 416–420 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.09.070
3. Ilić, D, Rakočević, V: Common fixed points for maps on cone metric space. *J Math Anal Appl.* **341**, 876–882 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.10.065
4. Meir, A, Keeler, E: A theorem on contraction mappings. *J Math Anal Appl.* **28**, 326–329 (1969). doi:10.1016/0022-247X(69)90031-6
5. Rezapour, Sh, Haghi, RH: Some notes on the paper "Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings". *J Math Anal Appl.* **345**, 719–724 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.04.049
6. Raja, P, Vaezpour, SM: Some extensions of Banach's contraction principle in complete cone metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2008)
7. Khojasteh, F, Goodarzi, Z, Razani, A: Some fixed point theorems of integral type contraction in cone metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2010)
8. Moradi, S, Beiranvand, A: Fixed point of T_F -contractive single-valued mappings. *Iran J Math Sci Inf.* **5**, 25–32 (2010)
9. Moradi, S, Omid, M: A fixed point theorem for integral type inequality depending on another function. *Int J Math Anal.* **4**, 1491–1499 (2010)
10. Suzuki, T: Meir-Keeler contractions of integral type are still Meir-Keeler contractions. *Int J Math Math Sci.* (2007)
11. Branciari, A: A fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type. *Int J Math Math Sci.* **29**, 531–536 (2002). doi:10.1155/S0161171202007524
12. Samet, B: Discussion on "A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces" by A. Branciari. *Publ Math Debrecen.* **76**, 493–494 (2010)
13. Rezapour, Sh, Haghi, RH: Fixed points of multifunctions on regular cone metric spaces. *Expositiones Mathematicae.* **28**, 71–77 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.exmath.2009.04.001
14. Altun, I, Rakočević, V: Ordered cone metric spaces and fixed point results. *Comput Math Appl.* **60**, 1145–1151 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2010.05.038
15. Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S, Rakočević, V: Remarks on quasi-contraction on a cone metric space. *Appl Math Lett.* **22**, 1674–1679 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.aml.2009.06.003

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-14

Cite this article as: Khojasteh et al.: A fixed point of generalized T_F -contraction mappings in cone metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2011 **2011**:14.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com