

## Research Article

# A Continuation Method for Weakly Contractive Mappings under the Interior Condition

**David Ariza-Ruiz and Antonio Jiménez-Melado**

*Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga,  
29071 Málaga, Spain*

Correspondence should be addressed to Antonio Jiménez-Melado, melado@uma.es

Received 29 July 2009; Accepted 8 October 2009

Recommended by Marlene Frigon

Recently, Frigon proved that, for weakly contractive maps, the property of having a fixed point is invariant by a certain class of homotopies, obtaining as a consequence a Leray-Schauder alternative for this class of maps in a Banach space. We prove here that the Leray-Schauder condition in the aforementioned result can be replaced by a modification of it, the interior condition. We also show that our arguments work for a certain class of generalized contractions, thus complementing a result of Agarwal and O'Regan.

Copyright © 2009 D. Ariza-Ruiz and A. Jiménez-Melado. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

Suppose that  $X$  is a Banach space, that  $U \subset X$  is an open bounded subset of  $X$ , containing the origin, and that  $f : \bar{U} \rightarrow X$  is a mapping. It is well known that if  $f$  satisfies the Leray-Schauder condition defined as

$$f(x) \neq \lambda x, \quad \text{for } x \in \partial U, \lambda > 1 \tag{L-S}$$

and  $f$  is a strict set-contraction or, more generally, condensing, then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{U}$  (see, e.g., [1] or [2]). The first continuation method in the setting of a complete metric space for contractive maps comes from the hands of Granas [3], in 1994, who gave a homotopy result for contractive maps (for more information on this topic see, e.g., [4, 5] or [6]).

On the other hand, it has been recently shown in [7] that, for condensing mappings, the condition (L-S) can be replaced by a modification of it which we call the interior condition,

and is defined as follows: a mapping  $f : \overline{U} \rightarrow X$  satisfies the *Interior Condition* (I-C), if there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \neq \lambda x, \quad \text{for } x \in U_\delta, \lambda > 1, f(x) \notin \overline{U}, \quad (\text{I-C})$$

where  $U_\delta = \{x \in U : \text{dist}(x, \partial U) < \delta\}$  (some generalizations of this result can be found in [8, 9]).

We remark that the condition (I-C) by itself cannot be a substitute for the condition (L-S), and an additional assumption on the domain of  $f$  needs to be made in order to guarantee the existence of a fixed point for  $f$ . The class of sets that we need is defined as follows: suppose that  $U \subset X$  is an open neighborhood of the origin. We say that  $U$  is strictly star shaped if for any  $x \in \partial U$  we have that  $\{\lambda x : \lambda > 0\} \cap \partial U = \{x\}$ . It was shown in [7] that if  $U$  is bounded and strictly star shaped and  $f : \overline{U} \rightarrow X$  is a condensing mapping satisfying the condition (I-C), then  $f$  has a fixed point. Of course, this result includes the case of a contractive map (i.e., a map  $f$  for which there exists  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq kd(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in \overline{U}$ ), but our aim in this note is, following the pattern of Granas [3] and Frigon et al. [10], to give a continuation method for weakly contractive mappings, in the setting of a complete metric space, under some conditions on the homotopy which are the counterpart of the condition (I-C) and the notion of a strictly star shaped set in a space without a vector structure. Finally, in the last section we show that our arguments also work for a class of generalized contractions, thus complementing a result of Agarwal and O'Regan [11].

## 2. Weakly Contractive Maps

In this chapter we deal with the concept of weakly contractive maps, as it was introduced by Dugundji and Granas in [12].

*Definition 2.1.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $U$  an open subset of  $X$ . A function  $f : \overline{U} \rightarrow X$  is said to be weakly contractive if there exists  $\psi : X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  compactly positive (i.e.,  $\inf\{\psi(x, y) : a \leq d(x, y) \leq b\} = \theta(a, b) > 0$  for every  $0 < a \leq b$ ) such that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y) - \psi(x, y). \quad (2.1)$$

If  $\psi$  is a compactly positive function, we define for  $0 < a \leq b$

$$\gamma(a, b) = \min\{a, \theta(a, b)\}. \quad (2.2)$$

It was shown in [12] that any weakly contractive map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  defined on a complete metric space  $X$  has a unique fixed point. Some years later, Frigon [5] proved that, for weakly contractive maps, the property of having a fixed point is invariant by a certain class of homotopies, obtaining as a consequence a Leray-Schauder alternative for weakly contractive maps in the setting of a Banach space. We prove here that the Leray-Schauder condition in the aforementioned result can be replaced by the condition (I-C), and it will also be obtained as a consequence of a continuation method. The definition of homotopy that we need for our purposes is the following.

*Definition 2.2.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and  $U$  an open subset of  $X$ . Let  $f, g : \overline{U} \rightarrow X$  be two weakly contractive maps. We say that  $f$  is (I-C)-homotopic to  $g$  if there exists  $H : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  with the following properties:

- (P1)  $H(x, 1) = f(x)$  and  $H(x, 0) = g(x)$  for every  $x \in \overline{U}$ ;
- (P2) there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x \neq H(x, t)$  for every  $x \in U_\delta$ , with  $f(x) \notin \overline{U}$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $U_\delta = \{x \in U : \text{dist}(x, \partial U) < \delta\}$ ;
- (P3) there exists a compactly positive function  $\psi : X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $d(H(x, t), H(y, t)) \leq d(x, y) - \psi(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in \overline{U}$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (P4) there exists a continuous function  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that, for every  $x \in \overline{U}$  and  $t, s \in [0, 1]$ ,  $d(H(x, t), H(x, s)) \leq |\phi(t) - \phi(s)|$ ;
- (P5) if  $x \in \partial U$  and  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , with  $H(x, \lambda) \in \partial U$ , then  $H(x, 1) \notin \overline{U}$ .

In the proof of the main result of this chapter we shall make use of the following lemma (see Frigon [5]).

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $r > 0$ , and  $h : \overline{B(x_0, r)} \rightarrow X$  weakly contractive. If  $d(x_0, h(x_0)) < \gamma(r/2, r)$ , then  $h$  has a fixed point.*

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f, g : \overline{U} \rightarrow X$  be two weakly contractive maps. Suppose that  $f$  is homotopic to  $g$  and  $g(\overline{U})$  is bounded. If  $g$  has a fixed point in  $U$ , then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $\overline{U}$ .*

*Proof.* We argue by contradiction. Suppose that  $f$  does not have any fixed point in  $\overline{U}$ , and let  $H$  be a homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$ , in the sense of Definition 2.1. Consider the set

$$A = \{\lambda \in [0, 1] : x = H(x, \lambda) \text{ for some } x \in U\}, \quad (2.3)$$

and notice that  $A$  is nonempty since  $g$  has a fixed point in  $U$ , that is,  $0 \in A$ . We will show that  $A$  is both open and closed in  $[0, 1]$ , and hence, by connectedness, we will have that  $A = [0, 1]$ . As a result,  $f$  will have a fixed point in  $U$ , which establishes a contradiction.

To show that  $A$  is closed, suppose that  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sequence in  $A$  converging to  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and let us show that  $\lambda \in A$ . Since  $\lambda_n \in A$ , there exists  $x_n \in U$  with  $x_n = H(x_n, \lambda_n)$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Using that  $g(\overline{U})$  is bounded and that  $\phi$  is continuous on the compact interval  $[0, 1]$ , it is easy to show that there exists  $M > \varepsilon$  such that  $\text{diam } H(\overline{U} \times [0, 1]) \leq M$ , and hence  $d(x_n, x_m) \leq M$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\mu = \theta(\varepsilon, M)$  and let  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that for all  $n, m \geq n_0$ ,  $|\phi(\lambda_n) - \phi(\lambda_m)| < \mu$ . Then  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq n_0$  because, otherwise, we would have  $d(x_n, x_m) \geq \varepsilon$  for some  $n, m \geq n_0$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &= d(H(x_n, \lambda_n), H(x_m, \lambda_m)) \\ &\leq d(H(x_n, \lambda_n), H(x_n, \lambda_m)) + d(H(x_n, \lambda_m), H(x_m, \lambda_m)) \\ &\leq |\phi(\lambda_n) - \phi(\lambda_m)| + d(x_n, x_m) - \psi(x_n, x_m) \\ &< \mu + d(x_n, x_m) - \psi(x_n, x_m) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_m), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

which is a contradiction. Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and, since  $(X, d)$  is complete, there exists  $x_0 \in \overline{U}$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In addition,  $x_0 = H(x_0, \lambda)$  since for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, H(x_0, \lambda)) &= d(H(x_n, \lambda_n), H(x_0, \lambda)) \\ &\leq d(H(x_n, \lambda_n), H(x_n, \lambda)) + d(H(x_n, \lambda), H(x_0, \lambda)) \\ &\leq |\phi(\lambda_n) - \phi(\lambda)| + d(x_n, x_0) - \psi(x_n, x_0) \\ &\leq |\phi(\lambda_n) - \phi(\lambda)| + d(x_n, x_0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Observe that  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , because if  $\lambda = 1$ , then  $x_0 = H(x_0, 1) = f(x_0)$ , which contradicts the fact that  $f$  does not have any fixed point in  $\overline{U}$ . Notice that  $x_0 \in U$ , because, otherwise, we would have  $x_0 \in \partial U$ , that is,  $H(x_0, \lambda) \in \partial U$ , and since  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , by (P5), we have that  $H(x_0, 1) \notin \overline{U}$ . However, since  $x_0 \in \partial U$ ,  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x_0$  and  $x_n \in U$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \in U_\delta$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Hence, since  $x_n = H(x_n, \lambda_n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , applying (P2), we have that  $f(x_n) \in \overline{U}$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , that is,  $H(x_n, 1) \in \overline{U}$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Taking limits, we arrive to the contradiction  $H(x_0, 1) \in \overline{U}$ .

Therefore,  $x_0 \in U$  and, consequently,  $\lambda \in A$ .

Next we show that  $A$  is open in  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $\lambda_0 \in A$ . Then there exists  $x_0 \in U$  with  $x_0 = H(x_0, \lambda_0)$ . Let  $r > 0$  be such that  $B(x_0, r) \subset U$ , and let  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\phi(\lambda) - \phi(\lambda_0)| < \gamma(r/2, r)$  for every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  with  $|\lambda_0 - \lambda| < \delta$ . Then, if  $\lambda \in (\lambda_0 - \delta, \lambda_0 + \delta) \cap [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0, H(x_0, \lambda)) &= d(H(x_0, \lambda_0), H(x_0, \lambda)) \\ &\leq |\phi(\lambda_0) - \phi(\lambda)| \\ &< \gamma\left(\frac{r}{2}, r\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we obtain that  $H(\cdot, \lambda)$  has a fixed point in  $U$  for every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that  $|\lambda_0 - \lambda| < \delta$ . Thus  $\lambda \in A$  for any  $\lambda \in (\lambda_0 - \delta, \lambda_0 + \delta) \cap [0, 1]$ , and therefore  $A$  is open in  $[0, 1]$ .  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of the previous theorem, we obtain the following fixed point result of the Leray-Schauder type for weakly contractive maps under the condition (I-C).

**Theorem 2.5.** *Suppose that  $U$  is an open and strictly star shaped subset of a Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , with  $0 \in U$ , and that  $f : \overline{U} \rightarrow X$  is a weakly contractive map with  $f(\overline{U})$  being bounded. If  $f$  satisfies the condition (I-C), then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $\overline{U}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  satisfies the condition (I-C), there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(x) \neq \lambda x$  for  $\lambda > 1$  and  $x \in U_\delta$  with  $f(x) \notin \overline{U}$ . We may assume that  $x \neq f(x)$  for every  $x \in U_\delta$ , because otherwise we are finished. Define  $H : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  as  $H(x, t) = tf(x)$ , and let  $g$  be the zero map. Notice that  $g$  has a fixed point in  $U$ , that is,  $0 = g(0)$  and also that  $f$  and  $g$  are two weakly contractive mappings. So, the result will follow from Theorem 2.4 once we prove that  $f$  is (I-C)-homotopic to  $g$ . Let us check it.

- (P1) For all  $x \in \overline{U}$ ,  $H(x, 0) = 0 \cdot f(x) = 0 = g(x)$  and  $H(x, 1) = 1 \cdot f(x) = f(x)$ .
- (P2) Since  $f$  satisfies the condition (I-C), we have that  $f(x) \neq \lambda x$  for  $x \in U_\delta$  with  $f(x) \notin \overline{U}$  and  $\lambda > 1$ . Hence,  $x \neq H(x, t)$  for every  $x \in U_\delta$ , with  $f(x) \notin \overline{U}$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .
- (P3) Since  $f$  is weakly contractive, there exists a compactly positive function  $\psi : X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y) - \psi(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in \overline{U}$ . Then, if  $x, y \in \overline{U}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(H(x, t), H(y, t)) &= t \|f(x) - f(y)\| \\ &\leq d(f(x), f(y)) \\ &\leq d(x, y) - \psi(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

- (P4) Since  $f(\overline{U})$  is bounded, there exists  $M \geq 0$  such that  $\|f(x)\| \leq M$  for all  $x \in \overline{U}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d(H(x, t), H(x, s)) &= \|f(x)\| |t - s| \\ &\leq M |t - s| \\ &= |\phi(t) - \phi(s)|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the continuous function defined as  $\phi(t) = Mt$ .

- (P5) Suppose that for some  $x \in \partial U$  and  $\lambda < 1$  we have that  $H(x, \lambda) \in \partial U$ . Then,  $f(x) \neq 0$  since  $H(x, \lambda) = \lambda f(x)$ ,  $0 \in U$  and  $U$  is open. Let us see that  $H(x, 1) \notin \overline{U}$ : suppose, on the contrary, that  $H(x, 1) \in \overline{U}$ , that is,  $f(x) \in \overline{U}$  and define

$$\hat{\lambda} := \sup \{ t \geq 1 : tf(x) \in \overline{U} \}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then, it is easy to see that  $\hat{\lambda}f(x) \in \partial U$ , which contradicts that  $U$  is strictly star shaped, since we also have that  $\lambda f(x) \in \partial U$ .  $\square$

### 3. A Class of Generalized Contractions

A multitude of generalizations and variants of Banach's contractive condition have been given after Banach's theorem (see, e.g., Rhoades [13]) and, recently, Agarwal and O'Regan [11] have given a homotopy result (thus generalizing a fixed point theorem of Hardy and Rogers [14]) under the following generalized contractive condition: there exists  $a \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq a \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, f(x)), d(y, f(y)), \frac{1}{2} [d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))] \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

In this section we give a homotopy result for this class of mappings under the condition (I-C). In the proof of our theorem we shall use the following result [11].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $r > 0$ , and  $h : \overline{B(x_0, r)} \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that there exists  $a \in (0, 1)$  such that for  $x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  one has*

$$d(h(x), h(y)) \leq a \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, h(x)), d(y, h(y)), \frac{1}{2} [d(x, h(y)) + d(y, h(x))] \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$d(x_0, h(x_0)) < (1 - a)r.$$

Then there exists  $x \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  with  $x = h(x)$ .

The proof of the following theorem is very similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4, and we give a sketch of it.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and  $U$  an open subset of  $X$ . Let  $f, g : \overline{U} \rightarrow X$  be two maps such that there exists  $H : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  with the following properties:*

- (P1)  $H(x, 1) = f(x)$  and  $H(x, 0) = g(x)$  for every  $x \in \overline{U}$ ;
- (P2) there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x \neq H(x, t)$  for every  $x \in U_\delta$ , with  $f(x) \notin \overline{U}$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $U_\delta = \{x \in U : \text{dist}(x, \partial U) < \delta\}$ ;
- (P3) there exists  $a \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in \overline{U}$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  one has

$$d(H(x, \lambda), H(y, \lambda)) \leq a \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, H(x, \lambda)), d(y, H(y, \lambda)), \frac{1}{2} [d(x, H(y, \lambda)) + d(y, H(x, \lambda))] \right\}; \quad (3.3)$$

- (P4) there exists a continuous function  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that, for every  $x \in \overline{U}$  and  $t, s \in [0, 1]$ ,  $d(H(x, t), H(x, s)) \leq |\phi(t) - \phi(s)|$ ;
- (P5) if  $x \in \partial U$  and  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , with  $H(x, \lambda) \in \partial U$ , then  $H(x, 1) \notin \overline{U}$ .

If  $g$  has a fixed point in  $U$ , then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $\overline{U}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  does not have any fixed point in  $\overline{U}$  and consider the nonempty set

$$A = \{\lambda \in [0, 1] : H(x, \lambda) = x \text{ for some } x \in U\}. \quad (3.4)$$

We will arrive to a contradiction by showing that  $A = [0, 1]$ , and for this we only need prove that  $A$  is closed and open in  $[0, 1]$ .

To show that  $A$  is closed in  $[0, 1]$ , consider a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  in  $A$ , with  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \in [0, 1]$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and show that  $\lambda \in A$ ; that is, that there exists  $x_0 \in U$  with  $H(x_0, \lambda) = x_0$ . To prove that  $x_0$  exists, take any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $U$  with  $x_n = H(x_n, \lambda_n)$ , prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy, and define  $x_0$  as the limit of  $\{x_n\}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

That  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, as well as  $x_0 = H(x_0, \lambda)$ , follows from standard arguments which can be seen in [11, Theorem 3.1]. It remains to show that  $x_0 \in U$ .

To prove this, suppose that it is not true and arrive to a contradiction as follows: we have that  $H(x_0, \lambda) = x_0 \in \overline{U} \setminus U = \partial U$ , and also that  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , because  $f$  does not have any fixed point in  $\overline{U}$ . Then, by (P5)  $f(x_0) \notin \partial U$ . On the other hand,  $f(x_0) = \lim f(x_n) \in \overline{U}$  because  $f(x_n) \in \overline{U}$  for  $n$  large enough. To be convinced of it, just apply (P2): since  $x_0 \in \partial U$ ,  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x_0$  and  $x_n \in U$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \in U_\delta$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Then,  $f(x_n) \in \overline{U}$  for all  $n \geq n_0$  since  $x_n = H(x_n, \lambda_n)$ .

To prove that  $A$  is open argue as in Theorem 2.4, use Lemma 3.1 instead of Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence, we obtain the following result, whose proof is omitted because it is analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.5.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that  $U$  is an open and strictly star shaped subset of a Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , with  $0 \in U$ , and that  $f : \overline{U} \rightarrow X$  is map with  $f(\overline{U})$  being bounded. Assume also that there exists  $a \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in \overline{U}$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\lambda f(x), \lambda f(y)) \\ & \leq a \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, \lambda f(x)), d(y, \lambda f(y)), \frac{1}{2} [d(x, \lambda f(y)) + d(y, \lambda f(x))] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

*If  $f$  satisfies the condition (I-C), then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $\overline{U}$ .*

## Acknowledgment

This research is partially supported by the Spanish (Grant MTM2007-60854) and regional Andalusian (Grants FQM210, FQM1504) Governments.

## References

- [1] W. V. Petryshyn, "Fixed point theorems for various classes of 1-set-contractive and 1-ball-contractive mappings in Banach spaces," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 182, pp. 323–352, 1973.
- [2] S. Reich, "Fixed points of condensing functions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 41, pp. 460–467, 1973.
- [3] A. Granas, "Continuation method for contractive maps," *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 375–379, 1994.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, M. Meehan, and D. O'Regan, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 141 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001.
- [5] M. Frigon, "On continuation methods for contractive and nonexpansive mappings," in *Recent Advances on Metric Fixed Point Theory (Seville, 1995)*, T. Dominguez Benavides, Ed., vol. 48, pp. 19–30, Universidad de Sevilla, Seville, Spain, 1996.
- [6] D. O'Regan and R. Precup, *Theorems of Leray-Schauder Type and Applications*, vol. 3 of *Series in Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2001.
- [7] A. Jiménez-Melado and C. H. Morales, "Fixed point theorems under the interior condition," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 134, no. 2, pp. 501–507, 2006.
- [8] C. González, A. Jiménez-Melado, and E. Llorens-Fuster, "A Mönch type fixed point theorem under the interior condition," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 352, no. 2, pp. 816–821, 2009.

- [9] P. Shaini and N. Singh, "Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying interior condition," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 1–4, pp. 45–54, 2008.
- [10] M. Frigon, A. Granas, and Z. E. A. Guennoun, "Alternative non linéaire pour les applications contractantes," *Annales des Sciences Mathématiques du Québec*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 65–68, 1995.
- [11] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, "Fixed point theory for generalized contractions on spaces with two metrics," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 248, no. 2, pp. 402–414, 2000.
- [12] J. Dugundji and A. Granas, "Weakly contractive maps and elementary domain invariance theorem," *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de Grèce. Nouvelle Série*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 141–151, 1978.
- [13] B. E. Rhoades, "A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 226, pp. 257–290, 1977.
- [14] G. E. Hardy and T. D. Rogers, "A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich," *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, vol. 16, pp. 201–206, 1973.