

RESEARCH

Open Access

Some coupled coincidence point theorems for a mixed monotone operator in a complete metric space endowed with a partial order by using altering distance functions

Saud M Alsulami*

*Correspondence:
alsulami@kau.edu.sa
Department of Mathematics, King
Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box
138381, Jeddah, 21323, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

In this paper, we present some coupled coincidence point results for mixed g -monotone mappings in partially ordered complete metric spaces involving altering distance functions. Moreover, we present an example to illustrate our main result. Our results extend some results in the field.

MSC: Primary 47H09; 47H10; secondary 49M05

Keywords: coupled coincidence points; partially metric spaces; contractive mappings; mixed g -monotone property

1 Introduction and preliminaries

The existence of a fixed point for contractive mappings in partially ordered metric spaces has attracted the attention of many mathematicians (*cf.* [1–11] and the references therein). In [3], Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham introduced the notion of a mixed monotone mapping and proved some coupled fixed point theorems for the mixed monotone mapping. Afterwards, Lakshmikantham and Ćirić in [11] introduced the concept of a mixed g -monotone mapping and proved coupled coincidence point results for two mappings F and g , where F has the mixed g -monotone property and the functions F and g commute. It is well known that the concept of commuting has been weakened in various directions. One such notion which is weaker than commuting is the concept of compatibility introduced by Jungck [7]. In [5], Choudhury and Kundu defined the concept of compatibility of F and g . The purpose of this paper is to present some coupled coincidence point theorems for a mixed g -monotone mapping in the context of complete metric spaces endowed with a partial order by using altering distance functions which extend some results of [6]. We also present an example which illustrates the results.

Recall that if (X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set, then f is said to be non-decreasing if for $x, y \in X$, $x \preceq y$, we have $fx \preceq fy$. Similarly, f is said to be non-increasing if for $x, y \in X$, $x \preceq y$, we have $fx \succeq fy$. We also recall the used definitions in the present work.

Definition 1.1 [11] (Mixed g -monotone property) Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set, $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$. We say that the mapping F has the mixed g -monotone property if F is monotone g -non-decreasing in its first argument and is monotone g -non-

increasing in its second argument. That is, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, \quad gx_1 \leq gx_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y) \tag{1}$$

and

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, \quad gy_1 \leq gy_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(x, y_1) \geq F(x, y_2). \tag{2}$$

Definition 1.2 [11] (Coupled coincidence fixed point) Let $(x, y) \in X \times X$, $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$. We say that (x, y) is a coupled coincidence point of F and g if $F(x, y) = gx$ and $F(y, x) = gy$ for $x, y \in X$.

Definition 1.3 [11] Let X be a non-empty set and let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$. We say F and g are commutative if, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$g(F(x, y)) = F(gx, gy).$$

Definition 1.4 [5] The mappings F and g , where $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$, are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(F(x_n, y_n)), F(gx_n, gy_n)) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(F(y_n, x_n)), F(gy_n, gx_n)) = 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = y$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 1.5 (Altering distance function) An altering distance function is a function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

1. ψ is continuous and non-decreasing.
2. $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

2 Existence of coupled coincidence points

Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Also, let φ and ϕ be altering distance functions. Now, we are in a position to state our main theorem.

Theorem 2.1 Let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping having the mixed g -monotone property on X such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) \\ & \leq \varphi(\max(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv))) - \phi(\max(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv))) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $gx \succeq gu$ and $gy \preceq gv$. Suppose that $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$, g is continuous, monotone increasing and suppose also that F and g are compatible mappings. Moreover, suppose either

- (a) F is continuous, or
- (b) X has the following properties:
 - (i) if a non-decreasing sequence $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$, then $x_n \leq x$ for all n ,
 - (ii) if a non-increasing sequence $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$, then $y \leq y_n$ for all n .

If there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with $gx_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $gy_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0)$, then F and g have a coupled coincidence point.

Proof By using $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$, we construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ as follows:

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \tag{4}$$

We are going to divide the proof into several steps in order to make it easy to read.

Step 1. We will show that $gx_n \leq gx_{n+1}$ and $gy_n \geq gy_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$.

We use the mathematical induction to show that. From the assumption of the theorem, it follows that $gx_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) = gx_1$ and $gy_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0) = gy_1$, so our claim is satisfied for $n = 0$. Now, suppose that our claim holds for some fixed $n > 0$. Since $gx_{n-1} \leq gx_n$, $gy_n \leq gy_{n-1}$ and F has the mixed g -monotone property, then we get

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n) \geq F(x_{n-1}, y_n) \geq F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) = gx_n$$

and

$$gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n) \leq F(y_{n-1}, x_n) \leq F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) = gy_n.$$

Thus the claim holds for $n + 1$ and by the mathematical induction our claim is proved.

Step 2. We will show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) = 0$.

In fact, using (3), $gx_n \geq gx_{n-1}$ and $gy_n \leq gy_{n-1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)) &= \varphi(d(F(x_n, y_n), F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}))) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}))). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Since ϕ is non-negative, we have

$$\varphi(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)) \leq \varphi(\max(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}))),$$

and since φ is non-decreasing, we have

$$d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \leq \max(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1})). \tag{6}$$

In the same way, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n)) &= \varphi(d(F(y_n, x_n), F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}))) \\ &= \varphi(d(F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), F(y_n, x_n))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \phi(\max(d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n))) \\ & \leq \varphi(\max(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}))), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and hence

$$d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) \leq \max(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1})). \tag{8}$$

Using (6) and (8), we have

$$\max(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n)) \leq \max(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1})).$$

From the last inequality, we notice that the sequence $(\max(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n)))$ is non-negative decreasing. This implies that there exists $r \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n)) = r. \tag{9}$$

It is easily seen that if $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is non-decreasing, we have $\varphi(\max(a, b)) = \max(\varphi(a), \varphi(b))$ for $a, b \in [0, \infty)$. Using this, (5) and (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max(\varphi(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)), \varphi(d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n))) &= \varphi(\max(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}))) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}))). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality and using (6), we have

$$\varphi(r) \leq \varphi(r) - \phi(r) \leq \varphi(r),$$

and this implies $\phi(r) = 0$. Thus, using the fact that ϕ is an altering distance function, we have $r = 0$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n)) = 0. \tag{11}$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) = 0$ and this completes the proof of our claim.

Step 3. We will prove that $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences.

Suppose that one of the sequences $\{gx_n\}$ or $\{gy_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. This implies that $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_m) \not\rightarrow 0$ or $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} d(gy_n, gy_m) \not\rightarrow 0$, and hence

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \max(d(gx_n, gx_m), d(gy_n, gy_m)) \not\rightarrow 0.$$

This means that there exists $\epsilon > 0$, for which we can find subsequences $\{gx_{m(k)}\}$ and $\{gx_{n(k)}\}$ with $n(k) > m(k) > k$, such that

$$\max(d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)})) \geq \epsilon. \tag{12}$$

Further, we can choose $n(k)$ corresponding to $m(k)$ in such a way that it is the smallest integer with $n(k) > m(k)$ and satisfying (12). Then

$$\max(d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1})) < \epsilon. \tag{13}$$

Using (3), $gx_{n(k)-1} \geq gx_{m(k)-1}$ and $gy_{n(k)-1} \leq gy_{m(k)-1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)})) &= \varphi(d(F(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}), F(x_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1}))) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max(d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1}))), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and also we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)})) &= \varphi(d(F(y_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}), F(y_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}))) \\ &= \varphi(d(F(y_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}), F(y_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1}))) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max(d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1}))). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Combining (14) and (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\max(\varphi(d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)})), \varphi(d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)}))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1}))) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max(d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1}))). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Using the triangular inequality and (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)}) &\leq d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}) + d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)}) \\ &< d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}) + \epsilon \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)}) &\leq d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}) + d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)}) \\ &< d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}) + \epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Using (12), (17) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq \max(d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)}), d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)})) \\ &\leq \max(d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1})) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality and using (11), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max(d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)}), d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)})) = \epsilon. \tag{19}$$

Similarly, using the triangular inequality and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}) &\leq d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)}) + d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}) \\ &< \epsilon + d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1}) &\leq d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)}) + d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}) \\ &< \epsilon + d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Combining (20) and (21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\max(d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1})) \\ &< \max(d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1})) + \epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Using the triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)}) &\leq d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}) + d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}) \\ &\quad + d(gx_{m(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)}) &\leq d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}) + d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1}) \\ &\quad + d(gy_{m(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the two last inequalities and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq \max(d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)}), d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)})) \\ &\leq \max(d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1})) \\ &\quad + \max(d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1})) \\ &\quad + \max(d(gx_{m(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)})). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Using (22) and (23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq \max(d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1})) \\ &\leq \max(d(gx_{m(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)})) \\ &\leq \max(d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1})) \\ &< \max(d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1})) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality and using (11), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max(d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{m(k)-1})) = \epsilon. \tag{24}$$

Finally, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (15) and using (18), (23) and the continuity of φ and ϕ , we have

$$\varphi(\epsilon) \leq \varphi(\epsilon) - \phi(\epsilon) \leq \varphi(\epsilon)$$

and, consequently, $\phi(\epsilon) = 0$. Since ϕ is an altering distance function, we get $\epsilon = 0$, and this is a contradiction. This proves our claim.

Since X is a complete metric space, there exist $x, y \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = x \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = y. \tag{25}$$

Since F and g are compatible mappings, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(F(x_n, y_n)), F(gx_n, gy_n)) = 0 \tag{26}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(F(y_n, x_n)), F(gy_n, gx_n)) = 0. \tag{27}$$

We now show that $gx = F(x, y)$ and $gy = F(y, x)$. Suppose that assumption (a) holds. For all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$d(gx, F(gx_n, gy_n)) \leq d(gx, g(F(x_n, y_n))) + d(g(F(x_n, y_n)), F(gx_n, gy_n)).$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, using (3), (25), (26) and the fact that F and g are continuous, we have $d(gx, F(x, y)) = 0$. Similarly, using (3), (25), (27) and the fact that F and g are continuous, we have $d(gy, F(y, x)) = 0$. Hence, we get

$$gx = F(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad gy = F(y, x).$$

Finally, suppose that (b) holds. In fact, since $\{gx_n\}$ is non-decreasing and $gx_n \rightarrow x$ and $\{gy_n\}$ is non-increasing and $gy_n \rightarrow y$, by our assumption, $gx_n \leq x$ and $gy_n \geq y$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Applying (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(F(x, y), F(x_n, y_n))) &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx, gx_n), d(gy, gy_n))) - \phi(\max(d(gx, gx_n), d(gy, gy_n))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx, gx_n), d(gy, gy_n))), \end{aligned}$$

and as φ is non-decreasing, we obtain

$$d(F(x, y), F(x_n, y_n)) \leq \max(d(gx, gx_n), d(gy, gy_n)). \tag{28}$$

Using the triangular inequality and (28), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx, F(x, y)) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx, gg_{x_{n+1}}) + d(gg_{x_{n+1}}, F(x, y)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx, gg_{x_{n+1}}) + d(F(x, y), gF(x_n, y_n)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx, ggx_{n+1}) + d(F(x, y), F(gx_n, gy_n)) \\
 &\leq d(gx, ggx_{n+1}) + \max(d(gx, ggx_n), d(gy, ggy_n)).
 \end{aligned}$$

As $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$, taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality, we have

$$d(gx, F(x, y)) = 0,$$

and, consequently, $F(x, y) = gx$.

Using a similar argument, it can be proved that $gy = F(y, x)$ and this completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.1 [6] *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping having the mixed monotone property on X such that*

$$\varphi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) \leq \varphi(\max(d(x, u), d(y, v))) - \phi(\max(d(x, u), d(y, v)))$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $x \geq u$ and $y \leq v$, where φ and ϕ are altering distance functions.

Moreover, suppose either

- (a) F is continuous, or
- (b) X has the following properties:
 - (i) if a non-decreasing sequence $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$, then $x_n \leq x$ for all n ,
 - (ii) if a non-increasing sequence $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$, then $y \leq y_n$ for all n .

If there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0)$, then F has a coupled fixed point.

Corollary 2.2 [3] *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping having the mixed monotone property on X such that*

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(x, u) + d(y, v)]$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $x \geq u$ and $y \leq v$. Moreover, suppose either

- (a) F is continuous, or
- (b) X has the following properties:
 - (i) if a non-decreasing sequence $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$, then $x_n \leq x$ for all n ,
 - (ii) if a non-increasing sequence $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$, then $y \leq y_n$ for all n .

If there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0)$, then F has a coupled fixed point.

Proof Let $\varphi = \text{identity}$ and $\phi = (1 - \frac{1}{k})\varphi$ and g is the identity function. Then applying Theorem 2.1, we get Corollary 2.2. \square

3 Uniqueness of the coupled coincidence point

In this section, we prove the uniqueness of the coupled coincidence point. Note that if (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set, then we endow the product $X \times X$ with the following

partial order relation, for all $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$,

$$(x, y) \preceq (u, v) \Leftrightarrow x \preceq u, y \succeq v.$$

Theorem 3.1 *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, suppose that for every $(x, y), (z, t)$ in $X \times X$, there exists a (u, v) in $X \times X$ that is comparable to (x, y) and (z, t) , then F and g have a unique coupled coincidence point.*

Proof Suppose that (x, y) and (z, t) are coupled coincidence points of F , that is, $gx = F(x, y)$, $gy = F(x, y)$, $gz = f(z, t)$ and $gt = F(t, z)$.

Let (u, v) be an element of $X \times X$ comparable to (x, y) and (z, t) . Suppose that $(x, y) \succeq (u, v)$ (the proof is similar in the other case).

We construct the sequences $\{gu_n\}$ and $\{gv_n\}$ as follows:

$$u_0 = u, \quad v_0 = v, \quad gu_{n+1} = F(u_n, v_n), \quad gv_{n+1} = F(v_n, u_n).$$

We claim that $(x, y) \succeq (u_n, v_n)$ for each $n \in N$. In fact, we will use mathematical induction.

For $n = 0$, as $(x, y) \succeq (u, v)$, this means $u_0 = u \preceq x$ and $y \succeq v = v_0$ and, consequently, $(u_0, v_0) \preceq (x, y)$. Suppose that $(x, y) \succeq (u_n, v_n)$, then since F has the mixed g -monotone property and since g is monotone increasing, we get

$$gu_{n+1} = F(u_n, v_n) \preceq F(x, v_n) \preceq F(x, y) = gx,$$

$$gv_{n+1} = F(v_n, u_n) \preceq F(y, u_n) \succeq F(y, x) = gy,$$

and this proves our claim.

Now, since $u_n \preceq x$ and $u_n \succeq y$, using (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(gx, gu_n)) &= \varphi(d(F(x, y), F(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx, gu_{n-1}), d(gy, gv_{n-1}))) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max(d(gx, gu_{n-1}), d(gy, gv_{n-1}))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx, gu_{n-1}), d(gy, gv_{n-1}))). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

In the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(gy, gv_n)) &= \varphi(d(F(y, x), F(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}))) \\ &= \varphi(d(F(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}), F(y, x))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx, gu_{n-1}), d(gy, gv_{n-1}))) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max(d(gx, gu_{n-1}), d(gy, gv_{n-1}))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx, gu_{n-1}), d(gy, gv_{n-1}))). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Using (29) and (30) and the fact that ϕ is non-decreasing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\max(d(gx, gu_n), d(gy, gv_n))) &= \max(\varphi(d(gx, gu_n), d(gy, gv_n))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max(d(gx, gu_{n-1}), d(gy, gv_{n-1}))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \phi(\max(d(gx, gu_{n-1}), d(gy, gv_{n-1}))) \\
 & \leq \phi(\max(d(gx, gu_{n-1}), d(gy, gv_{n-1}))). \tag{31}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the last inequality and the fact that ϕ is non-decreasing, we have

$$\max(d(gx, gu_n), d(gy, gv_n)) \leq \max(d(gx, gu_{n-1}), d(gy, gv_{n-1})).$$

Thus the sequence $(\max(d(gx, gu_n), d(gy, gv_n)))$ is decreasing and non-negative, and hence, for certain $r \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\max(d(gx, gu_n), d(gy, gv_n))) = r. \tag{32}$$

Using (32) and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (31), we have

$$\phi(r) \leq \phi(r) - \phi(r) < \phi(r).$$

This gives $\phi(r) = 0$ and hence $r = 0$.

Finally, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\max(d(gx, gu_n), d(gy, gv_n))) = 0$, we have $gu_n \rightarrow gx$ and $gv_n \rightarrow gy$. Using a similar argument for (z, t) , we can get $gu_n \rightarrow gz$ and $gv_n \rightarrow gt$, and the uniqueness of the limit gives $gx = gz$ and $gy = gt$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, suppose that x_0 and y_0 are comparable, then the coupled coincidence point $(x, y) \in X \times X$ satisfies $x = y$.*

Proof Assume $x_0 \leq y_0$ (a similar argument applies to $y_0 \leq x_0$).

We claim that $x_n \leq y_n$ for all n , where $gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$ and $gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)$.

Obviously, the inequality is satisfied for $n = 0$. Suppose $x_n \leq y_n$. Using the mixed g -monotone property of F , we have

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n) \leq F(y_n, y_n) \leq F(y_n, x_n) = gy_{n+1},$$

and since g is non-decreasing, this proves our claim.

Now, using (3) and $x_n \leq y_n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(d(gx_{n+1}, gy_{n+1})) &= \phi(d(gy_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})) = \phi(d(F(y_n, x_n), F(x_n, y_n))) \\
 &\leq \phi(d(gx_n, gy_n)) - \phi(d(gx_n, gy_n)) \leq \phi(d(gx_n, gy_n)), \tag{33}
 \end{aligned}$$

and since ϕ is non-decreasing, we get

$$d(gx_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}) \leq d(gx_n, gy_n).$$

We notice that the sequence $d(gx_n, gy_n)$ is decreasing. Thus, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gy_n) = r$ for certain $r > 0$. Hence,

$$\phi(r) \leq \phi(r) - \phi(r) \leq \phi(r),$$

and this gives us $r = 0$.

Since $gx_n \rightarrow x$, $gy_n \rightarrow y$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gy_n) = 0$, we have

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gy_n) = d(gx_n, gy_n) = d\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n\right) = d(x, y)$$

and thus $x = y$. This completes the proof. □

4 Example

The following example illustrates our main result.

Example 4.1 Let $X = [0, 1]$. Then (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set with the natural ordering of real numbers. Let

$$d(x, y) = |x - y| \quad \text{for } x, y \in [0, 1].$$

Then (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $g : X \rightarrow X$ be defined as

$$g(x) = x^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

and let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be defined as

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 - y^2}{5} & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1], x \geq y, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < y. \end{cases}$$

Then, F satisfies the mixed g -monotone property.

Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined as

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{3}t \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \infty),$$

and let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined as

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{5}t \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two sequences in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, y_n) = a$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = a$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(y_n, x_n) = b$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = b$. Then, obviously, $a = 0$ and $b = 0$. Now, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$g(x_n) = x_n^2, \quad g(y_n) = y_n^2,$$

$$F(x_n, y_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_n^2 - y_n^2}{5} & \text{if } x_n \geq y_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_n < y_n. \end{cases}$$

and

$$F(y_n, x_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{y_n^2 - x_n^2}{5} & \text{if } y_n \geq x_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } y_n < x_n. \end{cases}$$

Then it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(F(x_n, y_n)), F(gx_n, gy_n)) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(F(y_n, x_n)), F(gy_n, gx_n)) = 0.$$

Hence, the mappings F and g are compatible in X . Also, $x_0 = 0$ and $y_0 = c$ ($c > 0$) are two points in X such that

$$g(x_0) = g(0) = 0 = F(0, c) = F(x_0, y_0)$$

and

$$g(y_0) = g(c) = c^2 \geq \frac{c^2}{5} = F(c, 0) = F(y_0, x_0).$$

We next verify the contraction of Theorem 2.1. We take $x, y, u, v, \in X$ such that $gx \geq gu$ and $gy \leq gv$, that is, $x^2 \geq u^2$ and $y^2 \leq v^2$.

We consider the following cases.

Case 1. $x \geq y, u \geq v$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) &= \frac{1}{3} [d(F(x, y), F(u, v))] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left[d\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{5}, \frac{u^2 - v^2}{5}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left| \frac{(x^2 - y^2) - (u^2 - v^2)}{5} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \frac{|x^2 - u^2| + |y^2 - v^2|}{5} \\ &= \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv)}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{15} [\max(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv))] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} [\max(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv))] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{5} [\max(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv))] \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $x \geq y, u < v$ Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) &= \frac{1}{3} [d(F(x, y), F(u, v))] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left[d\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{5}, 0\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{|x^2 - y^2|}{5} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{3} \frac{|v^2 + x^2 - y^2 - u^2|}{5} \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{|(v^2 - y^2) - (u^2 - x^2)|}{5} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{3} \frac{|v^2 - y^2| + |u^2 - x^2|}{5} \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{|u^2 - x^2| + |y^2 - v^2|}{5} \\
 &= \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{|u^2 - x^2| + |y^2 - v^2|}{2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv)}{2} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{15} (\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} (\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{5} (\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}) \\
 &= \varphi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}) \\
 &\quad - \phi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $x < y$ and $u \geq v$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) &= \frac{1}{3} \left[d\left(0, \frac{u^2 - v^2}{5}\right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{|u^2 - v^2|}{5} \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{|u^2 + x^2 - v^2 - x^2|}{5} \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{|(x^2 - v^2) + (u^2 - x^2)|}{5} \quad (\text{since } y > x) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{3} \frac{|y^2 - v^2| + |u^2 - x^2|}{5} \\
 &= \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{|u^2 - x^2| + |y^2 - v^2|}{2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv)}{2} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{15} (\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} (\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{5} (\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \varphi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}) \\ - \phi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv)\}).$$

Case 4. $x < y$ and $u < v$ with $x^2 \leq u^2$ and $y^2 \geq v^2$. Then $F(x, y) = 0$ and $F(u, v) = 0$, that is,

$$\varphi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) = \varphi(d(0, 0)) = \varphi(0) = 0.$$

Obviously, the contraction of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant No. (130-037-D1433). The author, therefore, acknowledges with thanks DSR technical and financial support. Also, the author would like to thank Prof. Abdullah Alotaibi for useful discussion on this paper. Moreover, many thanks to the referees and the editor for their helpful comments.

Received: 1 April 2013 Accepted: 4 July 2013 Published: 22 July 2013

References

1. Alotaibi, A, Alsulami, SM: Coupled coincidence points for monotone operators in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, Article ID 44 (2011)
2. Alsulami, SM, Nawab, H, Alotaibi, A: Coupled fixed and coincidence points for monotone operators in partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, Article ID 173 (2012)
3. Bhaskar, TG, Lakshmikantham, V: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **65**, 1379-1393 (2006)
4. Yeol, JC, Shah, MH, Nawab, H: Coupled fixed points of weakly F -contractive mappings in topological spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* (2011), in press
5. Choudhury, BS, Kundu, A: A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **73**, 2524-2531 (2010)
6. Harjani, J, Lopez, B, Sadarangani, K: Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators and applications to integral equations. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **74**, 1749-1760 (2011)
7. Jungck, G: Compatible mappings and common fixed points. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **9**, 771-779 (1986)
8. Khamsi, M, Kirk, W: *An Introduction to Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Theory*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience, New York (2001)
9. Nguyen, V, Nguyen, X: Coupled fixed point in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **74**, 983-992 (2011)
10. Zhang, X: Fixed point theorems of multivalued monotone mappings in ordered metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23**, 235-240 (2010)
11. Lakshmikantham, V, Ćirić, L: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **70**(12), 4341-4349 (2009)

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-194

Cite this article as: Alsulami: Some coupled coincidence point theorems for a mixed monotone operator in a complete metric space endowed with a partial order by using altering distance functions. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013 **2013**:194.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com