

*Research Article*

# Weak Convergence Theorems for a Countable Family of Strict Pseudocontractions in Banach Spaces

Prasit Cholamjiak<sup>1</sup> and Suthep Suantai<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand

<sup>2</sup> Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE, Si Ayutthaya Road, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

Correspondence should be addressed to Suthep Suantai, scmti005@chiangmai.ac.th

Received 2 June 2010; Accepted 16 September 2010

Academic Editor: Massimo Furi

Copyright © 2010 P. Cholamjiak and S. Suantai. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We investigate the convergence of Mann-type iterative scheme for a countable family of strict pseudocontractions in a uniformly convex Banach space with the Fréchet differentiable norm. Our results improve and extend the results obtained by Marino-Xu, Zhou, Osilike-Udomene, Zhang-Guo and the corresponding results. We also point out that the condition given by Chidume-Shahzad (2010) is not satisfied in a real Hilbert space. We show that their results still are true under a new condition.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $E$  and  $E^*$  be a real Banach space and the dual space of  $E$ , respectively. Let  $K$  be a nonempty subset of  $E$ . Let  $J$  denote the normalized duality mapping from  $E$  into  $2^{E^*}$  given by  $J(x) = \{f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2\}$ , for all  $x \in E$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality pairing between  $E$  and  $E^*$ . If  $E$  is smooth or  $E^*$  is strictly convex, then  $J$  is single-valued.

Throughout this paper, we denote the single valued duality mapping by  $j$  and denote the set of fixed points of a nonlinear mapping  $T : K \rightarrow E$  by

$$F(T) = \{x \in K : Tx = x\}. \quad (1.1)$$

*Definition 1.1.* A mapping  $T$  with domain  $D(T)$  and range  $R(T)$  in  $E$  is called

(i) *pseudocontractive* [1] if, for all  $x, y \in D(T)$ , there exists  $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$  such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2, \quad (1.2)$$

(ii)  $\lambda$ -strictly pseudocontractive [2] if for all  $x, y \in D(T)$ , there exist  $\lambda > 0$  and  $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$  such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \lambda \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2, \quad (1.3)$$

or equivalently

$$\langle (I - T)x - (I - T)y, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \lambda \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2, \quad (1.4)$$

(iii)  $L$ -Lipschitzian if, for all  $x, y \in D(T)$ , there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - y\|. \quad (1.5)$$

*Remark 1.2.* It is obvious by the definition that

- (1) every strictly pseudocontractive mapping is pseudocontractive,
- (2) every  $\lambda$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping is  $((1 + \lambda)/\lambda)$ -Lipschitzian; see [3].

*Remark 1.3.* Let  $K$  be a nonempty subset of a real Hilbert space and  $T : K \rightarrow K$  a mapping. Then  $T$  is said to be  $\kappa$ -strictly pseudocontractive [2] if, for all  $x, y \in D(T)$ , there exists  $\kappa \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \kappa \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2. \quad (1.6)$$

It is well known that (1.6) is equivalent to the following:

$$\langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \frac{1 - \kappa}{2} \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2. \quad (1.7)$$

It is worth mentioning that the class of strict pseudocontractions includes properly the class of nonexpansive mappings. Moreover, we know from [4] that the class of pseudocontractions also includes properly the class of strict pseudocontractions. A mapping  $A : E \rightarrow E$  is called *accretive* if, for all  $x, y \in E$ , there exists  $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$  such that  $\langle Ax - Ay, j(x - y) \rangle \geq 0$ . It is also known that  $A$  is accretive if and only if  $T := I - A$  is pseudocontractive. Hence, a solution of the equation  $Au = 0$  is a solution of the fixed point of  $T := I - A$ . Note that if  $T := I - A$ , then  $A$  is  $\lambda$ -strictly accretive if and only if  $T$  is  $\lambda$ -strictly pseudocontractive.

In 1953, Mann [5] introduced the iteration as follows: a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by  $x_0 \in K$  and

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad (1.8)$$

where  $\alpha_n \in [0, 1]$ . If  $T$  is a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point and the control sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is chosen so that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.8) converges

weakly to a fixed point of  $T$  ( this is also valid in a uniformly convex Banach space with the Fréchet differentiable norm [6] ). However, if  $T$  is a Lipschitzian pseudocontractive mapping, then Mann iteration defined by (1.8) may fail to converge in a Hilbert space; see [4].

In 1967, Browder-Petryshyn [2] introduced the class of strict pseudocontractions and proved existence and weak convergence theorems in a real Hilbert setting by using Mann's iteration (1.8) with a constant sequence  $\alpha_n = \alpha$  for all  $n$ . Respectively, Marino-Xu [7] and Zhou [8] extended the results of Browder-Petryshyn [2] to Mann's iteration process (1.8). To be more precise, they proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4** (see [7]). *Let  $K$  be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $T : K \rightarrow K$  be a  $\kappa$ -strict pseudocontraction for some  $0 \leq \kappa < 1$ , and assume that  $T$  admits a fixed point in  $K$ . Let a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be the sequence generated by Mann's algorithm (1.8). Assume that the control sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is chosen so that  $\kappa < \alpha_n < 1$  for all  $n$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\alpha_n - \kappa)(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a fixed point of  $T$ .*

Meanwhile, Marino, and Xu raised the open question: whether Theorem 1.4 can be extended to Banach spaces which are uniformly convex and have a Fréchet differentiable norm. Later, Zhou [9] and Zhang-Su [10], respectively, extended the result above to 2-uniformly smooth and  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces which are uniformly convex or satisfy Opial's condition.

In 2001, Osilike-Udomene [11] proved the convergence theorems of the Mann [5] and Ishikawa [12] iteration methods in the framework of  $q$ -uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach spaces. They also obtained that a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.8) converges weakly to a fixed point of  $T$  under suitable control conditions. However, the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  excluded the canonical choice  $\alpha_n = 1/n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . This was a motivation for Zhang-Guo [13] to improve the results in the same space. Observe that the results of Osilike-Udomene [11] and Zhang-Guo [13] hold under the assumption that

$$C_q < \frac{q^\lambda}{b^{q-1}}, \quad (1.9)$$

for some  $b \in (0, 1)$  and  $C_q$  is a constant depending on the geometry of the space.

**Lemma 1.5** (see [14–16]). *Let  $E$  be a uniformly smooth real Banach space. Then there exists a nondecreasing continuous function  $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \beta(t) = 0$  and  $\beta(ct) \leq c\beta(t)$  for  $c \geq 1$  such that, for all  $x, y \in E$ , the following inequality holds:*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x) \rangle + \max\{\|x\|, 1\} \|y\| \beta(\|y\|). \quad (1.10)$$

Recently, Chidume-Shahzad [17] extended the results of Osilike-Udomene [11] and Zhang-Guo [13] by using Reich's inequality (1.10) to the much more general real Banach spaces which are uniformly smooth and uniformly convex. Under the assumption that

$$\beta(t) \leq \frac{\lambda t}{\max\{2r, 1\}}, \quad (1.11)$$

for some  $r > 0$ , they proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.6** (see [17]). *Let  $E$  be a uniformly smooth real Banach space which is also uniformly convex and  $K$  a nonempty closed convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $T : K \rightarrow K$  be a  $\lambda$ -strict pseudocontraction for some  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  with  $x^* \in F(T) := \{x \in K : Tx = x\} \neq \emptyset$ . For a fixed  $x_0 \in K$ , define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nTx_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (1.12)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a real sequence in  $[0, 1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$ .

Then,  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a fixed point of  $T$ .

However, we would like to point out that the results of Chidume-Shahzad [17] do not hold in real Hilbert spaces. Indeed, we know from Chidume [14] that

$$\beta(t) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x + ty\|^2 - \|x\|^2}{t} - 2\langle y, j(x) \rangle : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (1.13)$$

If  $E$  is a real Hilbert space, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(t) &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x + ty\|^2 - \|x\|^2}{t} - 2\langle y, x \rangle : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x\|^2 + 2t\langle x, y \rangle + t^2\|y\|^2 - \|x\|^2}{t} - 2\langle y, x \rangle : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ t\|y\|^2 : \|y\| \leq 1 \right\} = t. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

On the other hand, by assumption (1.11), we see that

$$\beta(t) \leq \frac{\lambda t}{\max\{2r, 1\}} < t, \quad (1.15)$$

which is a contradiction.

It is known that one can extend his result from a single strict pseudocontraction to a finite family of strict pseudocontractions by replacing the convex combination of these mappings in the iteration under suitable conditions. The construction of fixed points for pseudocontractions via the iterative process has been extensively investigated by many authors; see also [18–22] and the references therein.

Our motivation in this paper is the following:

- (1) to modify the normal Mann iteration process for finding common fixed points of an infinitely countable family of strict pseudocontractions,

- (2) to improve and extend the results of Chidume-Shahzad [17] from a real uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space to a real uniformly convex Banach space which has the Fréchet differentiable norm.

Motivated and inspired by Marino-Xu [7], Osilike-Udomene [11], Zhou [8], Zhang-Guo [13], and Chidume-Shahzad [17], we consider the following Mann-type iteration:  $x_1 \in K$  and

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T_n x_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (1.16)$$

where  $\alpha_n$  is a real sequence in  $[0, 1]$  and  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a countable family of strict pseudocontractions on a closed and convex subset  $K$  of a real Banach space  $E$ .

In this paper, we prove the weak convergence of a Mann-type iteration process (1.16) in a uniformly convex Banach space which has the Fréchet differentiable norm for a countable family of strict pseudocontractions under some appropriate conditions. The results obtained in this paper improve and extend the results of Chidume-Shahzad [17], Marino-Xu [7], Osilike-Udomene [11], Zhou [8], and Zhang-Guo [13] in some aspects.

We will use the following notation:

- (i)  $\rightharpoonup$  for weak convergence and  $\rightarrow$  for strong convergence.  
(ii)  $\omega_{\omega}(x_n) = \{x : x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup x\}$  denotes the weak  $\omega$ -limit set of  $\{x_n\}$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

A Banach space  $E$  is said to be *strictly convex* if  $\|x+y\|/2 < 1$  for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and  $x \neq y$ . A Banach space  $E$  is called *uniformly convex* if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for  $x, y \in E$  with  $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$ , and  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ ,  $\|x + y\| \leq 2(1 - \delta)$  holds. The modulus of convexity of  $E$  is defined by

$$\delta_E(\epsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \left\| \frac{1}{2}(x + y) \right\| : \|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x - y\| \geq \epsilon \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $\epsilon \in [0, 2]$ .  $E$  is uniformly convex if  $\delta_E(0) = 0$ , and  $\delta_E(\epsilon) > 0$  for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$ . It is known that every uniformly convex Banach space is strictly convex and reflexive. Let  $S(E) = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ . Then the norm of  $E$  is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \quad (2.2)$$

exists for each  $x, y \in S(E)$ . In this case  $E$  is called *smooth*. The norm of  $E$  is said to be *Fréchet differentiable* or  $E$  is *Fréchet smooth* if, for each  $x \in S(E)$ , the limit is attained uniformly for  $y \in S(E)$ . In other words, there exists a function  $\varepsilon_x(s)$  with  $\varepsilon_x(s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$\left| \|x + ty\| - \|x\| + t\langle y, j(x) \rangle \right| \leq |t|\varepsilon_x(|t|) \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $y \in S(E)$ . In this case the norm is *Gâteaux differentiable* and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in S(E)} \left| \frac{1/2 \|x + ty\|^2 - 1/2 \|x\|^2}{t} - \langle y, j(x) \rangle \right| = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $x \in E$ . On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 + \langle h, j(x) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x + h\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 + \langle h, j(x) \rangle + b(\|h\|) \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $x, h \in E$ , where  $b$  is a function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (b(t)/t) = 0$ . The norm of  $E$  is called *uniformly Fréchet differentiable* if the limit is attained uniformly for  $x, y \in S(E)$ .

Let  $\rho_E : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be the modulus of smoothness of  $E$  defined by

$$\rho_E(t) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\|x + y\| + \|x - y\|) - 1 : x \in S(E), \|y\| \leq t \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

A Banach space  $E$  is said to be *uniformly smooth* if  $\rho_E(t)/t \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $q > 1$ , then  $E$  is said to be  *$q$ -uniformly smooth* if there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\rho_E(t) \leq ct^q$ . It is easy to see that if  $E$  is  $q$ -uniformly smooth, then  $E$  is uniformly smooth. It is well known that  $E$  is uniformly smooth if and only if the norm of  $E$  is uniformly Fréchet differentiable, and hence the norm of  $E$  is Fréchet differentiable, and it is also known that if  $E$  is Fréchet smooth, then  $E$  is smooth. Moreover, every uniformly smooth Banach space is reflexive. For more details, we refer the reader to [14, 23]. A Banach space  $E$  is said to satisfy *Opial's condition* [24] if  $x \in E$  and  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ ; then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|, \quad \forall y \in E, x \neq y. \quad (2.7)$$

In the sequel, we will need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [23]). *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $J : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$  the duality mapping. Then one has the following:*

- (i)  $\|x + y\|^2 \geq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x) \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in E$ , where  $j(x) \in J(x)$ ;
- (ii)  $\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in E$ , where  $j(x + y) \in J(x + y)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (see [25]). *Let  $E$  be a real uniformly convex Banach space,  $K$  a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of  $E$ , and  $T : K \rightarrow K$  a continuous pseudocontractive mapping. Then,  $I - T$  is demiclosed at zero, that is, for all sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset K$  with  $x_n \rightharpoonup p$  and  $\|x_n - Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$  it follows that  $p = Tp$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** (see [25]). *Let  $E$  be a real reflexive Banach space which satisfies Opial's condition,  $K$  a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$  and  $T : K \rightarrow K$  a continuous pseudocontractive mapping. Then,  $I - T$  is demiclosed at zero.*

**Lemma 2.4** (see [26]). *Let  $E$  be a real uniformly convex Banach space with a Fréchet differentiable norm. Let  $K$  be a closed and convex subset of  $E$  and  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  a family of  $L_n$ -Lipschitzian self-mappings*

on  $K$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (L_n - 1) < \infty$  and  $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n) \neq \emptyset$ . For arbitrary  $x_1 \in K$ , define  $x_{n+1} = S_n x_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then for every  $p, q \in F$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, j(p - q) \rangle$  exists, in particular, for all  $u, v \in \omega_{\omega}(x_n)$  and  $p, q \in F$ ,  $\langle u - v, j(p - q) \rangle = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.5** (see [17, 27]). Let  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$  and  $\{\delta_n\}$ , be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the inequality

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 + \delta_n)a_n + b_n, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (2.8)$$

If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$  exists. If, in addition,  $\{a_n\}$  has a subsequence converging to 0, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

To deal with a family of mappings, the following conditions are introduced. Let  $K$  be a subset of a real Banach space  $E$ , and let  $\{T_n\}$  be a family of mappings of  $K$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\{T_n\}$  is said to satisfy the AKTT-condition [28] if for each bounded subset  $B$  of  $K$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup \{ \|T_{n+1}z - T_n z\| : z \in B \} < \infty. \quad (2.9)$$

**Lemma 2.6** (see [28]). Let  $K$  be a nonempty and closed subset of a Banach space  $E$ , and let  $\{T_n\}$  be a family of mappings of  $K$  into itself which satisfies the AKTT-condition, then the mapping  $T : K \rightarrow K$  defined by

$$Tx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x, \quad \forall x \in K \quad (2.10)$$

satisfies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \|Tz - T_n z\| : z \in B \} = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

for each bounded subset  $B$  of  $K$ .

So we have the following results proved by Boonchari-Saejung [29, 30].

**Lemma 2.7** (see [29, 30]). Let  $K$  be a closed and convex subset of a smooth Banach space  $E$ . Suppose that  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a family of  $\lambda$ -strictly pseudocontractive mappings from  $K$  into  $E$  with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a real sequence in  $(0, 1)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = 1$ . Then the following conclusions hold:

- (1)  $G := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n T_n : K \rightarrow E$  is a  $\lambda$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping;
- (2)  $F(G) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n)$ .

**Lemma 2.8** (see [30]). Let  $K$  be a closed and convex subset of a smooth Banach space  $E$ . Suppose that  $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a countable family of  $\lambda$ -strictly pseudocontractive mappings of  $K$  into itself with  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(S_k) \neq \emptyset$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $T_n : K \rightarrow K$  by

$$T_n x = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_n^k S_k x, \quad x \in K, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $\{\beta_n^k\}$  is a family of nonnegative numbers satisfying

- (i)  $\sum_{k=1}^n \beta_n^k = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii)  $\beta^k := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n^k > 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n |\beta_{n+1}^k - \beta_n^k| < \infty$ .

Then

- (1) each  $T_n$  is a  $\lambda$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping;
- (2)  $\{T_n\}$  satisfies AKTT-condition;
- (3) If  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is defined by

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta^k S_k x, \quad x \in K, \quad (2.13)$$

then  $Tx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x$  and  $F(T) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(S_k)$ .

For convenience, we will write that  $(\{T_n\}, T)$  satisfies the AKTT-condition if  $\{T_n\}$  satisfies the AKTT-condition and  $T$  is defined by Lemma 2.6 with  $F(T) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n)$ .

### 3. Main Results

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be a real Banach space, and let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : K \rightarrow K$  be a family of  $\lambda$ -strict pseudocontractions for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that  $F := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) \neq \emptyset$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_1 \in K$ ,*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T_n x_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  satisfying  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$ . If  $\{T_n\}$  satisfies the AKTT-condition, then

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists for all  $p \in F$ ;
- (ii)  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_n\| = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in F$ , and put  $L = (\lambda + 1)/\lambda$ . First, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|T_n x_n - p\| \leq (1 + L)\|x_n - p\|, \\ \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &= \alpha_n \|T_n x_n - x_n\| \leq \alpha_n (1 + L)\|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $T_n$  is a  $\lambda$ -strict pseudocontraction, there exists  $j(x_{n+1} - p) \in J(x_{n+1} - p)$ . By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|(x_n - p) + \alpha_n(T_n x_n - x_n)\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle T_n x_n - x_n, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\
&= \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle T_n x_n - T_n x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle T_n x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle + 2\alpha_n \langle x_{n+1} - x_n, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n L \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \|x_{n+1} - p\| \\
&\quad - 2\alpha_n \lambda \|T_n x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \|x_{n+1} - p\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n^2 L(1+L)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\alpha_n \lambda \|T_n x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\|^2 + 2\alpha_n^2 (1+L)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&= \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n^2 (1+L)^3 \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \lambda \|T_n x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

This implies that

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \left(1 + 2\alpha_n^2 (1+L)^3\right) \|x_n - p\|^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence, by  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$ , we have from Lemma 2.5 that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists; consequently,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Moreover, by (3.3), we also have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda \|T_n x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \right) + 2(1+L)^3 M_1^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $M_1 = \sup_{n \geq 1} \{\|x_n - p\|\}$ . It follows that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| = 0$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| &\leq \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| + \|T_n x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| + \sup_{z \in \{x_n\}} \|T_n z - T_{n+1} z\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Since  $\{T_n\}$  satisfies the AKTT-condition, it follows that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_n\| = 0$ . This completes the proof of (i) and (ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $E$  be a real Banach space with the Fréchet differentiable norm. For  $x \in E$ , let  $\beta^*(t)$  be defined for  $0 < t < \infty$  by*

$$\beta^*(t) = \sup_{y \in S(E)} \left| \frac{\|x + ty\|^2 - \|x\|^2}{t} - 2\langle y, j(x) \rangle \right|. \tag{3.7}$$

Then,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \beta^*(t) = 0$ , and

$$\|x + h\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle h, j(x) \rangle + \|h\|\beta^*(\|h\|) \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $h \in E \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in E$ . Since  $E$  has the Fréchet differentiable norm, it follows that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0, y \in S(E)} \left| \frac{1/2\|x + ty\|^2 - 1/2\|x\|^2}{t} - \langle y, j(x) \rangle \right| = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \beta^*(t) = 0$ , and hence

$$\left| \frac{\|x + ty\|^2 - \|x\|^2}{t} - 2\langle y, j(x) \rangle \right| \leq \beta^*(t), \quad \forall y \in S(E) \quad (3.10)$$

which implies that

$$\|x + ty\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2t\langle y, j(x) \rangle + t\beta^*(t), \quad \forall y \in S(E). \quad (3.11)$$

Suppose that  $h \neq 0$ . Put  $y = h/\|h\|$  and  $t = \|h\|$ . By (3.11), we have

$$\|x + h\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle h, j(x) \rangle + \|h\|\beta^*(\|h\|). \quad (3.12)$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* In a real Hilbert space, we see that  $\beta^*(t) = t$  for  $t > 0$ .

In our more general setting, throughout this paper we will assume that

$$\beta^*(t) \leq 2t, \quad (3.13)$$

where  $\beta^*$  is a function appearing in (3.8).

So we obtain the following results.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $E$  be a real Banach space with the Fréchet differentiable norm, and let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : K \rightarrow K$  be a family of  $\lambda$ -strict pseudocontractions for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that  $F := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) \neq \emptyset$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_1 \in K$ ,*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T_n x_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.14)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  satisfying  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$ . If  $(\{T_n\}, T)$  satisfies the AKTT-condition, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T x_n\| = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in F$ , and put  $M_2 = \sup_{n \geq 1} \{\|x_n - T_n x_n\|\} > 0$ . Then by (3.8) and (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|(x_n - p) + \alpha_n(T_n x_n - x_n)\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle T_n x_n - x_n, j(x_n - p) \rangle \\
&\quad + \alpha_n \|T_n x_n - x_n\| \beta^*(\alpha_n \|T_n x_n - x_n\|) \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \lambda \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n^2 \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \lambda \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n^2 M_2^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 < \infty. \tag{3.16}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_n - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\|^2 &= \|(x_n - T_n x_n) + (T_n x_n - T_{n+1} x_{n+1})\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 + 2 \langle T_n x_n - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}, j(x_n - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}) \rangle \\
&= \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 + 2 \langle T_n x_n - T_n x_{n+1}, j(x_n - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}) \rangle \\
&\quad + 2 \langle T_n x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}, j(x_n - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}) \rangle \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 + 2L \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \|x_n - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \\
&\quad + 2 \|T_n x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \|x_n - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 + 2L \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \|x_n - T_n x_n\| \\
&\quad + 2L \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \|T_n x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| \\
&\quad + 2L \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \|T_n x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \\
&\quad + 2 \|T_n x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \\
&\quad + 2 \|T_n x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \|x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 + (2L\alpha_n + 2L^2\alpha_n^2) \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + (2LM_2\alpha_n + 2M_2\alpha_n + 2M_2) \|T_n x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 + 2L(1+L)\alpha_n \|x_n - T_n x_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2M_2(L+2) \|T_n x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

By (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 + \alpha_n\|T_nx_n - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + \alpha_n(\|T_nx_n - T_nx_{n+1}\| + \|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|)^2 \\
&= \|x_n - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 + \alpha_n\|T_nx_n - T_nx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n\|T_nx_n - T_nx_{n+1}\|\|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n\|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 + \alpha_n^2L^2\|x_n - T_nx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2L\|x_n - T_nx_n\|\|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n\|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 + \alpha_n^2L^2M_2^2 \\
&\quad + 2LM_2\|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\| + \|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 \tag{3.18} \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_nx_n\|^2 + 2L(1 + L)\alpha_n\|x_n - T_nx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2M_2(L + 2)\|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\| + \alpha_n^2L^2M_2^2 \\
&\quad + 2LM_2\|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\| + \|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_nx_n\|^2 + 2L(1 + L)\alpha_n\|x_n - T_nx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + \alpha_n^2L^2M_2^2 + 2M_2(2L + 2)\|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\| \\
&\quad + \|T_nx_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_nx_n\|^2 + 2L(1 + L)\alpha_n\|x_n - T_nx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + \alpha_n^2L^2M_2^2 + 2M_2(2L + 2)\sup_{z \in \{x_n\}}\|T_nz - T_{n+1}z\| \\
&\quad + \sup_{z \in \{x_n\}}\|T_nz - T_{n+1}z\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n\|x_n - T_nx_n\|^2 < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup\{\|T_{n+1}z - T_nz\| : z \in \{x_n\}\} < \infty$ , it follows from Lemma 2.5 that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_nx_n\|$  exists. Hence, by Lemma 3.1(ii), we can conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_nx_n\| = 0$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_n - Tx_n\| &\leq \|x_n - T_nx_n\| + \|T_nx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - T_nx_n\| + \sup_{z \in \{x_n\}}\|T_nz - Tz\|, \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

it follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we prove our main result.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $E$  be a real uniformly convex Banach space with the Fréchet differentiable norm, and let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : K \rightarrow K$  be a family of  $\lambda$ -strict pseudocontractions for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that  $F := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) \neq \emptyset$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_1 \in K$ ,*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T_n x_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.20)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, \lambda]$  satisfying  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$ . If  $(\{T_n\}, T)$  satisfies the AKTT-condition, then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of  $\{T_n\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in F$ , and define  $S_n : K \rightarrow K$  by

$$S_n x = (1 - \alpha_n)x + \alpha_n T_n x, \quad x \in K. \quad (3.21)$$

Then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n) = F = F(T)$ . By (3.8), we have for bounded  $x, y \in K$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n x - S_n y\|^2 &= \|x - y - \alpha_n[x - y - (T_n x - T_n y)]\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \langle (I - T_n)x - (I - T_n)y, j(x - y) \rangle \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \|x - y - (T_n x - T_n y)\| \|\beta^*(\alpha_n \|x - y - (T_n x - T_n y)\|)\| \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \lambda \|x - y - (T_n x - T_n y)\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \|x - y - (T_n x - T_n y)\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 - 2\alpha_n(\lambda - \alpha_n) \|x - y - (T_n x - T_n y)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

This implies that  $S_n$  is nonexpansive. By Lemma 3.1(i), we know that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. By Lemma 3.4, we also know that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_n\| = 0$ . Applying Lemma 2.2, we also have  $\omega_{\omega}(x_n) \subset F(T)$ .

Finally, we will show that  $\omega_{\omega}(x_n)$  is a singleton. Suppose that  $x^*, y^* \in \omega_{\omega}(x_n) \subset F(T)$ . Hence  $x^*, y^* \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n)$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, j(x^* - y^*) \rangle$  exists. Suppose that  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  and  $\{x_{m_k}\}$  are subsequences of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup x^*$  and  $x_{m_k} \rightharpoonup y^*$ . Then

$$\|x^* - y^*\|^2 = \langle x^* - y^*, j(x^* - y^*) \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_{n_k} - x_{m_k}, j(x^* - y^*) \rangle = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

Hence  $x^* = y^*$ ; consequently,  $x_n \rightharpoonup x^* \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n) = F$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.5, Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 we also obtain the following results.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $E$  be a real uniformly convex Banach space with the Fréchet differentiable norm, and let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of  $\lambda_k$ -strict pseudocontractions of  $K$  into itself such that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(S_k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\inf\{\lambda_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \lambda > 0$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_1 \in K$ ,

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_n^k S_k x_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.24)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, \lambda]$  satisfying  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$  and  $\{\beta_n^k\}$  satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) of Lemma 2.8. Then,  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of  $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ .

*Remark 3.7.* (i) Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 extend and improve Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 of Chidume-Shahzad [17] in the following senses:

- (i) from real uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach spaces to real uniformly convex Banach spaces with Fréchet differentiable norms;
- (ii) from finite strict pseudocontractions to infinite strict pseudocontractions.

Using Opial's condition, we also obtain the following results in a real reflexive Banach space.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $E$  be a real Fréchet smooth and reflexive Banach space which satisfies Opial's condition, and let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a family of  $\lambda$ -strict pseudocontractions for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that  $F := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) \neq \emptyset$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_1 \in K$ ,

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T_n x_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.25)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, \lambda]$  satisfying  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$ . If  $(\{T_n\}, T)$  satisfies the AKTT-condition, then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of  $\{T_n\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in F$ . By Lemma 3.1(i), we know that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists. Since  $E$  has the Fréchet differentiable norm, by Lemma 3.4, we know that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T x_n\| = 0$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $\omega_{\omega}(x_n) \subset F(T) = F$ . Finally, we show that  $\omega_{\omega}(x_n)$  is a singleton. Let  $x^*, y^* \in \omega_{\omega}(x_n)$ , and let  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  and  $\{x_{m_k}\}$  be subsequences of  $\{x_n\}$  chosen so that  $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup x^*$  and  $x_{m_k} \rightharpoonup y^*$ . If  $x^* \neq y^*$ , then Opial's condition of  $E$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x^*\| &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - x^*\| < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - y^*\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{m_k} - y^*\| \\ &< \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{m_k} - x^*\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x^*\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

This is a contradiction, and thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $E$  be a real Fréchet smooth and reflexive Banach space which satisfies Opial's condition, and let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of

$\lambda_k$ -strict pseudocontractions of  $K$  into itself such that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(S_k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\inf\{\lambda_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \lambda > 0$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_1 \in K$ ,

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_n^k S_k x_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.27)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, \lambda]$  satisfying  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$  and  $\{\beta_n^k\}$  satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) of Lemma 2.8. Then,  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of  $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ .

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referees for valuable suggestions. This research is supported by the Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, the Commission on Higher Education, and the Thailand Research Fund, Thailand. The first author is supported by the Royal Golden Jubilee Grant PHD/0261/2551 and by the Graduate School, Chiang Mai University, Thailand.

## References

- [1] F. E. Browder, "Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces," in *Nonlinear Functional Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XVIII, Part 2, Chicago, Ill., 1968)*, pp. 1–308, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1976.
- [2] F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, "Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 20, pp. 197–228, 1967.
- [3] R. Chen, Y. Song, and H. Zhou, "Convergence theorems for implicit iteration process for a finite family of continuous pseudocontractive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 314, no. 2, pp. 701–709, 2006.
- [4] C. E. Chidume and S. A. Mutangadura, "An example of the Mann iteration method for Lipschitz pseudocontractions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 129, no. 8, pp. 2359–2363, 2001.
- [5] W. R. Mann, "Mean value methods in iteration," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 4, pp. 506–510, 1953.
- [6] S. Reich, "Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 274–276, 1979.
- [7] G. Marino and H.-K. Xu, "Weak and strong convergence theorems for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 329, no. 1, pp. 336–346, 2007.
- [8] H. Zhou, "Convergence theorems of fixed points for Lipschitz pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 343, no. 1, pp. 546–556, 2008.
- [9] H. Zhou, "Convergence theorems for  $\lambda$ -strict pseudo-contractions in 2-uniformly smooth Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 69, no. 9, pp. 3160–3173, 2008.
- [10] H. Zhang and Y. Su, "Convergence theorems for strict pseudo-contractions in  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 71, no. 10, pp. 4572–4580, 2009.
- [11] M. O. Osilike and A. Udomene, "Demiclosedness principle and convergence theorems for strictly pseudocontractive mappings of Browder-Petryshyn type," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 256, no. 2, pp. 431–445, 2001.
- [12] S. Ishikawa, "Fixed points by a new iteration method," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 44, pp. 147–150, 1974.
- [13] Y. Zhang and Y. Guo, "Weak convergence theorems of three iterative methods for strictly pseudocontractive mappings of Browder-Petryshyn type," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2008, Article ID 672301, 13 pages, 2008.
- [14] C. E. Chidume, *Geometric Properties of Banach Spaces and Nonlinear Iterations*, Lecture Notes Series, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2009.

- [15] S. Reich, "Constructive techniques for accretive and monotone operators," in *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, pp. 335–345, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- [16] S. Reich, "An iterative procedure for constructing zeros of accretive sets in Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 85–92, 1978.
- [17] C. E. Chidume and N. Shahzad, "Weak convergence theorems for a finite family of strict pseudocontractions," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 72, no. 3-4, pp. 1257–1265, 2010.
- [18] L. C. Ceng, Q. H. Ansari, and J. C. Yao, "Strong and weak convergence theorems for asymptotically strict pseudocontractive mappings in intermediate sense," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 11, pp. 283–308, 2010.
- [19] L. C. Ceng, D. S. Shyu, and J. C. Yao, "Relaxed composite implicit iteration process for common fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 402602, 16 pages, 2009.
- [20] L.-C. Ceng, A. Petruşel, and J.-C. Yao, "Strong convergence of modified implicit iterative algorithms with perturbed mappings for continuous pseudocontractive mappings," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 209, no. 2, pp. 162–176, 2009.
- [21] L.-C. Ceng, S. Al-Homidan, Q. H. Ansari, and J.-C. Yao, "An iterative scheme for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of strict pseudo-contraction mappings," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 223, no. 2, pp. 967–974, 2009.
- [22] J.-W. Peng and J.-C. Yao, "Ishikawa iterative algorithms for a generalized equilibrium problem and fixed point problems of a pseudo-contraction mapping," *Journal of Global Optimization*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 331–345, 2010.
- [23] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and D. R. Sahu, *Fixed Point Theory for Lipschitzian-Type Mappings with Applications*, vol. 6 of *Topological Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2009.
- [24] Z. Opial, "Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 73, pp. 591–597, 1967.
- [25] H. Zhou, "Convergence theorems of common fixed points for a finite family of Lipschitz pseudocontractions in Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 68, no. 10, pp. 2977–2983, 2008.
- [26] K.-K. Tan and H. K. Xu, "Fixed point iteration processes for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 122, no. 3, pp. 733–739, 1994.
- [27] K.-K. Tan and H. K. Xu, "Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iteration process," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 178, no. 2, pp. 301–308, 1993.
- [28] K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, W. Takahashi, and M. Toyoda, "Approximation of common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 2350–2360, 2007.
- [29] D. Boonchari and S. Saejung, "Weak and strong convergence theorems of an implicit iteration for a countable family of continuous pseudocontractive mappings," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 233, no. 4, pp. 1108–1116, 2009.
- [30] D. Boonchari and S. Saejung, "Construction of common fixed points of a countable family of  $\lambda$ -demicontractive mappings in arbitrary Banach spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 216, no. 1, pp. 173–178, 2010.